

# Introduction to Cryptography

## CS 355

### Lecture 9



## One Time Pad

# One-Time Pad

- Basic Idea: Extend Vigenère cipher so that the key is as long as the plaintext
  - No repeat, cannot be broken by finding key length + frequency analysis
- Key is a random string that is at least as long as the plaintext
- Encryption is similar to Vigenère

# One-Time Pad

Plaintext space =

Ciphertext space =

Keyspace =  $(\mathbb{Z}_m)^n$

Key is chosen randomly

Plaintext  $X = (x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n)$

Key  $K = (k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_n)$

$Y = (y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n)$

$e_k(X) = (x_1+k_1 \ x_2+k_2 \ \dots \ x_n+k_n) \bmod m$

$d_k(Y) = (y_1+k_1 \ y_2+k_2 \ \dots \ y_n+k_n) \bmod m$



# How Good is One-Time Pad?

- Intuitively, it is secure ...
- The key is random, so the ciphertext is completely random

# Shannon (Information-Theoretic) Security

- Basic Idea: Ciphertext should provide no “information” about Plaintext
  - Precise definition will be given towards the end of the course
- We also say such a scheme has perfect secrecy.
- One-time pad has perfect secrecy
  - E.g., suppose that the ciphertext is “Hello”, can we say any plaintext is more likely than another plaintext?

# What about the Ciphers We Have Studied

- Why Shift cipher does not have perfect secrecy?
- Why Vigenère cipher does not have perfect secrecy?

# Key Randomness in One-Time Pad

- One-Time Pad uses a very long key, what if the key is not chosen randomly, instead, texts from, e.g., a book is used.
  - this is not One-Time Pad anymore
  - this does not have perfect secrecy
  - this can be broken easily
- The key in One-Time Pad should never be reused.
  - If it is reused, it is Two-Time Pad, and is insecure!

# The “Bad News” Theorem for Perfect Secrecy

- Perfect secrecy  $\Rightarrow$  key-length  $\geq$  msg-length
- Difficult to use in practice



# The Binary Version of One-Time Pad

Plaintext space = Ciphertext space =

Keyspace =  $\{0, 1\}^n$

Key is chosen randomly

For example:

- Plaintext is                   11011011
- Key is                            01101001
- Then ciphertext is           10110010

# Bit Operators

- Bit AND

$$0 \wedge 0 = 0 \quad 0 \wedge 1 = 0 \quad 1 \wedge 0 = 0 \quad 1 \wedge 1 = 1$$

- Bit OR

$$0 \vee 0 = 0 \quad 0 \vee 1 = 1 \quad 1 \vee 0 = 1 \quad 1 \vee 1 = 1$$

- Addition mod 2 (also known as Bit XOR)

$$0 \oplus 0 = 0 \quad 0 \oplus 1 = 1 \quad 1 \oplus 0 = 1 \quad 1 \oplus 1 = 0$$

- Can we use operators other than Bit XOR for binary version of One-Time Pad?

# Stream Ciphers

- In OTP, a key is described by a random bit string of length  $n$
- Stream ciphers:
  - Idea: replace “rand” by “pseudo rand”
  - Use Pseudo Random Number Generator
  - PRNG:  $\{0,1\}^s \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ 
    - expand a short (e.g., 128-bit) random seed into a long (e.g.,  $10^6$  bit) string that “looks random”
  - Secret key is the seed
  - $E_{\text{seed}}[M] = M \oplus \text{PRNG}(\text{seed})$

# Properties of Stream Ciphers

- Does not have perfect secrecy
  - security depends on PRNG
- PRNG must be “unpredictable”
  - given consecutive sequence of bits output (but not seed), next bit must be hard to predict
- Typical stream ciphers are very fast
- Used in many places, often incorrectly
  - SSL( RC4), DVD (LFSR), WEP (RC4), etc.

# Fundamental Weaknesses of Stream Ciphers

- If the same stream is used twice ever, then easy to break.
- Highly malleable
  - easy to change ciphertext so that plaintext changes in predictable, e.g., flip bits
- Weaknesses exist even if the PRNG is strong

# Coming Attractions ...

- Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR)
- Recommended reading for next lecture:
  - Trappe & Washington: 2.10

