

Bounded Model Checking

CS560: Reasoning About Programs

Roopsha Samanta

Roadmap

Previously

- ▶ Invariant generation and abstract interpretation

Today

- ▶ Bounded model checking for programs

Model Checking

Clarke



Emerson



Sifakis



Clarke & Emerson, *Design and Synthesis of Synchronization Skeletons using Branching-Time Temporal Logic*, 1981

Algorithmic framework for exhaustive exploration of finite-state transition systems to check temporal properties

Bounded Model Checking (BMC)

- ▶ Represent the transition system as a formula in propositional logic
- ▶ Instead of exploring all states exhaustively, unroll the transition relation up to a certain fixed bound and search for violations of the property within that bound
- ▶ Transform this search to a Boolean satisfiability problem, solve using a SAT solver
- ▶ If no *counterexamples* found using current bound, increment bound and repeat

Great for refutation of properties!

BMC for Programs using SMT Solvers

1. Unwind loops a fixed k times
 - ▶ Duplicate the loop body k times
 - ▶ Guard each copy using an if statement that checks the loop condition
 - ▶ At the end of k repetitions, add an *unwinding assertion* that asserts the negation of the loop condition
 - ▶ If unwinding assertion fails, then there exists a program execution that exceeds bound (loop executes $> k$ times)
 - ▶ If unwinding assertion passes, then bound is sufficient for verification!

```
x := 0
y := 0
while (y <= n) do
  if (z == 0) then
    x := x + 1
  else
    x := x + y
  y := y + 1
assert x ≤ y
```

Unwind loop
k = 2 times



```
x := 0
y := 0
if (y <= n)
  if (z == 0) then
    x := x + 1
  else
    x := x + y
y := y + 1
if (y <= n)
  if (z == 0) then
    x := x + 1
  else
    x := x + y
y := y + 1
assert y > n
assert x ≤ y
```

Unwinding assertion

BMC for Programs using SMT Solvers

2. Transform program to static single assignment (SSA) form
 - ▶ Rename variables so that each variable is assigned only once
 - ▶ For each join point after a conditional, add new variables with selectors

```

x := 0
y := 0
if (y <= n)
  if (z == 0) then
    x := x + 1
  else
    x := x + y
y := y + 1
if (y <= n)
  if (z == 0) then
    x := x + 1
  else
    x := x + y
y := y + 1
assert y > n
assert x ≤ y

```

SSA
conversion



```

if z0 == 0,
  φ(x2, x3) = x2,
else
  φ(x2, x3) = x3

```

```

x1 := 0
y1 := 0
if (y1 <= n0)
  if (z0 == 0) then
    x2 := x1 + 1
  else
    x3 := x1 + y1
x4 := φ(x2, x3)
y2 := y1 + 1
if (y2 <= n0)
  if (z0 == 0) then
    x5 := x4 + 1
  else
    x6 := x4 + y2
x7 := φ(x5, x6)
y3 := y2 + 1
assert y3 > n0
assert x7 ≤ y3

```


BMC for Programs using SMT Solvers

3. Generate a SAT/SMT encoding
 - ▶ Generate forward propagation constraints R for program
 - ▶ Generate constraints A for (unwinding) assertions
 - ▶ Check if $R \wedge \neg A$ is satisfiable
 - ▶ If sat, then some (unwinding) assertion is violated
 - ▶ If unsat, program satisfies all assertions!

```

 $x_1 := 0$ 
 $y_1 := 0$ 
if ( $y_1 \leq n_0$ )
  if ( $z_0 == 0$ ) then
     $x_2 := x_1 + 1$ 
  else
     $x_3 := x_1 + y_1$ 
   $x_4 := \phi(x_2, x_3)$ 
   $y_2 := y_1 + 1$ 
  if ( $y_2 \leq n_0$ )
    if ( $z_0 == 0$ ) then
       $x_5 := x_4 + 1$ 
    else
       $x_6 := x_4 + y_2$ 
     $x_7 := \phi(x_5, x_6)$ 
     $y_3 := y_2 + 1$ 
    assert  $y_3 > n_0$ 
assert  $x_7 \leq y_3$ 

```

Strongest postcondition computation
without existential quantification!

```

 $R \equiv$ 
 $x_1 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $y_1 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $x_2 = x_1 + 1 \wedge$ 
 $x_3 = x_1 + y_1 \wedge$ 
 $y_2 = y_1 + 1 \wedge$ 
 $x_5 = x_4 + 1 \wedge$ 
 $x_6 = x_4 + y_2 \wedge$ 
 $y_3 = y_2 + 1 \wedge$ 
 $(y_1 \leq n_0 \wedge ((z_0 = 0 \wedge x_4 = x_2) \vee (z_0 \neq 0 \wedge x_4 = x_3))) \wedge$ 
 $(y_2 \leq n_0 \wedge ((z_0 = 0 \wedge (x_7 = x_5)) \vee (z_0 \neq 0 \wedge (x_7 = x_6))))$ 

```

```

 $A \equiv y_3 > n_0 \wedge x_7 \leq y_3$ 

```

```

 $x_1 := 0$ 
 $y_1 := 0$ 
if ( $y_1 \leq n_0$ )
  if ( $z_0 == 0$ ) then
     $x_2 := x_1 + 1$ 
  else
     $x_3 := x_1 + y_1$ 
     $x_4 := \phi(x_2, x_3)$ 
     $y_2 := y_1 + 1$ 
    if ( $y_2 \leq n_0$ )
      if ( $z_0 == 0$ ) then
         $x_5 := x_4 + 1$ 
      else
         $x_6 := x_4 + y_2$ 
         $x_7 := \phi(x_5, x_6)$ 
         $y_3 := y_2 + 1$ 
        assert  $y_3 > n_0$ 
assert  $x_7 \leq y_3$ 

```

```

 $R \equiv$ 
 $x_1 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $y_1 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $x_2 = x_1 + 1 \wedge$ 

```

If $R \wedge \neg A$ is unsat, program correct!

Else if $R \wedge \neg A_2$ is sat, program buggy!

Else $R \wedge \neg A_1$ is sat, i.e., program correct upto bound k, but there exists some execution of length $> k$; increase bound k and repeat.

```

 $A \equiv y_3 > n_0 \wedge x_7 \leq y_3$ 

```

```

 $A_1 \equiv y_3 > n_0$ 

```

```

 $A_2 \equiv x_7 \leq y_3$ 

```

Summary

Today

- ▶ Bounded model checking for programs
 - ▶ Unwinds loops a fixed, bounded number of times
 - ▶ SSA form makes forward propagation straightforward
 - ▶ An effective refutation technique
 - ▶ Unwinding assertions enable verification

Next

- ▶ Model Checking