Analysis of Some Variable-to-Fixed Codes by Analytic Methods

M. Drmota*, Y. Reznik[†] S. Savari[‡], and W. Szpankowski[§]

February 4, 2006



 $^{^{\}ast}$ Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, TU Wien, Austria

[†]Qualcomm Inc., 5775 Morehouse Dr., San Diego

[‡]Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

[§]Department of Computer Science, Purdue University

Outline of the Talk

- 1. Tunstall and Khodak VF Codes
- 2. A Useful Lemma on Trees
- 3. Some Recurrences
- 4. Main Results on Tunstall's Code
- 5. Redundancy of Tunstall's Code
- 6. Oscillations in Redundancy Formulas

Variable-to-Fixed Codes

Throughout, we consider an m-ary alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

1. A variable-to-fixed length encoder partitions the source string into a concatenation of variable-length phrases.

2. Each phrase belongs to a given dictionary \mathcal{D} of source strings.

3. A dictionary can be represented by a complete parsing tree T, i.e., a tree in which every internal node has all m children. The dictionary entries $d \in D$ correspond to the leaves of parsing tree.

4. The encoder represents each parsed string by the fixed length binary code word.

If the dictionary \mathcal{D} is has M entries, then the code word for each phrase has $\lceil \log_2 M \rceil$ bits.

Tunstall's Code

Tunstall's construction works as follows (cf. Tunstall, Synthesis of Noiseless Compression Codes, Ph.D. 1968):

1. Start with a tree consisting of a root node and m leaves.

2. In the J's iteration we select the current leaf corresponding to a string of the **highest probability** and grow m children out it.

3. After these J steps, the parsing tree has J non-root internal nodes and

M = (m-1)J + m

leaves which correspond to distinct dictionary entries.

Example



Figure 1: Tunstall's Code for M = 5 and Khodak's Construction for r = 0.25.

Khodak's Construction

Khodak's construction of Tunstall's code (cf. Khodak, "Connection Between Redundancy and Average Delay of Fixed-Length Coding", 1969):

1. Let p_i be the probability of the *i*th source symbol and let

$$p_{\min} = \min\{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}.$$

2. Pick a real number $r \in (0, p_{min})$ and grow a complete parsing tree satisfying

$$p_{\min} \mathbf{r} \leq P(\mathbf{d}) < \mathbf{r}, \ \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}.$$

3. The resulting parsing tree is exactly the same as a tree constructed by Tunstall's algorithm.

4. Observe that if y is a proper prefix of entries of $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{r_1}$ i.e., y corresponds to an internal node of $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{r_1}$ then

$$P(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq \boldsymbol{r}.$$

We represent phrases (leaves of T) in terms of the internal nodes of the parsing tree T_r .

A Useful Lemma on Trees

Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ be a uniquely parsable dictionary (leaves of \mathcal{T}) and $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the collection of strings which are proper prefixes of one or more dictionary entries (internal nodes of \mathcal{T}). Then for all $|z| \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{d \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}} P(d) \frac{z^{|d|} - 1}{z - 1} = \sum_{y \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}} P(y) z^{|y|}$$

Proof By induction with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$:

dm

y₀

1. For the inductive step, let $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ have k+1 elements.

Choose $y_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ (of maximum length) so that its single letter extensions correspond to the dictionary entries $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.

Observe that $P(y_0) = P(d_1) + P(d_2) + \cdots + P(d_m)$.

2. Define an auxiliary dictionary $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'$ with

$${\mathcal{\tilde D}}' = {\mathcal{\tilde D}} \cup \{ {\boldsymbol y}_0 \} \setminus \{ d_1, \ldots, d_m \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{\tilde{D}}'$ has a corresponding proper prefix set with k elements

 ${ ilde{\mathcal{Y}}}' = { ilde{\mathcal{Y}}} \setminus \{y_0\}.$

Final Step of the Induction

3. By induction we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{y \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}} P(y) z^{|y|} &= \sum_{y \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}'} P(y) z^{|y|} + P(y_0) z^{|y_0|} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'} P(d) \frac{z^{|d|} - 1}{z - 1} + P(y_0) z^{|y_0|} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}' \setminus \{y_0\}} P(d) \frac{z^{|d|} - 1}{z - 1} \\ &+ P(y_0) \left(z^{|y_0|} + \frac{z^{|y_0|} - 1}{z - 1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}' \setminus \{y_0\}} P(d) \frac{z^{|d|} - 1}{z - 1} \\ &+ (P(d_1) + \dots + P(d_m)) \left(\frac{z^{|y_0| + 1} - 1}{z - 1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}} P(d) \frac{z^{|d|} - 1}{z - 1}. \end{split}$$

Phrase Length

Let D = |d| for $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

Assume now that source strings are generated by a memoryless source over an alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Then we can talk about moments of D. We have from previous result

$$egin{array}{rll} \mathbf{E}[m{D}] &=& \displaystyle\sum_{y\in ilde{\mathcal{Y}}}P(y), \ \mathbf{E}[m{D}(m{D}-m{1})] &=& \displaystyle 2\sum_{y\in ilde{\mathcal{Y}}}P(y)|y|. \end{array}$$

