

Dynamic Program Analysis

Modified from T. Ball's slides
(Microsoft Research)

A "Present" Challenge for Dynamic Analysis

```
#include <stdio.h>
main(t,_,a)
char *a;
{
return!0<t?t<3?main(-79,-13,a+main(-87,1-,main(-86,0,a+1)+a)):
1,t<_?main(t+1,_,a):3,main(-94,-27+t,a)&&t==2?<13?
main(2,_,+1,"%s %d %d\n"):9:16:t<0?t<-72?main(_,t,
"@n'+,#'/*{w+/w#cdnr/+,}{r/*de}+,*{*,/w{%,/w#q#n+,#{l+,/n{n+,/+n+,/#\
;#q#n+/,+k#;*,/'r : 'd*'3,}{w+K w'K:'+}e#';dq#'l \
q#'d'K#!/+k#;q#'r}eKK#}w'r}eKK{nl}'/#;#q#n')(){#}w')(){nl}'/+#n';d}rw' i;# \
){nl}!/n{n#'; r{#w'r nc{nl}'/#{l,'+K {rw' iK{;[{nl}'/w#q#n'wk nw' \
iwk{KK{nl}'/w{%'l##w#}' i; :{nl}'/*{q#'ld;r'}{nlwb!/*de}'c \
; ;{nl}'-}{rw}'/+,##}'*}#nc,',#nw}'/+kd'+e}+;#'rdq#w! nr'/' ) }+}{r1#'{n' ' )# \
}'+'##(!!/"
:t<-50?_==*a?putchar(31[a]):main(-65,_,a+1):main((*a=='/')+t,_,a+1)
:0<t?main(2,2,"%s"): *a=='/'||main(0,main(-61,*a,
"iek;dc i@bK'(q)-[w]*%n+r3#l,{: \nuwloca-O;m .vpbks,fxntdCeghiry"),a+1);
}
```

Pretty Printed Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
main(t,_,a)
  char *a;
{
  if ((!0) < t) {
    if (t < 3)
      main(-79,-13,a+main(-87,1-_,main(-86,0,a+1)+a));
    if (t < _)
      main(t+1,_,a);
    if (main(-94,-27+t,a) {
      if (t==2) {
        if (_ < 13) {
          return main(2,_,+1,"%s %d %d\n");
        } else {
          return 9;
        }
      } else
        return 16;
    } else
      return 0;
  }
  ...
}
```

A Folk Theorem

- Any program can be transformed into a semantically equivalent program consisting of a single recursive function containing only conditional statements

The Most Basic Dynamic Analysis: Run the Program!

On the first day of Christmas my true love gave to me
a partridge in a pear tree.

On the second day of Christmas my true love gave to me
two turtle doves
and a partridge in a pear tree.

...

On the twelfth day of Christmas my true love gave to me
twelve drummers drumming, eleven pipers piping, ten lords a-leaping,
nine ladies dancing, eight maids a-milking, seven swans a-swimming,
six geese a-laying, five gold rings,
four calling birds, three french hens, two turtle doves
and a partridge in a pear tree.

The Output Pattern

- On the *<ordinal>* day of Christmas my true love gave to me *<list of gift phrases, from the ordinal day down to the second day>* and a partridge in a pear tree.
- The first verse:
 - On the first day of Christmas my true love gave to me a partridge in a pear tree.

Modelling of the "12 Days" with Frequencies

- 12 days of Christmas
- 26 unique strings
- 66 occurrences of non-partridge-in-a-pear-tree gifts
- 114 strings printed
- 2358 characters printed

Hot Path Browser

File Profile View Go Bookmarks Options

Open Filter Close Home Back Forward Add Bookmark Bookmarks

Browser View Source View

Path Id	Procedure Name	Frequency	Length	Number of Instructions	Paths	File: transformed.c
19	main	1	67	67		#include <stdio.h>
0	main	1	27	27		main(t,_a)
22	main	1	67	67		char *a;
23	main	10	74	740		{
9	main	11	35	385		if (!0 < t) {
13	main	55	42	2310		if (t < 3)
3	main	114	27	3078		main(-79,-13,a+main(-87,1-_ma
2	main	114	28	3192		if (t < _)
1	main	2358	43	101394		main(t+1,_a);
7	main	2358	56	132048		if (main(-94,-27+t,a)) {
4	main	24931	39	972309		if (t==2) {
5	main	39652	39	1546428		if (_ < 13) {

■ 12 days of Christmas
 ■ 26 unique strings
 ■ 66 occurrences of non-partridge-in-a-pear-tree gifts
 ■ 114 strings printed
 ■ 2358 characters printed

