Computing persistence for simplicial maps with application to data sparsification

Tamal K. Dey

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

May, 2014

Joint work with Fengtao Fan and Yusu Wang

Topological Persistence



[Ghrist08]

Topological Persistence

- Persistent homology [ELZ 2000] [under inclusion maps]

$$K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq K_4 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq K_n$$

$$\mathcal{M}: H(K_1) \longrightarrow H(K_2) \longrightarrow H(K_3) \longrightarrow H(K_4) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow H(K_n)$$

- Persistent diagram $\mathcal{DM}$ [CEH 2006]



Topological Persistence

- Persistent homology [ZC05] [under simplicial maps]

$$K_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} K_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} K_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} K_4 \xrightarrow{f_4} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} K_n$$

$$\mathcal{M}: \; H(K_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} H(K_2) \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} H(K_3) \xrightarrow{f_{3*}} H(K_4) \xrightarrow{f_{4*}} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1*}} H(K_n)$$

- Persistent diagram $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}$



Topological Persistence

- Zigzag persistent homology [CS10]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} & K_1 & \subseteq & K_2 & \supseteq & K_3 & \subseteq & K_4 & \supseteq & \dots & \subseteq & K_n \\ \mathcal{Z}: & H(K_1) & \longrightarrow & H(K_2) & \longleftarrow & H(K_3) & \longrightarrow & H(K_4) & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & H(K_n) \end{array}$$

- Persistent diagram $\mathcal{DZ}$



Algorithms for topological persistence

- Monotone persistence under inclusion maps [ELZ00]

Algorithms for topological persistence

- Monotone persistence under inclusion maps [ELZ00]
- Zigzag persistence under inclusion maps [CSM09]

Algorithms for topological persistence

- Monotone persistence under inclusion maps [ELZ00]
- Zigzag persistence under inclusion maps [CSM09]

This talk [DFW13]:

Algorithms for topological persistence

- Monotone persistence under inclusion maps [ELZ00]
- Zigzag persistence under inclusion maps [CSM09]

This talk [DFW13]:

- A simple algorithm for zigzag persistence under simplicial maps

Algorithms for topological persistence

- Monotone persistence under inclusion maps [ELZ00]
- Zigzag persistence under inclusion maps [CSM09]

This talk [DFW13]:

- A simple algorithm for zigzag persistence under simplicial maps
- More efficient algorithm for monotone persistence under simplicial maps

An Application

Sparsified Rips complexes [Sheehy 12]

- $\mathcal{R}^\alpha(V)$: Rips complex on point set V with parameter α;



- Goal: approximate $\mathcal{DF}$ by $\mathcal{DG}$ [Sheehy 12];

Persistence diagram of filtered Rips complexes

- Filtration of Rips complexes;

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V)$$

- The persistent module $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F} : H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V))$$

Vertex maps through sequence of subsamples



Vertex maps through sequence of subsamples



- Given $V_0 := V$, construct point sets V_k, $k = 0, 1, ..., m$, where V_{k+1} is a $\frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon)^{k-1}$-sampling of V_k.

Vertex maps through sequence of subsamples



- Given $V_0 := V$, construct point sets V_k, $k = 0, 1, ..., m$, where V_{k+1} is a $\frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon)^{k-1}$-sampling of V_k.



Vertex maps through sequence of subsamples



- Given $V_0 := V$, construct point sets V_k, $k = 0, 1, ..., m$, where V_{k+1} is a $\frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon)^{k-1}$-sampling of V_k.



- $\pi_k : V_k \to V_{k+1}$, where $\pi_k(v)$ is the closest point in V_{k+1} to v;

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} V_3 \to \ldots \to V_m$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- π_k induces a simplicial map h_k,

$$h_k : \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^k}(V_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{k+1}}(V_{k+1})$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- π_k induces a simplicial map h_k,

$$h_k : \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^k}(V_k) \to \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{k+1}}(V_{k+1})$$

- A sequence of simplicial maps

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V_0) \xrightarrow{h_0} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_1) \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V_2) \xrightarrow{h_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_{m-1}} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V_m)$$

provides a persistent module $\mathcal{G}$

$$\mathcal{G} : H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V_0)) \xrightarrow{h_{0*}} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_1)) \xrightarrow{h_{1*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_{m-1*}} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V))$$

Proposition (DFW13)

Interleaving of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ provides $d_B(\mathcal{DG}, \mathcal{DF}) \leq 2log(1+\epsilon)$ in log-scale [CCGGO09].

