SOLUTIONS TO CS 536 MIDTERM, FALL 2019 (PARK)
P1(a) 14 pts
Each TDM frame consists of $100 * 8=800$ bits.
2 pts
8000 frames need to fit in a 1-second time interval. This is due to supporting voice communication in T1 which assumes 4000 Hz voice bandwidth. By Nyquist's sampling criterion, $4000 * 2$ = 8000 samples are needed per second.
3 pts
Put together this results in 6400000 bps , i.e., 6.4 Mbps .
2 pts
Pulse width is $1 / 6400000$ sec (about 160 nanoseconds).
2 pts
This is not a viable idea. Since pulse width is $1 / 6400000$ and we are using pulse amplitude modulation (square waves), we know that the spectrum of each square wave is a sinc function with width at least 6.4 MHz .
2 pts
Since link bandwidth is 3 MHz , a significant portion of the frequencies (i.e., sinusoids) needed to preserve the shape of the square will be lost. This is likely to result in a signal received that is significantly distorted (not a square wave anymore) which leads to errors decoding 0 or 1.
3 pts
P1(b) 14 pts
3 bits yields 8 values for sequence numbers, say, $0,1, \ldots, 7$.
2 pts
By the rule SWS $<($ MaxSeqNum + 1) / $2=(8+1) / 2$, we have SWS <= 4 .
4 pts
Suppose the sender violates the rule by transmitting 5 packets (or frames) with sequence numbers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Suppose all frames are correctly received at the receiver who transmits ACK packets with sequence numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Suppose all five ACKs are received in time (i.e., before their respective timeouts expire) and the sender transmits the next 5 data packets with sequence numbers $5,6,7,0,1$. Suppose $5,6,7$ are dropped and only 0 and 1 make it to the receiver.
4 pts
Upon receiving 0 and 1 , the receiver cannot determine if (a) 0 and 1 are new data packets (as is the case in this example), or (b) its ACK packets $1,2,3,4,5$ did not reach the sender in time which caused the timers for packets 0 and 1 to expire and be retransmitted. This ambiguity cannot arise if SWS <= 4.
4 pts
[Other example scenarios exist and get full credit if they are correctly explained. Otherwise partial or zero credit.]

P1(c) 14 pts
Assuming two hosts A and B at opposite ends of a 2500 m Ethernet network, the worst-case collision scenario arises when $A$ senses the link to be idle (CS), transmits a frame, and just before the first bit of A's frame arrives at $B, B$ senses the link the to be idle and starts transmitting its frame which causes a collision.
2 pts
B's bits travel to A which altogether (from the moment A starts transmitting to A hearing the bits from B which implies collision) is assumed to take 51.2 microseconds (about the round-trip latency of the 2500 m network). For CD to guarantee that A detects the worst-case collision if it occurs, A must not be done transmitting all the bits of its frame. Only then is A assured of hearing B's bits which implies collision.
2 pts
Since link bandwidth is $10 \mathrm{Mbps}, 51.2$ microseconds $* 10 \mathrm{Mbps}=512$ bits (i.e., 64 bytes) must be the minimum size of A's frame so that its transmission time at 10 Mbps is at least 51.2 microseconds. Ignoring Ethernet's preamble/postamble bits (we are being conservative), 6 bytes of source address, 6 bytes of destination address, 2 bytes for type field, and 4 bytes for error detection (CRC field) yields $6+6+2+4=18$ bytes. Hence $64-18=46$ bytes must be the minimum payload size of an Ethernet frame.
2 pts
Maximum diameter has to decrease by a factor of at least 10 ( 250 m ) to guarantee that $A$ is still transmitting bits at 100 Mbps speed when the worst-case collision signal from the opposite end arrives.
2 pts

Buildings come in all shapes and sizes, and the maximum diameter of its electrical wiring is difficult to predict. Also, electrically wires are typically not terminated by absorbers which prevent signals to be reflected back which can incorrectly be interpreted as collision. Hence CD is difficult to implement.
2 pts
CSMA without CD requires a receiver to send a separate ACK frame to acknowledge receipt of a data frame. That is, perform stop-and-wait operation on the data frame.
2 pts
With thousands of hosts competing to transmit frames over shared electric wiring of a building, CSMA cannot prevent multiple hosts from temporarily sensing the link as idle, transmitting, and causing collision. In high-load environments, CSMA is not an effective protocol for sharing bandwidth.
2 pts
P2(a) 19 pts
To allocate 1000 orthogonal carrier frequencies to 1000 users, divide bandwidth $6-5=1 \mathrm{GHz}$ by 1000. This yields 1 MHz . Set the carrier frequencies as $5.001 \mathrm{GHz}, 5.002 \mathrm{GHz}, \ldots, 5.999 \mathrm{GHz}$, 6 GHz . This is equivalent to (a) setting the carrier frequencies as $0.001 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.002 \mathrm{GHz}, \ldots$, 1 GHz , which being integer multiples (i.e., harmonics) of the base frequency 0.001 GHz are guaranteed to be mutually orthogonal over the finite time window (i.e., symbol period) $1 / 0.001 \mathrm{GHz}$ $=1$ microsecond; then (b) multiplying by 5 GHz which shifts the 1000 carrier waves to the $5-6 \mathrm{GHz}$ range.
5 pts
Each of the 1000 carrier waves follows the symbol period of the slowest carrier wave, hence a clock that runs at 1 MHz . Since 8 -level AM is used, per symbol period 3 bits can be transmitted per carrier frequency. Thus $1 \mathrm{MHz} * 3$ bits $=3 \mathrm{Mbps}$ is the bps bandwidth of each carrier wave. 4 pts

