# Cryptography CS 555

#### Topic 7: Stream Ciphers and CPA Security

#### **Outline and Readings**

- Outline
  - Handling variable length messages
  - Security for multiple messages
  - Stream ciphers for multiple messages
  - CPA secure
- Readings:
  - Katz and Lindell: 3.4, 3.5



#### Handling Variable Length Messages (Textbook, Section 3.4.2)

- A variable output-length pseudo-random generator is G(s, 1<sup>l</sup>) that output l such that
  - Any shorter output is the prefix of the longer one
  - Fix any length, this is a pseudo-random generator
- Given such a generator, can encrypt messages of different length by choosing l to be length of the message.

#### Security for Multiple Encryptions (Textbook Section 3.4.3)

- How to encrypt multiple messages with one key?
  - What is wrong with using the standard way of using stream cipher to encrypt?
- How to define secure encryption with multiple messages?

#### Definition 3.18. Has indistinguishable Multiple Encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper.

- Define an experiment called PrivK<sup>mult</sup>(n)
  - Involving an Adversary and a Challenger
  - Instantiated with an Adv algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , and an encryption scheme  $\Pi$  = (Gen, Enc, Dec)

ChallengerAdversary
$$k \leftarrow Gen(1^n)$$
 $M_0, M_1$  $\mathcal{A}(1^n)$  gives two vector of $b \leftarrow_R \{0,1\}$  $C = E_k[m_b^{-1}], E_k[m_b^{-2}], \dots E_k[m_b^{-1}]$  $\mathcal{A}(1^n)$  gives two vector of $b' \in \{0,1\}$  $b' \in \{0,1\}$  $equal lengths$ 

PrivK<sup>mult</sup> = 1 if b=b', and PrivK<sup>mult</sup> = 0 if  $b \neq b'$ Pr[PrivK<sup>mult</sup><sub>A,II</sub>=1]  $\leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n)$ 

Spring 2012/Topic 7

## Single Msg vs. Multiple Msgs

- Give an encryption scheme that has indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper
  - i.e., secure in single message setting
- But does not have indistinguishable multiple encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper.
  – i.e., insecure for encrypting multiple messages?
- No deterministic encryption scheme is secure for multiple messages

# How to Encrypt Multiple Messages with a Stream Cipher (i.e., Pseudorandom generator)

- Method 1: Synchronized mode
  - Use a different part of the output stream to encrypt each new message
  - Sender and receiver needs to know which position is used to encrypt each message
  - Often problematic

### How to Encrypt Multiple Messages with a Stream Cipher

- Method 2: Unsynchronized mode
  - Use a random Initial Vector (IV)
  - $\operatorname{\textbf{Enc}}_{k}(m) = \langle \mathsf{IV}, \, \mathsf{G}(k, \mathsf{IV}) \oplus m \rangle$ 
    - IV must be randomly chosen, and freshly chosen for each message
    - How to decrypt?
  - What G to use and under what assumptions on G such a scheme has indistinguishable multiple encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper
    - What if  $G(k,IV) \equiv G'(k||IV)$ , where G' is a pseudorandom generator

#### Security of Unsynchronized Mode

#### Recall that

- IV is sent in clear, so is known by the adversary
- For each IV,  $G(\cdot,IV)$  is assumed to be pseudorandom generator;
- Furthermore, when given multiple IVs and outputs under the same randomly chosen seed, the combined output must be pseudo-random
- Stream ciphers in practice are assumed to have the above augmented pseudorandomness property and used this way

#### Functions and Keyed Functions

- Consider  $\mathbf{Enc}_{k}(m) = \langle IV, G(k, IV) \oplus m \rangle$
- G(k,IV) takes two inputs. This can also be viewed as a family (set) of functions, aka, a keyed function
- For each key k, we define function  $G_k$  to be  $G_k(x) = G(k,x)$
- The property we desire for G is such that when k is randomly chosen,  $G_k(\cdot)$  has the property that knowing  $G_k(x_1)$  one cannot predict what will  $G_k(x_2)$  be  $x_1 \neq x_2$ 
  - That is,  $G_k(\cdot)$  should be indistinguishable from a random function.
  - If one can predict  $G_k(x)$  when given x, is the above encryption scheme secure?

#### Security Against Chosen Plaintext Attacks (Textbook 3.5)

- Security notions considered so far is for ciphertext-only attacks
- Modeling chosen plaintext attacks
  - Adversary may choose messages and obtain corresponding ciphertexts adaptively
    - Adaptively means that adversary may look at the ciphertext of the first chosen message, then choose the next message.
  - How to model this ability of the adversary?
    - Adversary is given an encryption oracle, which can encrypt messages but does not give out the key

## The CPA Indistinguishablility Experiment: **PrivK<sup>cpa</sup>**(n)

- A k is generated by Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- Adversary is given oracle access to Enc<sub>k</sub>(·), and outputs a pair of equal-length messages m<sub>0</sub> and m<sub>1</sub>
- A random bit b is chosen, and adversary is given Enc<sub>k</sub>(m<sub>b</sub>)
  - Called the challenge ciphertext
- Adversary still has oracle access to Enc<sub>k</sub>(·), and (after some time) outputs b'
- PrivK<sup>cpa</sup>(n) = 1 if b=b' (adversary wins) and =0 otherwise

# CPA-secure (aka IND-CPA security)

- A private-key encryption scheme Π = (Gen, Enc, Dec) has indistinguishable encryption under a chosen-plaintext attack iff. for all PPT adversary *A*, there exists a negligible function negl such that
  - $\Pr[\mathbf{PrivK^{cpa}}_{A,\Pi}=1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n)$
- No deterministic encryption scheme is CPAsecure. Why?

#### Properties of CPA-secure

- CPA-secure for multiple messages is equivalent to CPA-secure for a single message
- Given a fixed-length encryption scheme that is CPA-secure, we can encrypt messages of arbitrary length by encrypting different parts of messages separately

#### Coming Attractions ...

- Pseudorandom functions
- Reading: Katz & Lindell: 3.6

