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Some Definitions

String Complexity of a single sequence is the number of distinct substrings.

Throughout, we write $X$ for the string and denote by $I(X)$ the set of distinct substrings of $X$ over alphabet $\mathcal{A}$.

Example. If $X = aabaa$, then

$$I(X) = \{\epsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, aab, aba, baa, aaba, abaa, aabaa\},$$

and $|I(X)| = 12$. But if $X = aaaaaa$, then

$$I(X) = \{\epsilon, a, aa, aaa, aaaa, aaaaa\},$$

and $|I(X)| = 6$.

The string complexity is the cardinality of $I(X)$ and we study here the average string complexity.

$$\mathbb{E}[|I(X)|] = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}^n} P(X)|I(X)|.$$
Suffix Trees and String Complexity

Non-compact suffix trie for $X = \text{abaababa}$ and string complexity $I(X) = 24$.

String Complexity = # internal nodes in a non-compact suffix tree.
Some Simple Facts

Let $O(w)$ denote the number of times that the word $w$ occurs in $X$. Then

$$|I(X)| = \sum_{w \in A^*} \min\{1, O(w)\}.$$ 

Since between any two positions in $X$ there is one and only one substring:

$$\sum_{w \in A^*} O(w) = \frac{(|X| + 1)|X|}{2}.$$ 

Hence

$$|I(X)| = \frac{(|X| + 1)|X|}{2} - \sum_{w \in A^*} \max\{0, O(w) - 1\}.$$ 

Define: $C_n := \mathbb{E}[|I(X)| \mid |X| = n]$. Then

$$C_n = \frac{(n + 1)n}{2} - \sum_{w \in A^*} \sum_{k \geq 2} (k - 1)P(O_n(w) = k).$$

We need to study probabilistically $O_n(w)$: that is:

**number of $w$ occurrences** in a text $X$ generated a probabilistic source.
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Some Results

Last expression allows us to write

\[ C_n = \frac{(n + 1)n}{2} + E[S_n] - E[L_n] \]

where \( E[S_n] \) and \( E[L_n] \) are, respectively, the average size and path length in the associated (compact) suffix trees.

We know that

\[ E[S_n] = \frac{1}{h}(n + \Psi(\log n)) + o(n), \]
\[ E[L_n] = \frac{n \log n}{h} + n \Psi_2(\log n) + o(n), \]

where \( \Psi(\log n) \) and \( \Psi_2(\log n) \) are periodic functions (when the \( \log p_a, a \in A \) are rationally related), and where \( h \) is the entropy rate. Therefore,

\[ C_n = \frac{(n + 1)n}{2} - \frac{n}{h}(\log n - 1 + Q_0(\log n) + o(1)) \]

where \( Q_0(x) \) is a periodic function.
Theorem from 2004 Proved with Bare-Hands

In 2004 Svante, Stefano and I published the first result of this kind for a symmetric memoryless source (all symbol probabilities are the same).

**Theorem 1** (Janson, Lonardi, W.S., 2004). Let $C_n$ be the string complexity for an unbiased memoryless source over alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{E}(C_n) = \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) - n \log_{|\mathcal{A}|} n + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ln |\mathcal{A}|} + \varphi_{|\mathcal{A}|}(\log_{|\mathcal{A}|} n) \right) n + O(\sqrt{n \log n})$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577$ is Euler’s constant and

$$
\varphi_{|\mathcal{A}|}(x) = \frac{1}{\ln |\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{j \neq 0} \Gamma \left( -1 - \frac{2\pi ij}{\ln |\mathcal{A}|} \right) e^{2\pi ijx}
$$

is a continuous function with period 1. $|\varphi_{|\mathcal{A}|}(x)|$ is very small for small $|\mathcal{A}|$: $|\varphi_2(x)| < 2 \cdot 10^{-7}$, $|\varphi_3(x)| < 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$, $|\varphi_4(x)| < 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$. 
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Joint String Complexity

For $X$ and $Y$, let $J(X, Y)$ be the set of common words between $X$ and $Y$.

The joint string complexity is

$$|J(X, Y)| = |I(X) \cap I(Y)|$$

Example. If $X = aabaa$ and $Y = abbba$, then $J(X, Y) = \{\varepsilon, a, b, ab, ba\}$.

Goal. Estimate

$$J_{n,m} = E[|J(X, Y)|]$$

when $|X| = n$ and $|Y| = m$. 
Joint String Complexity

For $X$ and $Y$, let $J(X, Y)$ be the set of common words between $X$ and $Y$.