Moment Generating Functions: Let

$$egin{aligned} m{D}(m{r},m{z}) := \mathbf{E}[m{z}^{m{D}}] = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{m{r}}} P(d) m{z}^{|d|} m{$$

and its corresponding internal nodes generating function

$$S(r,z) = \sum_{y: \ P(y) \geq r} P(y) z^{|y|}.$$

From previous result we also conclude that

$$D(r, z) = 1 + (z - 1)S(r, z).$$

Recurrences

Define for a binary alphabet $\{1, 2\}$ with $p_1 < p_2$: v = 1/r, z complex: $\tilde{S}(v, z) = S(v^{-1}, z)$.

Let also

$$A(v) = \sum_{y: P(y) \ge 1/v} 1.$$

denote the number of strings with probability at least v^{-1} .

We have

$$A(v) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} 0 & v < 1, \ 1 + A(vp_1) + A(vp_2) & v \geq 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

and

$$ilde{S}(v,z) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} 0 & v < 1, \ 1+zp_1 ilde{S}(vp_1,z)+zp_2 ilde{S}(vp_2,z) & v \geq 1, \end{array}
ight.$$

since every binary string either is:

- – empty string,
- – string starting with 1
- – string starting with 2.

Some Intermediate Results

A(v) represents the number of internal nodes in Khodak's construction:

 $egin{array}{rcl} M_r &=& A(v)+1 = |\mathcal{D}_r| \ \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{D}_r] &=& ilde{S}(v,1) \end{array}$

We shall prove the following. Lemma 1. If $\ln p_2 / \lg p_1$ is irrational, then

$$M_r = A(v) + 1 = \frac{v}{H} + o(v),$$

otherwise (i.e., $\ln p_2 / \lg p_1$ is rational)

$$M_r = A(v) + 1 = \frac{Q_1(\log v)}{H}v + O(v^{1-\eta})$$

for some $\eta > 0$, where

$$Q_1(x) = rac{L}{1-e^{-L}} e^{-L\langle rac{x}{L}
angle}$$

L > 0 is the largest real number for which $\ln(1/p_1)$ and $\ln(1/p_2)$ are integer multiples of L; $H = p_1 \ln(1/p_1) + p_2 \ln(1/p_2)$ is the entropy, $\langle y \rangle = y - \lfloor y \rfloor$ is the fractional part of y. Furthermore, if $\ln p_2 / \ln p_1$ is irrational, then

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{D}_{r}] = \tilde{S}(v, 1) = \frac{\log v}{H} + \frac{H_{2}}{2H^{2}} + o(1)$$

otherwise (i.e., $\ln p_2 / \lg p_1$ is rational)

$$\mathbf{E}[D_r] = \tilde{S}(v, 1) = \frac{\log v}{H} + \frac{H_2}{2H^2} + \frac{Q_2(\log v)}{H} + O(v^{-\eta})$$

for some $\eta > 0$, where

$$Q_2(x) = L \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\langle \frac{x}{L} \right\rangle \right)$$

and $H_2 = p_1 \ln(1/p_1)^2 + p_2 \ln(1/p_2)^2$.

Idea of the Proof

The Mellin transform $F^*(s)$ of a function F(v) is

$$F^*(s) = \int_0^\infty F(v) v^{s-1} dv.$$

From the recurrence on S(v, z) we find

$$\tilde{D}^*(s,z) = \frac{1-z}{s(1-zp_1^{1-s}-zp_2^{1-s})} - \frac{1}{s}, \quad \Re(s) < s_0(z),$$

where $s_0(z)$ denotes the real solution of $zp^{1-s} + zq^{1-s} = 1$.

To find the asymptotics of $\tilde{D}(v, z)$ as $v \to \infty$ we compute the inverse transform of $\tilde{D}^*(s, z)$):

$$\tilde{D}(v,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} \tilde{D}^*(s,z) v^{-s} \, ds,$$

where $\sigma < s_0(z)$.

To determine the polar singularities of the meromorphic continuation of $\tilde{D}^*(s, z)$, we have to analyze the set

$$Z(z) = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : zp^{1-s} + zq^{1-s} = 1\}$$

of all complex roots of $zp^{1-s} + zq^{1-s} = 1$.



Figure 2: Illustration to the computations of the Mellin transform.