```

return main(2,_+1,"%s %d %d\n
} else {
return 9;
}
} else
return 16;
} else
return 0;
} else if (t < 0) {
if (t < -72) {
return main(_t,
  
```

Hot Path Browser

File Profile View Go Bookmarks Options

Open Filter Close Home Back Forward Add Bookmark Bookmarks

Browser View Source View

Path Id	Procedure Name	Frequency	Length	Number of Instructions	Paths	File: final.c
2	inner_loop	1	30	30	..	translate_and_put_char("s,translate);
1	outer_loop	1	17	17	..	output_chars(s+1);
0	main	1	7	7	..	}
2	outer_loop	11	22	242		
3	inner_loop	11	36	396		/* skip to the "n^th" string and print it */
0	inner_loop	11	19	209		
1	inner_loop	55	25	1375		
0	skip_n_strings	114	12	1368		void print_string(int n) {
0	print_string	114	13	1482		output_chars(skip_n_strings(n,strings));
1	output_chars	114	13	1482		}
2	skip_n_strings	1898	25	47450	void inner_loop(int count_day, int current_day) {
2	translate_and_put	2358	41	96678	if (count_day == FIRST_DAY) {
0	output_chars	2358	24	56592	print_string(ON_THE); /* "On the " */
1	skip_n_strings	23033	24	552792	print_string(-current_day); /* twelve days, ran
0	translate_and_put	39652	23	911996	print_string(DAY_OF_CHRISTMAS); /* "day of

Procedure Name	Total Paths	Executed Paths	Number of Instructions
inner_loop	4	4	2010
main	1	1	7
outer_loop	3	2	259
output_chars	2	2	58074
print_string	1	1	1482
skip_n_strings	3	3	601610

```

....
....
....
....
if (count_day < current_day) /* inner iteration *
inner_loop(count_day+1,current_day);
....
print_string(PARTRIDGE_IN_A_PEAR_TREE+1);
....
}
....
void outer_loop(int count_day, int current_day) {
inner_loop(count_day,current_day);
if (count_day == FIRST_DAY && current_day < L
outer_loop(1,current_day+1);
}
....
void main() {
outer_loop(1,1);
}

```

Other Examples of Dynamic Analyses

- Program Hot Spots
- Memory Reference Errors
 - uninitialized memory, segment fault and memory leak errors
- Coordination Problems
 - racing data accesses in concurrent programs
- Security of Web Applications
 - tainted values

Program Hot Spots

- How many times does each program entity execute?
 - Procedures, methods, statements, branches, paths
- 80-20 rule
 - 20% of program responsible for 80% of execution time
- Applications
 - Performance tuning
 - Profile-driven compilation
 - Reverse engineering


11

Memory Reference Errors

- Purify, a popular link-time instrumentation tool, detects
 - reads of uninitialized memory
 - accesses to deallocated memory
 - accesses out of bounds
- Memory instrumentation via memory map
 - 2 bits per byte of memory
 - allocated, uninitialized, initialized
 - "red zone"
- Purify substitutes its own malloc; each load/store instrumented to test/set bits

12

Race Condition Detection



Secure Web Applications

- Perl
 - popular interpreted scripting language used for many tasks, including CGI programming
- "tainted" Perl
 - each scalar value received from the environment is "tainted"
 - "tainted" values propagate through expressions, assignment, etc.
 - "tainted" values cannot be used in critical operations that can write to system resources

Outline

- What is dynamic analysis?
 - Example: path profiling
- How is it accomplished?
 - Precision vs. Efficiency
- Relationships to static analysis
- Trends

What is Dynamic Analysis?

Dynamic analysis is the investigation of the properties of a **running** software **system** over one or more executions

What is Dynamic Analysis?

- What is the meaning of "run"?
 - abstract interpretation and static analyses "run" a program over an abstract domain
 - $OUT = F(IN, s)$
- Dynamic analysis
 - abstraction used in parallel with, not in place of, concrete values
 - $OUT = F(IN, s_i, v)$

Some Characteristics of Dynamic Analysis


- Dynamic analysis can collect exactly the information needed to solve a problem
 - Procedure specialization: parameter values
 - Dynamic program slicing: flow dependences
 - Race conditions: message sends
- Scales very well
- Can be language independent!
 - Record information at interfaces

Fundamental Results in Dynamic Analysis


- Dynamic analysis is, at its heart, an experimental effort
 - Have insight
 - Build tool
 - Evaluate efficiency and effectiveness
 - Rethink

Example: Path Profiling

- How often does a control-flow path execute?
- Levels of profiling:
 - blocks
 - edges
 - paths




Naive Path Profiling




21

Efficient Path Profiling





22

Efficient Path Profiling





Efficient Path Profiling




Path Regeneration


Given path sum **P**, which path produced it?



PP Efficiency



Effectiveness



27

Comments

Limitations

- Can not go beyond loop edges and call edges
- Path explosion entails hashing

Attractions

- Number interprocedural paths
- Solve path explosion
 - Path poisoning
 - New encoding

Aggregation and Compression

- Dynamic analysis is a problem of data aggregation and compression, as well as abstraction
 - frequencies vs. the full trace
 - Efficient path profiling relies on cutting full trace into shorter paths
 - Makes analysis efficient
 - Loses loop and procedural contexts
 - If full trace, how to compress
 - Zlib, seqtittur, bdd, value predictor, WET...
 - Execution reduction, check pointing
 - Abstraction
 - Purify uses two bits per byte of memory

29

Outline

- What is dynamic analysis?
- How is it accomplished?
 - Precision vs. Efficiency
- Relationships to static analysis, model checking, and testing
- Trends

30

How is Dynamic Analysis Accomplished ?

- Observation of behavior
 - hardware monitoring
 - PC sampling
 - breakpoints
- Instrumentation
 - code added to original program
 - ideally does not affect semantics of program
 - does affect the running time of a program
- Interpreters and virtual machines

Creating Instrumentation Tools

- Source-level
 - Pattern-matching over parse tree or AST and rewriting
 - CIL
 - Full access to source information and precise mapping
- Binary
 - ATOM [Srivastava] , EEL [Larus], Diablo, Bluto...
 - Analyze programs from multiple languages
 - Limited access to source information
- Run-time
 - Valgrind, PIN

Instrumentation Issues

- How much to generate?
 - Everything
 - Just the necessary facts
 - Less than necessary
- On-line vs. off-line analysis
- What/When to instrument?
 - Source code, IR, assembly, machine code
 - Preprocessor, compile-time, link-time, executable, run-time

33

Outline

- What is dynamic analysis?
- How is it accomplished?
 - Precision vs. Efficiency
- Relationships to static analysis
- Trends

34

Static and Dynamic Analysis, Explained

Program + **Input** = **Behavior**

35

Static Analysis

Program + ~~Input~~ = Behavior

Program as a guide to behavior

- input insensitive

36

Dynamic Analysis

Program + Input = Behavior



Input + behavior as a guide to the program

- Input sensitive

37

Dynamic and Static Analysis

- **Completeness**
 - static complete
 - dynamic incomplete
- **Precision**
 - dynamic analysis can examine exactly the concrete values needed to help answer a question
 - All state along one/a few paths.
 - static analysis confounded by abstraction and infeasible paths
 - A small subset of states for all possible paths

38

Diving Deeper...

- Abstraction
- Infeasible paths
- Interplay between static and dynamic analyses

Abstraction

- Static analysis
 - abstraction is required for termination
 - Complete lattice
- Dynamic analysis
 - termination is a property of the running system, not a major concern of analysis
 - abstraction helps reduce run-time overhead
 - Purify: two bits per byte to record state of memory
 - Path profiling: short paths rather than long traces
- Precision a concern in both

Feasible and Infeasible Paths

- Dynamic analysis leaves feasible paths unexplored
 - may conclude a property holds when it really doesn't (precise for test set but unsafe)
- Static analysis explores infeasible paths
 - may conclude a property doesn't hold when it really does (safe but imprecise)
- What can one do to increase confidence in either analysis?

