

Homework 3 -Solution

1. Examine the revised MiniJava grammar posted for Project 2. Find out the non-terminals which have production rules that will make it impossible to generate an LL (k) parser.

Solution:

- Nonterminal Type fails test 1.1 as it has a left recursive production rule.
 - Nonterminal Expression fails test 1.1 as it has left recursive production rules.
- For a rule which is left recursive the FIRST sets of its productions will always overlap no matter how large the lookahead is.

3. Exercise 3.6

Solution:

(a)

| | nullable | FIRST | FOLLOW |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| S | No | u | |
| B | No | w | v y x z |
| D | Yes | y x | z |
| E | Yes | y | x z |
| F | Yes | x | z |

(b) Parse Table

| | U | v | w | x | y | Z |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| S | $S \rightarrow uBDz$ | | | | | |
| B | | | $B \rightarrow W$ $B \rightarrow Bv$ | | | |
| D | | | | $D \rightarrow EF$ | $D \rightarrow EF$ | $D \rightarrow EF$ |
| E | | | | $E \rightarrow$ | $E \rightarrow y$ | $E \rightarrow$ |
| F | | | | $F \rightarrow x$ | | $F \rightarrow$ |

(c) The grammar is left recursive ($B \rightarrow Bv$), hence cannot be LL(1) (in general LL(k)).

(d) Replace the left recursive rule ($B \rightarrow Bv$) and rule $B \rightarrow w$ with the following rules:

$$B \rightarrow wB'$$

$$B' \rightarrow vB' \mid \epsilon$$

4. Exercise 3.7 (a) and (b) in the textbook.

Solution:

Consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow G\$$$

$$G \rightarrow P$$

$$G \rightarrow PG$$

$$P \rightarrow i : R$$

$$R \rightarrow \epsilon$$

$$R \rightarrow iR$$

(a) Left-factor this grammar.

Answer:

$$S \rightarrow G\$$$

$$G \rightarrow PG'$$

$$G' \rightarrow G \mid \epsilon$$

$$P \rightarrow i : R$$

$$R \rightarrow iR \mid \epsilon$$

(b) Show that the resulting grammar is LL(2). You can do this by constructing FIRST sets *etc.*, containing 2-symbol strings; but it is simpler to construct an LL(1) parsing table and then argue convincingly that any conflicts can be resolved by looking ahead one more symbol.

Answer:

Here is the LL(1) parse table:

| | FIRST | FOLLOW | i | $\$$ |
|------|-------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|
| S | $\{i\}$ | $\{\$\}$ | $S \rightarrow G\$$ | |
| G | $\{i\}$ | $\{\$\}$ | $G \rightarrow PG'$ | |
| G' | $\{i, \epsilon\}$ | $\{\$\}$ | $G' \rightarrow G$ | $G' \rightarrow \epsilon$ |
| P | $\{i\}$ | $\{i, \$\}$ | $P \rightarrow i : R$ | |
| R | $\{i, \epsilon\}$ | $\{i, \$\}$ | $R \rightarrow \epsilon$ $R \rightarrow iR$ | $R \rightarrow \epsilon$ |

Note that there are two productions predicted for R on lookahead i : $R \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $R \rightarrow iR$, so the grammar is not LL(1). The conflict arises because $R \rightarrow \epsilon$ is predicted by

$$\text{FOLLOW}(R) \subseteq \text{FOLLOW}(P) \subseteq \text{FIRST}(G) \subseteq \text{FIRST}(P) = \{i\}$$

while $R \rightarrow iR$ is predicted by $\text{FIRST}(i) = \{i\}$. We cannot tell if the lookahead i is part of a continuing recursion on R or part of what can follow R , in this case P . Consider what happens if we lookahead one more token and see the semicolon (;) instead of i — this disambiguates the predicted production, allowing us to decide if the lookahead i is part of a continuing recursion on R or the beginning of a derivation from P , which can legally follow derivations from R .

4.

a).

| Stack | Input | Action |
|--------|----------|---------------|
| \$ | 000111\$ | shift |
| \$0 | 00111\$ | shift |
| \$00 | 0111\$ | shift |
| \$000 | 111\$ | shift |
| \$0001 | 11\$ | Reduce S->01 |
| \$00S | 11\$ | shift |
| \$00S1 | 1\$ | Reduce S->0S1 |
| \$0S | 1\$ | shift |
| \$0S1 | \$ | Reduce S->0S1 |
| \$S | \$ | accept |

b)

| Stack | Input | Action |
|--------|-----------|---------------|
| \$ | aaa*a++\$ | shift |
| \$a | aa*a++\$ | Reduce S->a |
| \$S | aa*a++\$ | shift |
| \$Sa | a*a++\$ | Reduce S->a |
| \$SS | a*a++\$ | shift |
| \$SSa | *a++\$ | Reduce S->a |
| \$SSS | *a++\$ | Shift |
| \$SSS* | a++\$ | Reduce S->SS* |
| \$SS | a++\$ | shift |
| \$SSa | ++\$ | Reduce S->a |
| \$SSS | ++\$ | Shift |
| \$SSS+ | +\$ | Reduce S->SS+ |
| \$SS | +\$ | shift |
| \$SS+ | \$ | Reduce S->SS |
| \$S | \$ | accept |

5. Exercise 3.5 in textbook.

Solution:

FIRST and FOLLOW sets.

| | nullable | FIRST | FOLLOW |
|----|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| S' | No | \$ { WORD begin end \ | |
| S | Yes | { WORD begin end \ | \$ \ } |
| B | No | \ | { WORD begin end \ |
| E | No | \ | \$ } { WORD begin end \ |
| X | No | { WORD begin end \ | \$ } { WORD begin end \ |

LL(1) Parse table.

| | \$ | \ | { | } | Begin | WORD | end |
|-----------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----|--------------|-------------|------------|
| S' | S' → S\$ | S' → S\$ | S' → S\$ | | S' → S\$ | S' → S\$ | S' → S\$ |
| S | S → | S → S → XS | S → XS | S → | S → XS | S → XS | S → XS |
| B | | B → \begin {WORD} | | | | | |
| E | | E → \end {WORD} | | | | | |
| X | | X → BSE X → \WORD | X → {S} | | X → begin | X → WORD | X → end |