

Delta Debugging

Problem

- In 1999 Bugzilla, the bug database for the browser Mozilla, listed more than 370 open bugs
- Each bug in the database describes a scenario which caused software to fail
 - these scenarios are not simplified
 - they may contain a lot of irrelevant information
 - a lot of the bug reports could be equivalent
- Overwhelmed with this work Mozilla developers sent out a call for volunteers
 - Process the bug reports by producing simplified bug reports
 - Simplifying means: turning the bug reports into minimal test cases where every part of the input would be significant in reproducing the failure

An Example Bug Report

- Printing the following file causes Mozilla to crash:

```
<td align=left valign=top>
```

```
<SELECT NAME="op sys" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
```

```
<OPTION VALUE="All">All<OPTION VALUE="Windows 3.1">Windows 3.1<OPTION
```

```
VALUE="Windows 95">Windows 95<OPTION VALUE="Windows
```

```
98">Windows 98<OPTION VALUE="Windows ME">Windows ME<OPTION
```

```
VALUE="Windows 2000">Windows 2000<OPTION VALUE="Windows
```

```
NT">Windows NT<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 7">Mac System 7<OPTION VALUE="Mac
```

```
System 7.5">Mac System 7.5<OPTION VALUE="Mac
```

```
System 7.6.1">Mac System 7.6.1<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.0">Mac System
```

```
8.0<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.5">Mac System
```

```
8.5<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.6">Mac System 8.6<OPTION VALUE="Mac System
```

```
9.x">Mac System 9.x<OPTION VALUE="MacOS X">MacOS
```

```
X<OPTION VALUE="Linux">Linux<OPTION VALUE="BSDI">BSDI<OPTION
```

```
VALUE="FreeBSD">FreeBSD<OPTION VALUE="NetBSD">NetBSD<OPTION
```

```
VALUE="OpenBSD">OpenBSD<OPTION VALUE="AIX">AIX<OPTION
```

Continued in the next page

```
VALUE="BeOS">BeOS<OPTION VALUE="HP-UX">HP-UX<OPTION
VALUE="IRIX">IRIX<OPTION VALUE="Neutrino">Neutrino<OPTION
VALUE="OpenVMS">OpenVMS<OPTION VALUE="OS/2">OS/2<OPTION
VALUE="OSF/1">OSF/1<OPTION VALUE="Solaris">Solaris<OPTION
VALUE="SunOS">SunOS<OPTION VALUE="other">other</SELECT></td>
<td align=left valign=top>
<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
<OPTION VALUE="--">--<OPTION VALUE="P1">P1<OPTION VALUE="P2">P2<OPTION
VALUE="P3">P3<OPTION VALUE="P4">P4<OPTION
VALUE="P5">P5</SELECT>
</td>
<td align=left valign=top>
<SELECT NAME="bug severity" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
<OPTION VALUE="blocker">blocker<OPTION VALUE="critical">critical<OPTION
VALUE="major">major<OPTION
VALUE="normal">normal<OPTION VALUE="minor">minor<OPTION
VALUE="trivial">trivial<OPTION VALUE="enhancement">enhancement</SELECT>
</tr>
</table>
```

Delta-Debugging

- It is hard to figure out what the real cause of the failure is just by staring at that file
- It would be very helpful in finding the error if we can simplify the input file and still generate the same failure
- A more desirable bug report looks like this
Printing an HTML file which consists of:
<SELECT>
causes Mozilla to crash.
- The question is: Can we automate this?
- Andreas Zeller

Overview

- Let's use a smaller bug report as a running example:

When Mozilla tries to print the following HTML input it crashes:

```
<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
```

- How do we go about simplifying this input?
 - Manually remove parts of the input and see if it still causes the program to crash
- For the above example assume that we remove characters from the input file

Bold parts remain in the input, the rest is removed



1	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>	F
2	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7 >	P
3	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>	P
4	<SELECT NAME=" priority " MULTIPLE SIZE=7 >	P
5	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>	F
6	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7 >	F
7	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>	P
8	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7 >	P
9	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7 >	P
10	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE= 7 >	F
11	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>	P
12	< SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE= 7 >	P
13	<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE= 7 >	P

F means input caused failure
P means input did not cause failure (input passed)

14	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
15	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
16	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	F
17	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	F
18	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	F
19	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
20	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
21	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
22	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
23	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
24	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
25	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	P
26	<code><SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7></code>	F

Example

- After 26 tries we found that:

Printing an HTML file which consists of:

<SELECT>

causes Mozilla to crash.

- Delta debugging technique automates this approach of repeated trials for reducing the input.