Simplicial Maps

Basic definitions

Definition

Elementary simplicial map: $f : K \to K'$ is *elementary* if the vertex map f_v is identical everywhere except possibly on a set $X \subseteq V(K)$ for which $f_v(X)$ is a single vertex in K'.

- If $X = \emptyset$ and $K' \setminus K$ is a single simplex, f is an elementary inclusion;
- If $|X| = 2$ and f surjective, *elementary collapse*;

Basic definitions

Definition

Elementary simplicial map: $f : K \to K'$ is *elementary* if the vertex map f_v is identical everywhere except possibly on a set $X \subseteq V(K)$ for which $f_v(X)$ is a single vertex in K'.

- If $X = \emptyset$ and $K' \setminus K$ is a single simplex, f is an elementary inclusion;
- If $|X| = 2$ and f surjective, *elementary collapse*;



Elementary collapse ;



Elementary inclusion;

Basic definitions

Definition

Stars and Links of $X \subseteq K$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{St}X &:= \{\sigma \mid \sigma \text{ is a coface of a simplex in } X\} \\ \overline{\mathtt{St}}X &:= \{\text{all faces of simplices in } \mathtt{St}X\} \\ \mathtt{Lk}X &:= \overline{\mathtt{St}}X - \mathtt{St}\overline{X} \end{aligned}$$



$$\overline{\mathtt{St}}v = \{v, vw, vx, uv, uvw, u, w, x$$

$$\mathtt{Lk}v = \{u, w, x, uw\}, \mathtt{Lk}uv = \{w\}$$

Decomposition of simplicial maps

Proposition

If $f : K \to K'$ is a simplicial map, then there are elementary inclusions and collapses f_i

$$K \xrightarrow{f_1} K_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} K_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n = K'$$

so that $f = f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ f_1$.

Decomposition of simplicial maps

Proposition

If $f : K \to K'$ is a simplicial map, then there are elementary inclusions and collapses f_i

$$K \xrightarrow{f_1} K_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} K_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n = K'$$

so that $f = f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ f_1$.



Zigzag simplicial maps

$$K_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} K_2 \xleftarrow{f_2} K_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} K_4 \xleftarrow{f_4} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} K_n$$

Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- If $f : K \to K'$ elementary inclusion
  - no action;
- If $f : K \to K'$ elementary collapse

$$f(\{u, v\}) \longrightarrow u \in K'$$

  - Augmenting K as $\widehat{K} := K + u * \overline{\texttt{St}}v$;
  - $*$ is the join (coning) operation;



Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Claim: $K' \subseteq \widehat{K}$

Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Claim: $K' \subseteq \widehat{K}$



Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Consider the simplicial map f and inclusions together:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & K' \\ & {\scriptstyle i}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle i'} & \\ & \widehat{K} & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H_*(K) & \xrightarrow{\quad f_* \quad} & H_*(K') \\ & {\scriptstyle i_*}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle i'_*} & \\ & H_*(\widehat{K}) & \end{array}$$

Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Consider the simplicial map f and inclusions together:





Proposition

- *The right diagram is commutative;*
- i'_* *is an isomorphism;*

Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Consider the simplicial map f and inclusions together:





Proposition

- *The right diagram is commutative;*
- $i_*^{'}$ *is an isomorphism;*

- $i^{'} \circ f$ and i are contiguous : $i' \circ f(\sigma) \cup \sigma$ is a simplex in $\widehat{K}$;

Simulating elementary maps by inclusions

- Consider the simplicial map f and inclusions together:





Proposition

- *The right diagram is commutative;*
- i'_* *is an isomorphism;*

- $i' \circ f$ and i are contiguous : $i' \circ f(\sigma) \cup \sigma$ is a simplex in $\widehat{K}$;
- Projection $\pi : \widehat{K} \to K'$ induced by vertex map is homotopy inverse of i'

$$\pi(p) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } p = v \\ p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Persistence for simplicial maps