The joint string complexity is

$$|J(X, Y)| = |I(X) \cap I(Y)|$$

**Example.** If $X = aabaa$ and $Y = abbbba$, then $J(X, Y) = \{\varepsilon, a, b, ab, ba\}$.

**Goal.** Estimate

$$J_{n,m} = \mathbb{E}[|J(X, Y)|]$$

when $|X| = n$ and $|Y| = m$.

**Some Observations.** For any word $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$

$$|J(X, Y)| = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{A}^*} \min\{1, O_X(w)\} \cdot \min\{1, O_Y(w)\}.$$  

When $|X| = n$ and $|Y| = m$, we have

$$J_{n,m} = \mathbb{E}[|J(X, Y)|] - 1 = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{A}^* - \{\varepsilon\}} P(O_n^1(w) \geq 1) P(O_m^2(w) \geq 1)$$

where $O_n^i(w)$ is the number of $w$-occurrences in a string of generated by source $i = 1, 2$ (i.e., $X$ and $Y$) which we assume to be memoryless sources.
Consider two sets of $n$ independently generated (memoryless) strings.

Let $\Omega_n^i(w)$ be the number of strings for which $w$ is a prefix when the $n$ strings are generated by a source $i = 1, 2$ define

$$C_{n,m} = \sum_{w \in A^* - \{\varepsilon\}} P(\Omega_n^1(w) \geq 1)P(\Omega_m^2(w) \geq 1)$$

**Theorem 2.** There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J_{n,m} - C_{n,m} = O(\min\{n, m\}^{-\varepsilon})$$

for large $n$. 

**Independent Joint String Complexity**
Consider two sets of \( n \) independently generated (memoryless) strings.

Let \( \Omega_i^n(w) \) be the number of strings for which \( w \) is a prefix when the \( n \) strings are generated by a source \( i = 1, 2 \) define

\[
C_{n,m} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{A}^* - \{\varepsilon\}} P(\Omega_1^n(w) \geq 1) P(\Omega_2^m(w) \geq 1)
\]

**Theorem 2.** There exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[
J_{n,m} - C_{n,m} = O(\min\{n, m\}^{-\varepsilon})
\]

for large \( n \).

**Recurrence for \( C_{n,m} \)**

\[
C_{n,m} = 1 + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k, \ell \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} P_1(a)^k (1 - P_1(a))^{n-k} \binom{m}{\ell} P_2(a)^\ell (1 - P_2(a))^{m-\ell} C_{k,\ell}
\]

with \( C_{0,m} = C_{n,0} = 0 \).
**Poisson Transform.** The Poisson transform $C(z_1, z_2)$ of $C_{n,m}$ is

$$C(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n,m\geq0} C_{n,m} \frac{z_1^n z_2^m}{n!m!} e^{-z_1-z_2}.$$ 

which becomes the **functional equation** after summing up the recurrence:

$$C(z_1, z_2) = (1 - e^{-z_1})(1 - e^{-z_2}) + \sum_{a\in A} C(P_1(a)z_1, P_2(a)z_2).$$

Clearly, $n!m!C_{n,m} = [z_1^n][z_2^m]C(z_1, z_2)e^{z_1+z_2}$. 


Poisson Transform. The Poisson transform $C(z_1, z_2)$ of $C_{n,m}$ is

$$C(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} C_{n,m} \frac{z_1^n z_2^m}{n!m!} e^{-z_1-z_2}.$$ 

which becomes the functional equation after summing up the recurrence:

$$C(z_1, z_2) = (1 - e^{-z_1})(1 - e^{-z_2}) + \sum_{a \in A} C(P_1(a)z_1, P_2(a)z_2).$$

Clearly, $n!m!C_{n,m} = [z_1^n][z_2^m]C(z_1, z_2)e^{z_1+z_2}$.

Mellin Transform. Two dimensional Mellin transform is defined as

$$C^*(s_1, s_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty C(z_1, z_2) z_1^{s_1-1} z_2^{s_2-1} dz_1 dz_2.$$ 

From the above functional equation we find for $-2 < \Re(s_i) < -1$

$$C^*(s_1, s_2) = \Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2) \left( \frac{1}{H(s_1, s_2)} + \frac{s_1}{H(-1, s_2)} + \frac{s_2}{H(s_1, -1)} + \frac{s_1s_2}{H(-1, -1)} \right)$$

where the kernel is defined as

$$H(s_1, s_2) = 1 - \sum_{a \in A} (P_1(a))^{-s_1}(P_2(a))^{-s_2}.$$
Finding $C_{n,n}$

Here we only consider $m = n$ and $z_1 = z_2 = z$.

