The Machine Learning Angle for Open Source Science Linux Foundation Member Summit 2023

J. Setpal

October 25, 2023



ML for Open Source Science



My argument: **Both**.



My argument: Both.



Now, some questions arise:

a. Why both?

My argument: Both.



Now, some questions arise:

- a. Why both?
- b. How does it help?

500

My argument: Both.



Now, some questions arise:

- a. Why both?
- b. How does it help?
- c. Why didn't I include 'both' as an option during the poll?

My argument: Both.



Now, some questions arise:

- a. Why both?
- b. How does it help?
- c. Why didn't I include 'both' as an option during the poll?

Let's talk about it.

LFMS 2023

Idea: training \approx compilation



Idea: training \approx compilation





Idea: training \approx compilation



Idea: training \approx compilation



Key Difference: time(training) >> time(compilation)

LFMS 2023

500

Reproducibility for Open Source Science

Machine Learning is a science.





Consequence: Traditional 'open source' is not enough.

Consequence: Traditional 'open source' *is not enough*. **Idea**: Free and Open Source Science = Open Source + Reproducibility.

Consequence: Traditional 'open source' *is not enough*. **Idea**: Free and Open Source Science = <u>Open Source + Reproducibility</u>. **Bonus**: We can reuse the 'FOSS' acronym!

Consequence: Traditional 'open source' *is not enough*. **Idea**: Free and Open Source Science = <u>Open Source + Reproducibility</u>. **Bonus**: We can reuse the 'FOSS' acronym!

Important Note

This still is a partial answer. The democratization of accelerated hardware is still a **significant challenge** we fail to address.



Step 0: Accept¹ that **not everything can be open**.



 $^{1} {\sf begrudgingly}$

LFMS 2023

ML for Open Source Science



¹begrudgingly

This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

However, we *should* expect:

a. Documentation.



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

- a. Documentation.
- b. Synthetic Dataset Samples.



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

- a. Documentation.
- b. Synthetic Dataset Samples.
- c. Training and Inference Code.



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

- a. Documentation.
- b. Synthetic Dataset Samples.
- c. Training and Inference Code.
- d. Descriptive whitepaper.



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

- a. Documentation.
- b. Synthetic Dataset Samples.
- c. Training and Inference Code.
- d. Descriptive whitepaper.
- e. Permissive Licensing.



This is primarily owing to data privacy, and extends to model parameters.²

However, we *should* expect:

- a. Documentation.
- b. Synthetic Dataset Samples.
- c. Training and Inference Code.
- d. Descriptive whitepaper.
- e. Permissive Licensing.

So; where do we go from here?

²https://unlearning-challenge.github.io/

LFMS 2023

ML for Open Source Science



¹begrudgingly



What <u>not</u> to do: https://github.com/jinensetpal/archimede.git



What <u>**not</u>** to do: https://github.com/jinensetpal/archimede.git Because:</u>

a. There's no real entrypoint.

What <u>**not</u>** to do: https://github.com/jinensetpal/archimede.git Because:</u>

- a. There's no real entrypoint.
- b. Random pickled objects.

What <u>**not</u>** to do: https://github.com/jinensetpal/archimede.git Because:</u>

- a. There's no real entrypoint.
- b. Random pickled objects.
- c. No version control.

What <u>**not</u>** to do: https://github.com/jinensetpal/archimede.git Because:</u>

- a. There's no real entrypoint.
- b. Random pickled objects.
- c. No version control.

Despite being computationally inexpensive, and having open source {code, data, hyperparameters}, it's not *actually* helpful.

Module-Based Development

Idea: Every project is a python package.





Include a const.py that contains hyperparameters.



Include a const.py that contains hyperparameters.

Then, from the root of the repository you'd run: \$ python -m path.to.file

Include a const.py that contains hyperparameters.

Then, from the root of the repository you'd run:

\$ python -m path.to.file

Consequences:

a. Structures the codebase; components are easy to identify and debug.

Include a const.py that contains hyperparameters.

Then, from the root of the repository you'd run:

\$ python -m path.to.file

Consequences:

- a. Structures the codebase; components are easy to identify and debug.
- b. \$PYTHONPATH is resolved automatically, relative imports work!