- $i^{'}_{*}$ is an isomorphism ;
- $f_* = i_* \circ (i^{'}_{*})^{-1}$;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(K) & \xrightarrow{\quad f_* \quad} & H_*(K') \\ & {\scriptstyle i_*} \searrow \qquad \swarrow {\scriptstyle i'_*} & \\ & H_*(\widehat{K}) & \end{array}$$

- The following 3 sequences are equivalent:

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(K')$$

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K}) \xrightarrow{(i^{'}_{*})^{-1}} H_*(K')$$

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K}) \xleftarrow{i^{'}_{*}} H_*(K')$$

Persistence for simplicial maps

- i'_* is an isomorphism ;
- $f_* = i_* \circ (i'_*)^{-1}$;

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(K'), \quad H_*(K) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K}), \quad H_*(K') \xrightarrow{i'_*} H_*(\widehat{K})$$

- The following 3 sequences are equivalent:

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(K')$$

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K}) \xrightarrow{(i'_*)^{-1}} H_*(K')$$

$$H_*(K) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K}) \xleftarrow{i'_*} H_*(K')$$

Proposition

Persistence of $K_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} K_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} K_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} K_4 \xrightarrow{f_4} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} K_n$ *is captured by:*

$$\mathcal{Z} : H_*(K_1) \xhookrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K_1}) \xhookleftarrow{i'_*} H_*(K_2) \xhookrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K_2}) \xhookleftarrow{i'_*} H_*(K_3) \ldots \xhookleftarrow{i'_*} H_*(K_n)$$

corresponding to the zigzag sequence :

$$K_1 \xhookrightarrow{i_1} \widehat{K_1} \xhookleftarrow{i'_1} K_2 \xhookrightarrow{i_2} \widehat{K_2} \xhookleftarrow{i'_2} K_3 \ldots \xhookleftarrow{i'_{n-1}} K_n$$

Zigzag persistence of simplicial maps

Proposition

For a zigzag sequence of elementary simplicial maps,

$$K_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} K_2 \xleftarrow{f_2} K_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} K_n$$

one can compute its zigzag persistence through zigzag filtration:

$$K_1 \xhookrightarrow{i_1} \widehat{K_1} \xhookleftarrow{i'_1} K_2 \xhookrightarrow{i'_2} \widehat{K_3} \xhookleftarrow{i_2} K_3 \xhookrightarrow{i_3} \dots \xhookleftarrow{i'_{n-1}} K_n$$

- $(i'_k)_*$'s are isomorphisms;
- $(i_k)_* = (i'_k)_* \circ (f_k)_*$;
- The following two zigzag modules have the same persistence diagram:

$$H_*(K_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} H_*(K_2) \xleftarrow{f_{2*}} H_*(K_3) \xrightarrow{f_{3*}} \cdots \to H_*(K_n)$$

$$H_*(K_1) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\widehat{K_1}) \simeq H_*(K_2) \simeq H_*(\widehat{K_3}) \xleftarrow{i_*} H_*(K_3) \xrightarrow{i_*} \cdots \xleftarrow{i_*} H_*(K_n)$$

Annotations

Persistence using annotation

- Persistence of non-zigzag sequence of simplicial maps by annotations
  - Maintains a consistent cohomology basis;
  - Cohomology basis elements are time stamped for tracking persistence;
  - Creates much less intermediate simplices;



Annotation $\mathbf{a}$ for simplicial complex K

- Mapping $\mathbf{a} : K^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^g$
  - K^p : the set of p-simplices of K;
  - $\mathbf{a}_\sigma = \mathbf{a}(\sigma)$: a binary vector of length g;



- $\mathbf{a}$ valid if
  - $g = \mathrm{rank} H_p(K)$;
  - $\mathbf{a}_{z_1} = \mathbf{a}_{z_2}$ iff $[z_1] = [z_2]$;



Proposition

The following two statements are equivalent:

1. *An annotation $\mathbf{a} : K^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^g$ is valid*
2. *The cochains $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,g}$ given by $\phi_i(\sigma) = \mathbf{a}_\sigma[i]$ for all $\sigma \in K^p$ are cocycles whose cohomology classes constitute a basis of $H^p(K)$.*