Since there are 1000 carrier frequencies, the bps bandwidth of the system as a whole is $3 \mathrm{Mbps} * 1000=3 \mathrm{Gbps}$.
2 pts
If the number of users decreases to 100 , the base carrier frequency is $1 \mathrm{GHz} / 100=10 \mathrm{MHz}$. Hence each user get bps bandwidth $10 \mathrm{MHz} * 3$ bits $=30 \mathrm{Mbps}$. System bps bandwidth is 30 Mbps $* 100=3$ Gbps. Thus system bandwidth remains unchanged but each carrier wave is able to transmit 10 times faster.
4 pts
To operate the above OFDMA system in the $3-4 \mathrm{GHz}$ range, all that has to change is step (b): multiplify the carrier waves by 3 GHz sinusoid instead of 5 GHz sinusoid at the sender.
2 pts
Multiplying the carrier waves by 5 GHz or 3 GHz sinuoid is a shift operation and has no effect on bps bandwidth.
2 pts
P2(b) 19 pts
Since there are no losses and assuming timeout greater than d, there will be no retransmissions and a total of S / P data packets will need to be sent.
2 pts
For each data packet, its completion time (i.e., time to reach the receiver) is given by (F / B) + d which is transmission time plus link latency.
2 pts
After the last bit of the data packet has reached the receiver, the receiver transmits an ACK packet to the sender. The completion time of the ACK packet (i.e., time to reach the sender) is given by ( $D / B$ ) + d.
2 pts
Hence the completion time of a single data packet using stop-and-wait is (F / B) + d + (D / B) + d $=2 * d+(F+D) / B$.
2 pts
Thus total completion time to transmit S / P data packets back-to-back using stop-and-wait $(S / P) *(2 * d+(F+D) / B)$.
2 pts
Throughput is S / total completion time which is P / (2 * d + (F + D) / B).
2 pts
If every other packet is dropped and timeout is $2 *$ d, then for half of the data packets, i.e., (S / P) / 2 the above completion time (per data packet) will apply.
2 pts
For the other half, additional delay will be incurred due to waiting for timeout. Since

of whether it is dropped or not. Hence in the loss case, the completion time for a dropped packet is $2 * d+(2 * d+(F+D) / B)$.
Thus total completion time is ( (S / P) / 2) * (K + L) where $\mathrm{K}=(2 * \mathrm{~d}+(\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{B})$ and $\mathrm{L}=(2 * \mathrm{~d})+(2 * \mathrm{~d}+(\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{B})$.

Caveat: The above calculation holds if latency dominates transmission time. Otherwise, since for every data packet there will be a timeout that causes retransmission, in some situations this may delay transmission of the next data packet. Therefore the loss component of the problem has side effects that can affect total completion time calculation across multiple packets. As inter-packet dependencies were not part of our discussion of stop-and-wait performance, full credit ( 4 pts ) are given to the loss component of the problem.
2 pts
The delay-bandwidth product is $d * B$ which is in unit of bits. If $F$ is significantly smaller than $d * B$, i.e., $F \ll d * B$, then the link is being underutilized by stop-and-wait and using sliding window is likely to result in significant throughput gain.
3 pts
P3 20 pts
A host (i.e., its NIC) that detects collision will wait a uniformly random time chosen from the interval [0, 51.2] (unit in microseconds).
2 pts
An application of the Bakery Problem, each host choosing uniformly randomly over [0, 51.2] results in wait times that lead to least number of (expected) collisions.
3 pts
If retransmission results in collision, the interval is doubled to [0, 102.4] and a uniformly random wait time chosen.
2 pts
Upon k consecutive collisions, the interval becomes [0, 51.2*2^(k-1)]. That is, at each consecutive collision, the previous interval is doubled leading to exponential backoff of wait time.
2 pts
Ethernet's exponetial backoff aims to aggressively increase the interval over which wait time is uniformly randomly chosen to significantly reduce the likelihood of future collision. Exponentially increasing the interval accomplishes that.
3 pts
A less aggressive method for increasing the wait time interval upon consecutive collision is linear increase, i.e., $[0,51.2 * \mathrm{k}]$. It is a reasonable method when load is not high. 2 pts

Ethernet is not reliable since if 16 consecutive collisions occur, the sender gives up. 2 pts

Under the assumption that typical wired LANs and wireless LANs are not heavily loaded, it makes sense use CSMA/CD or CSMA since the likelihood of collision is not high and there is no coordination overhead as is the case for OFDMA, TDMA, and CDMA.
2 pts
OFDMA, TDMA, or CDMA are preferable if load is high.
2 pts
Bonus 10 pts
If the ACK packet is dropped, the server is left waiting indefinitely which it cannot afford to do.
3 pts
In our file transfer protocol, the server retransmits the last data packet up to 3 times. If it still does not receive an ACK, it will assume that the data packet has been received and terminate.
3 pts
This is not a correct solution since it is possible that all 4 transmissions (original transmission plus 3 retransmission) of the last data packet were lost and that is why an ACK has not been received (i.e., the client has not sent an ACK). If the server gives up after 3 tries, the client is left waiting which our protocol has not addressed.
4 pts