```

Node* Delete(Node* z) {
    Node *y, *x;

    if ((z->left == nilNode) || [36]
        (z->right == nilNode))
        y = z;
    else
        y = treeSuccessor(z->right);


    if (y->left != nilNode) [12]
        x = y->left;
    else
        x = y->right;
    x->parent = y->parent;

    if (y->parent == nilNode) [6]
        root = x;
    else if (y == y->parent->left)
        y->parent->left = x;
    else
        y->parent->right = x;

    if (y != z) [2]
        z->key = y->key;



    return(y);
}
    
```

- 36 total paths
- 8 feasible paths
- False flow dependences



- ## Two Sides of Imprecision
- Imprecision in Dynamic Analysis
 - $(\text{Feasible} - \text{Executed}) / \text{Feasible}$
 - increase precision as Executed approaches Feasible
 - systematic generation of tests
 - Imprecision in Static Analysis
 - $(\text{All} - \text{Feasible}) / \text{All} = \text{Infeasible} / (\text{Infeasible} + \text{Feasible})$
 - increase precision as Infeasible approaches 0
 - methods to eliminate infeasible paths
- 44

Interplay of Dynamic and Static Analysis



45

Outline

- What is dynamic analysis?
- How is it accomplished?
 - Precision vs. Efficiency
- Relationships to static analysis, model checking, and testing
- Trends

46

Size and Complexity

- Plagues both static and dynamic analyses, though less for the latter
 - State space and path explosion for static analysis
 - Depth-first scales

Binding times

- Binding times of program and system components are becoming more and more dynamic
 - Virtual functions, Factories, Objects, DLLs, Dynamic class loaders, ...
 - Boon to extensibility, reconfigurability, maintenance
 - A thorn for static analysis

Multi-lingual Systems

- How many languages does it take to deploy a web application?
 - Client side
 - HTML, Java
 - Server side
 - A general purpose language: Perl, C, C++, Java, ...
 - Server side scripting: Javascript, ASP, ...
 - Database languages: SQL
- Tcl and integrating applications
- How to analyze a system in the face of multiple languages?
 - Will analysis at the interfaces suffice?