CS 565

Programming Languages (graduate) Spring 2025

Week 5

Curry-Howard Correspondence, Induction Principles

Observation

Two ways of thinking about \rightarrow :

- As a type constructor:

f: $A \rightarrow B$ denotes the type of a function that transforms elements of A into elements of B

- As a logical implication:

 $A \rightarrow B$ establishes the validity of proposition B given the validity of proposition A

How are these notions related?

Observation

They are exactly the same!

Logical implication models the type of functions that transforms evidence (aka proofs):

 $A \rightarrow B$ represents the type of all functions that given evidence for the validity of A, returns a proof (aka evidence) for the validity of B

Curry-Howard Isomorphism





Propositions ~ Types Proofs ~ Values

- a proof is a program and its type is the proposition it proves
- the return type of a function is a theorem whose validity is established by the types of its arguments

Propositions

Read ":" to mean "proof of"

The type of ev_SS is:

$$\forall n. \text{ ev } n \rightarrow \text{ ev } (S (S n))$$

What is an element that inhabits ev 4?

```
It is the proof object (proof tree): ev_SS 2 (ev_SS 0 ev_0)
```

This object is built via the following proof script: apply ev_SS. apply ev_SS. apply ev_SS.

```
Theorem ev plus4: \forall n, ev n \rightarrow ev (4 + n).
Proof.
  intros n H.
  simpl.
  apply ev SS. apply ev SS. apply H.
Qed.
```

Here is an object that has this type:

Alternatively

```
Definition ev plus4': \foralln, ev n \rightarrow ev (4 + n) :=
  fun (n : nat) => fun (H : ev n) =>
    ev SS (S (S n)) (ev SS n H).
```

Also:

```
Definition ev_plus4'' (n : nat) (H : ev n) : ev (4 + n) :=
    ev SS (S (S n)) (ev SS n H).
```

Observation

- Quantification allows us to refer to the value of an argument in the type of another:

$$\forall n, ev n \rightarrow ev (4 + n)$$

- Implication is essentially a degenerate form of quantification:

$$\forall$$
 (x: nat), nat \forall (_: nat), nat \forall (_: P), Q is the same as nat \rightarrow nat

Equality

```
Inductive eq {X:Type} : X → X → Prop :=
| eq_refl : ∀ x, eq x x.
```

Given a set X, define a family of propositions that characterize what it means for two elements x and y to be equal.

The only evidence for equality is when two elements are "semantically" identical.

- semantic equivalence means convertibility of terms according to a set of meaning-preserving computation rules.

Logical Connectives

This is a form of product type, defined over propositions (cf. prod in Poly.v)

This is a form of sum type, defined over propositions

Induction Principles

More generally, for a type with n constructors, an induction principle of the following shape is generated:

Polymorphism

```
Inductive list (X:Type) : Type :=
| nil : list X
| cons : X -> list X -> list X.
```

```
list_ind :
  forall (X : Type) (P : list X -> Prop),
    P [] ->
    (forall (x : X) (l : list X), P l -> P (x :: l)) ->
    forall l : list X, P l
```

list_ind is a polymorphic function parameterized over type X

Induction Principles for Propositions