Elementary inclusion [SMV-J 2011]

- Elementary inclusion
  - Obtain a valid annotation of K_{i+1} from K_i after inserting p-simplex $\sigma = K_{i+1} \setminus K_i$;
  - Two cases : $\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} \neq \mathbf{0}$;





$\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$;

$\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} \neq \mathbf{0}$;

Elementary inclusion case 1

- Elementary inclusion case 1 : $\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$
  - σ creates a p-cycle in K_{i+1};
  - Augment the annotation $[b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_g]$ for p-simplex τ to $[b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_g, b_{g+1}]$

$$b_{g+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tau \neq \sigma \\ 1 & \text{if } \tau = \sigma \end{cases}$$

  - The new element time stamped as $i+1$;



Elementary inclusion case 2

- Elementary inclusion case 2 : $\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} \neq \mathbf{0}$
  - σ kills a $(p-1)$-cycle $\partial\sigma$;
  - b_u the last nonzero element in $\mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma} = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_u, \ldots, b_g]$;
  - $\mathbf{a}_\tau = \mathbf{a}_\tau + \mathbf{a}_{\partial\sigma}$ if $(\mathbf{a}_\tau)_u = 1$;
  - Remove u-th element from all annotations;



Elementary collapse

Definition

For an elementary collapse $f_i : K_i \to K_{i+1}$, a simplex $\sigma \in K_i$ is called vanishing if the cardinality of $f_i(\sigma)$ is one less than that of σ. Two simplices σ and σ' are called mirror of each other if one adjoins u and the other v, and share rest of the vertices.



- uv vanishing simplex;
- wu and wv mirror to each other;

Elementary collapse

- Elementary collapse
  - Obtain a valid annotation of K_{i+1} from K_i after collapsing (u, v) to u;
  - Two cases : (u, v) satisfies or not link condition $\mathtt{Lk}uv = \mathtt{Lk}u \cap \mathtt{Lk}v$.



uv does not satisfy link condition;



uv satisfies link condition;

Elementary collapse case 1

- Elementary collapse case 1 : (u, v) satisfying link condition
  - τ any mirror simplex containing u;
  - σ unique coface of τ containing the edge uv;
  - $\mathbf{a}_\omega = \mathbf{a}_\omega + \mathbf{a}_\sigma$ for any coface ω of τ of codimension one



Elementary collapse case 2

- Elementary collapse case 2 : (u, v) not satisfying link condition
  - Insert necessary simplices σ to meet the link condition ;
  - Apply the elementary collapse case 1;
- Collapsing edge uv:



1. Inclusion :



2. Elementary collapse case 1:



Data Sparsification

Rips Vs. GIC (Graph Induced Complex)



$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V)$

Rips Vs. GIC (Graph Induced Complex)



$\mathcal{R}^\alpha(V)$



V'

Rips Vs. GIC (Graph Induced Complex)



Rips Vs. GIC (Graph Induced Complex)



$\mathcal{R}^\alpha(V)$ $\mathcal{R}^\beta(V')$ $\mathcal{G}^\alpha(V, V')$

Graph Induced Complex



- V finite point set;
- $G(V)$ a graph;

Graph Induced Complex





- V finite point set;
- $G(V)$ a graph;

- $V' \subset V$ a subset;
- $\nu : V \to V'$ surjective vertex map such that $\nu|_{V'} = \text{id}$;

Graph Induced Complex



- V finite point set;
- $G(V)$ a graph;

- $V' \subset V$ a subset;
- $\nu : V \to V'$ surjective vertex map such that $\nu|_{V'} = \text{id}$;

- Graph induced complex $\mathcal{G}(V, V', \nu)$:

  $\sigma = \{v'_1, \ldots, v'_{k+1}\}$, $v'_i \in V'$
  - a $(k+1)$-clique in $G(V)$ with vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}$;
  - $\nu(v_i) = v'_i$;

Approximating persistence diagram

- Rips filtration:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V)$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- Rips filtration:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V)$$