A Simplified Description of the Algorithm

Initially, $n=2$

(1) Divide a string S equally into $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n$ and the respective complements are $\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \dots, \nabla_n$.

(2) Test each $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n$ and $\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \dots, \nabla_n$.

if (all pass) {

$n=2n$;

 if ($n > |s|$) return the most recent failure inducing substring.

 else goto (1)

} else if (Δ_+ fails) {

$n=2$; $s = \Delta_+$

 if ($|s| == 1$) return s

 else goto (1)

} else { /* ∇_+ fails */

$s = \nabla_+$; $n=n-1$; goto (1);

}

Examples

- a b c d e f * h
 - Program fails on any substrings containing '*'
- a b c d e f g h
 - Any strings containing a g h fail
- *abcdef*",
 - the program fails if both *s appear in the input

Minimality

- A test case $c \subseteq c_F$ is called the *global minimum* of c_F if
for all $c' \subseteq c_F$, $|c'| < |c| \Rightarrow \text{test}(c') \neq F$
- Global minimum is the smallest set of changes which will make the program fail
- Finding the global minimum may require us to perform exponential number of tests

Minimality

- A test case $c \subseteq c_F$ is called a local minimum of c_F if for all $c' \subseteq c$, $\text{test}(c') \neq F$
- A test case $c \subseteq c_F$ is n -minimal if for all $c' \subseteq c$, $|c| - |c'| \leq n \Rightarrow \text{test}(c') \neq F$
- The delta debugging algorithm finds a 1-minimal test case

Ex: AAAABBBBCCCC, program fails when $|A|=|B|=|C| > 0$

Monotonicity

- The super string of a failure inducing string always induces the failure
- DD is not effective for cases without monotonicity.

Case Studies

- The following C program causes GCC to crash

```
#define SIZE 20
double mult(double z[], int n)
{
    int i , j ;
    i = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        i = i + j + 1;
        z[i] = z[i] *(z[0]+1.0);
    }
    return z[n];
}
```

Continued in the next page

```
void copy(double to[], double from[], int count)
{
    int n = count + 7) / 8;
    switch(count % 8) do {
        case 0: *to++ = *from++;
        case 7: *to++ = *from++;
        case 6: *to++ = *from++;
        case 5: *to++ = *from++;
        case 4: *to++ = *from++;
        case 3: *to++ = *from++;
        case 2: *to++ = *from++;
        case 1: *to++ = *from++;
    } while ( --n > 0);
    return mult(to, 2);
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    double x[SIZE], y[SIZE];
    double *px = x;
    while (px < x + SIZE)
        *px++ = (px - x) * (SIZE + 1.0);
    return copy(y, x, SIZE);
}
```


Case Studies

- The original input file 755 characters
- Delta debugging algorithm minimizes the input file to the following file with 77 characters

```
t(double z[],int n){int i,j;for(;;){i=i+j+1;z[i]=z[i]*(z[0]+0);}return[n];}
```

- If a single character is removed from this file then it does not induce the failure

Isolating Failure Inducing Differences

- Instead of minimizing the input that causes the failure we can also try to isolate the differences that cause the failure
 - Minimization means to make each part of the simplified test case relevant: removing any part makes the failure go away
 - Isolation means to find one relevant part of the test case: removing this particular part makes the failure go away
- For example changing the input from
`<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>`
to
`SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>`
makes the failure go away
 - This means that inserting the character `<` is a failure inducing difference
- Delta debugging algorithm can be modified to look for minimal failure inducing differences
 - Although it is not as popular, it is quite useful in some applications.

Failure Inducing Differences: Example

- Changing the input program for GCC from the one on the left to the one on the right removes the failure

This input causes failure

```
#define SIZE 20
double mult(double z[], int n)
{
    int i , j ;
    i = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        i = i + j + 1;
        z[i] = z[i] * (z[0]+1.0);
    }
    return z[n];
}
```

This input does not cause failure

```
#define SIZE 20
double mult(double z[], int n)
{
    int i , j ;
    i = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        i + j + 1;
        z[i] = z[i] * (z[0]+1.0);
    }
    return z[n];
}
```

Modified statement is shown in box

Discussions

- DD on scheduling decisions:
 - Given a thread schedule for which a concurrent program works and another for which the program fails, delta debugging algorithm can narrow down the differences between two thread schedules and find the locations where a thread switch causes the program to fail.
- Chipping
 - Given two versions of a program such that one works correctly and the other one fails, delta debugging algorithm can be used to look for changes which are responsible for introducing the failure
- Fault Localization – apply DD to memory state

Discussions

- Demands an oracle.
- A large number of runs required.