Difficulties

From Cauchy's residue theorem we obtain $\tilde{D}(v, z) = \lim_{T \to \infty} F_T(v, z)$ for $\Re(s) < \tau$, where

$$F_{T}(v, z) = -\sum_{s' \in Z(z), \ \Re(s') < \tau, |\Im(s')| > T} \operatorname{Res}(\tilde{D}^{*}(s, z) v^{-s}, s = s') + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau - iT}^{\tau + iT} \left(\frac{1 - z}{s(1 - zp_{1}^{1 - s} - zp_{2}^{1 - s})} - \frac{1}{s} \right) v^{-s} ds$$
$$= -\sum_{s' \in Z(z), \ \Re(s') < \tau, |\Im(s')| > T} \frac{(1 - z)v^{-s'}}{zs'p_{1}^{1 - s'} \ln p_{1} + zs'p_{2}^{1 - s'} \ln p_{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau - iT}^{\tau + iT} \left(\frac{1 - z}{s(1 - zp_{1}^{1 - s} - zp_{2}^{1 - s})} - \frac{1}{s} \right) v^{-s} ds$$

provided that the series of residues converges and the limit as $T \to \infty$ of the last integral exists.

The problem is that neither the series nor the integral above are absolutely convergent since the integrand is only of order 1/s.

Main Results

Theorem 2. Let D_r and M_r denote the phrase length and the dictionary size in Khodak's construction.

$$\frac{D_r - \frac{1}{H} \ln M_r}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{H_2}{H^3} - \frac{1}{H}\right) \ln M_r}} \to N(0, 1)$$

where N(0, 1) denotes the standard normal distribution. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Var}[D_r] = \left(\frac{H_2}{H^3} - \frac{1}{H}\right) \ln M_r + O(1)$$

for large M_r . In the irrational case

$$\mathbf{E}[D_r] = \frac{\ln M_r}{H} + \frac{\ln H}{H} + \frac{H_2}{2H^2} + o(1)$$

and in the rational case (i.e., for $\log p_2/\log p_1=b/d$)

$$\mathbf{E}[D_r] = \frac{\ln M_r}{H} + \frac{\ln H}{H} + \frac{H_2}{2H^2} + \frac{Q_2(nv) - \ln Q_1(\ln v)}{H} + O(M_r^{-\eta}).$$

The above yields (miracle: no oscillations)

$$Q_2(\ln v) - \log Q_1(\ln v) = -\ln L + \ln(1 - e^{-L}) + \frac{L}{2}.$$

Redundancy

The average redundancy rate of Tunstall's code is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{M_r} = \frac{\ln M_r}{\mathbf{E}[D]} - H$$

As a consequence of our main result we can prove the following.

Corollary 1. Let \mathcal{D}_r denote the dictionary in Khodak's construction of the Tunstall code of size M_r . If $\ln p_1 / \ln p_2$ is irrational then

$$\mathcal{R}_{M_r} = \frac{H}{\ln M_r} \left(-\frac{H_2}{2H} - \ln H \right) + o \left(\frac{1}{\ln M_r} \right).$$

In the rational case we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{M_r} = \frac{H}{\ln M_r} \left(-\frac{H_2}{2H} - \ln H + \ln L - \ln(e^L - 1) + \frac{L}{2} \right) + O\left(M_r^{-\eta}\right),$$

for some $\eta > 0$, where L > 0 is the largest real number for which $\ln(1/p_1)$ and $\ln(1/p_2)$ are integer multiples of L (no oscillation!)

See Savari and Gallager, Generalized Tunstall codes for sources with memory, IT-43, 1997 (tool: renewal theory).

Miracles Happen – Oscillations

Oscillations usually occur in redundancy rates.

Lemple-Ziv-78. In this case:

$$r_n = \frac{2h - h\gamma - \frac{1}{2}h_2 + h\beta - h\delta_0(n)}{\log n} + O\left(\frac{\log\log n}{\log^2 n}\right) ,$$

where

$$h = -p \log p - q \log q$$

$$h_2 = p \log^2 p + q \log^2 q,$$

 $\gamma = 0.577 \dots$ is the Euler constant,

and $\delta_0(x)$ is a fluctuating functions with small amplitude for $\log p / \log q$ rational, and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \delta_0(x) = 0$$

otherwise. Finally, the constant β is defined as:

$$\beta = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{k+1}\log p + q^{k+1}\log q}{1 - p^{k+1} - q^{k+1}} \,.$$

Shannon and Huffman Codes

Shannon's Code. In this case:

$$\bar{R}_{n}^{SF} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + o(1) & \alpha \text{ irrational} \\ \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{M} \left(\langle Mn\beta \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) + O(\rho^{n}) & \alpha = \frac{N}{M}, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho < 1$ and N, M are integers such that gcd(N, M) = 1, and

$$\alpha = \log_2\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right), \quad \beta = \log_2\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right).$$

Huffman's Code. In this case:

$$\bar{R}_{n}^{H} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{\log 2} + o(1) \approx 0.057304, & \alpha \text{ irrational} \\ \\ \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{M} \left(\langle \beta M n \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{M(1 - 2^{-1/M})} 2^{-\langle n \beta M \rangle / M} + O(\rho^{n}) & \alpha = \frac{N}{M} \end{cases}$$

where $\rho < 1$ and N, M are integers such that gcd(N, M) = 1.