- $\pi_k : V_k \to V_{k+1}$, $\pi_k(v)$ the closest point in V_{k+1} for $v \in V_k$;

$$V_0 (= V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} V_3 \to \ldots \to V_m$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- Rips filtration:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V)$$

- $\pi_k : V_k \to V_{k+1}$, $\pi_k(v)$ the closest point in V_{k+1} for $v \in V_k$;

$$V_0 (= V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} V_3 \to \ldots \to V_m$$

- $\mathcal{G}^r(V_0, V_k) := \mathcal{G}(V_0, V_k, \hat{\pi}_k)$, $G(V_0) =$ 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{R}^r(V_0)$
  $\hat{\pi}_k = \pi_k \circ \ldots \circ \pi_0 : V_0 \to V_{k+1}$;

Approximating persistence diagram

- Rips filtration:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^2}(V) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V)$$

- $\pi_k : V_k \to V_{k+1}$, $\pi_k(v)$ the closest point in V_{k+1} for $v \in V_k$;

$$V_0(= V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} V_3 \to \ldots \to V_m$$

- $\mathcal{G}^r(V_0, V_k) := \mathcal{G}(V_0, V_k, \hat{\pi}_k)$, $G(V_0) =$ 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{R}^r(V_0)$
  $\hat{\pi}_k = \pi_k \circ \ldots \circ \pi_0 : V_0 \to V_{k+1}$;

- π_k induces a simplicial map f_k

$$f_k : \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{k-1}}(V_0, V_k) \to \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^k}(V_0, V_{k+1})$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- A sequence of graph induced complexes:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(V_0, V_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_0, V_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{m-1}}(V_0, V_m)$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- A sequence of graph induced complexes:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(V_0, V_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_0, V_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{m-1}}(V_0, V_m)$$

  induces a persistence module

$$\mathcal{L} : H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_1)) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_2)) \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_m))$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- A sequence of graph induced complexes:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(V_0, V_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_0, V_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{m-1}}(V_0, V_m)$$

induces a persistence module

$$\mathcal{L} : H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_1)) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_2)) \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_m))$$

- The persistence module $\mathcal{F}$ induced from the Rips filtration

$$\mathcal{F} : H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V))$$

Approximating persistence diagram

- A sequence of graph induced complexes:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(V_0, V_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V_0, V_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^{m-1}}(V_0, V_m)$$

induces a persistence module

$$\mathcal{L} : H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_1)) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_2)) \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1*}} H_*(\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow}(V_0, V_m))$$

- The persistence module $\mathcal{F}$ induced from the Rips filtration

$$\mathcal{F} : H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}(V)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha(1+\epsilon)^m}(V))$$

Proposition (DFW13)

Interleaving of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ provides $d_B(\mathcal{DF}, \mathcal{DL}) \leq 2(log(1+\epsilon))$ in log-scale [CCGGO09].

Conclusions

- Persistence of simplicial maps can be obtained by zizag persistence for inclusions.

Conclusions

- Persistence of simplicial maps can be obtained by zizag persistence for inclusions.
- Annotations can improve the algorithm, handles collapse quite naturally; cannot handle zizag simplicial map!

Conclusions

- Persistence of simplicial maps can be obtained by zizag persistence for inclusions.
- Annotations can improve the algorithm, handles collapse quite naturally; cannot handle zizag simplicial map!
- CAM (Compressed Annotation Matrix) [BDM13] and software SimPers.

Conclusions

- Persistence of simplicial maps can be obtained by zizag persistence for inclusions.
- Annotations can improve the algorithm, handles collapse quite naturally; cannot handle zizag simplicial map!
- CAM (Compressed Annotation Matrix) [BDM13] and software SimPers.
- Application to data sparsification: saprsified Rips complex and graph induced complexes (GIC).

Conclusions

- Persistence of simplicial maps can be obtained by zizag persistence for inclusions.
- Annotations can improve the algorithm, handles collapse quite naturally; cannot handle zizag simplicial map!
- CAM (Compressed Annotation Matrix) [BDM13] and software SimPers.
- Application to data sparsification: saprsified Rips complex and graph induced complexes (GIC).
- Other applications of simplicial maps?

Thank you !

Questions ?