To recover $C_{n,n}$ we first find the inverse Mellin

$$C(z, z) = \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2} \int_{\Re(s_1) = c_1} \int_{\Re(s_2) = c_2} C^*(s_1, s_2) z^{-s_1-s_2} ds_1 ds_2$$

which turns out to be

$$C(z, z) = \left( \frac{1}{2i\pi} \right)^2 \int_{\Re(s_1) = \rho_1} \int_{\Re(s_2) = \rho_2} \frac{\Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2)}{H(s_1, s_2)} z^{-s_1-s_2} ds_1 ds_2 + o(z^{-M}),$$

for any $M > 0$ as $z \to \infty$ in a cone around the real axis.

The final step to recover $C_{n,n} \sim C(n, n)$

is to apply the two-dimensional depoissonization.
Main Results

Assume that \( \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \) we have \( P_1(a) = P_2(a) = p_a \).

**Theorem 3.** For a biased memoryless source, the joint complexity is asymptotically

\[
C_{n,n} = n \frac{2 \log 2}{h} + Q(\log n)n + o(n),
\]

where \( Q(x) \) is a small periodic function (with amplitude smaller than \( 10^{-6} \)) which is nonzero only when the \( \log p_a \), \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), are rationally related, that is, \( \log p_a / \log p_b \in \mathbb{Q} \).

Assume that \( P_1(a) \neq P_2(a) \).

**Theorem 4.** Define \( \kappa = \min_{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{R}^2} \{ (-s_1 - s_2) \} < 1 \), where \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are roots of

\[
H(s_1, s_2) = 1 - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (P_1(a))^{-s_1}(P_2(a))^{-s_2} = 0.
\]

Then

\[
C_{n,n} = \frac{n^\kappa}{\sqrt{\log n}} \left( \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2)}{\sqrt{\pi \Delta H(c_1, c_2) \nabla H(c_1, c_2)}} + Q(\log n) + O(1/\log n) \right),
\]

where \( Q \) is a double periodic function.
1. Set $P_1(a) = 1/|A|$ and then the kernel is

$$H(s_1, s_2) = 1 - |A|^{s_1} \sum_{a \in A} p_a^{s_2}.$$ 

Define $r(s_2) = \sum_{a \in A} p_a^{s_2}$ and $L(s_2) = \log|A| r(s_2)$.

2. Roots of $H(s_1, s_2) = 0$ are

$$s_1 = -\log|A|(r(s_2)) + \frac{2ik\pi}{\log(|A|)}$$

which are poles of $C(z, z)$ leading to

$$C(z, z) \sim \frac{1}{2i\pi \log|A|} \int_{\Re(s) = c_2} \sum_k \Gamma \left(-L(s) + \frac{2ik\pi}{\log(|A|)}\right) \Gamma(s) z^{L(s)-s-2ik\pi/\log(|A|)} ds$$

Integrating over $s = s_2$ requires the saddle point method.
3. The function $L(s) - s$ achieves its minimum at $c_2 =: \rho$ is the dominant real saddle point. But there is more . . .

Infinitely Many Saddle Points:

3a. $L(c_2 + it)$ is a periodic function with period $2\pi \log \nu$.

3b. The saddle points are at $c_2 + 2\pi i l / \log \nu$.

3c. The infinite saddle points defines the fluctuating function $Q$.

4. The growth of $C(z, z)$ is defined by $z^{L(c_2) - c_2} = z^{\kappa}$ where

$$
\kappa = \min_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \{ \log |A| (r(s)) - s \}, \quad c_2 = \min \arg_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \{ \log |A| (r(s)) - s \},
$$

where here $s = s_2$, and recall $L(s_2) = \log |A| r(s_2)$. The factor $1/\sqrt{\log n}$ comes from the saddle point approximation. This completes the sketch.
Classification of Sources

The growth of $C_{n,n}$ is:
- $\Theta(n)$ for identical sources;
- $\Theta(n^\kappa / \sqrt{\log n})$ for nonidentical sources with $\kappa < 1$.

Figure 1: Joint complexity: (a) English text vs French, Greek, Polish, and Finnish texts; (b) real and simulated texts (3rd Markov order) of English, French, Greek, Polish and Finnish language.
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