Include a const.py that contains hyperparameters.

Then, from the root of the repository you'd run:

\$ python -m path.to.file

Consequences:

- a. Structures the codebase; components are easy to identify and debug.
- b. \$PYTHONPATH is resolved automatically, relative imports work!

Great Example: Ultralytics' YOLOv8. **Great Template**: Cookie Cutter Data Science

git is a brilliant tool that allows us to version control code; but what about data?

git is a brilliant tool that allows us to version control code; but what about data?

Enter **DVC** (Data Version Control).

- **git** is a brilliant tool that allows us to version control code; but what about data?
- Enter **DVC** (Data Version Control). It enables us to add, track, push, pull and checkout data.

git is a brilliant tool that allows us to version control code; but what about data?

Enter **DVC** (Data Version Control). It enables us to add, track, push, pull and checkout data.

Consequence: Data is now <u>tracked</u>. It's associated with a specific commit, and can be diffed.

Directed Acyclic Graph for Execution

LFMS 2023

DVC also allows us to structure code execution as a **DAG** (Directed Acyclic Graph), elucidating code / data / hyperparameter relationships:



ML for Open Source Science

Directed Acyclic Graph for Execution

DVC also allows us to structure code execution as a **DAG** (Directed Acyclic Graph), elucidating code / data / hyperparameter relationships:



Crucially, it gives us an entrypoint and a project overview.

Directed Acyclic Graph for Execution

DVC also allows us to structure code execution as a **DAG** (Directed Acyclic Graph), elucidating code / data / hyperparameter relationships:



Crucially, it gives us an entrypoint and a project overview.



Systematic Experiment & Model Tracking

Next, we target the unpredictability of training.



We are not guaranteed a minima. Therefore, we track **metrics** and **hyperparameters**, to find the best set for a given run.



We are not guaranteed a minima. Therefore, we track **metrics** and **hyperparameters**, to find the best set for a given run.

MLFlow helps track and compare various experiments and parameters.



We are not guaranteed a minima. Therefore, we track **metrics** and **hyperparameters**, to find the best set for a given run.

MLFlow helps track and compare various experiments and parameters.

In addition, it allows tagging runs, registering models, and deploying a target model-as-a-service using Docker.

LFMS 2023

We are not guaranteed a minima. Therefore, we track **metrics** and **hyperparameters**, to find the best set for a given run.

MLFlow helps track and compare various experiments and parameters.

In addition, it allows tagging runs, registering models, and deploying a target model-as-a-service using Docker.

This tool manages the experiment-model lifecycle.



DagsHub solves this. It's a remote host for:

a. DVC.



DagsHub solves this. It's a remote host for:

- a. DVC.
- b. MLFlow.

DagsHub solves this. It's a remote host for:

- a. DVC.
- b. MLFlow.
- c. Label Studio.

DagsHub solves this. It's a remote host for:

- a. DVC.
- b. MLFlow.
- c. Label Studio.

It also connects with **GitHub** and **Colaboratory**, and allows for big-picture view of the project.



For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist.



For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist. Critical ideas:

a. **Models and algorithms** require clear descriptions of assumptions, settings and time-complexity analyses.

For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist. Critical ideas:

- a. **Models and algorithms** require clear descriptions of assumptions, settings and time-complexity analyses.
- b. Datasets must include statistics, splits, and pre-processing procedure.

For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist. Critical ideas:

- a. **Models and algorithms** require clear descriptions of assumptions, settings and time-complexity analyses.
- b. Datasets must include statistics, splits, and pre-processing procedure.
- c. **Code** must specify requirements and code for training, inference as well as any pre-trained models.

For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist. Critical ideas:

- a. **Models and algorithms** require clear descriptions of assumptions, settings and time-complexity analyses.
- b. Datasets must include statistics, splits, and pre-processing procedure.
- c. **Code** must specify requirements and code for training, inference as well as any pre-trained models.
- d. **Experiments** must include the range of hyperparameters, the best configuration, the evaluation statistic and training hardware.

For this, we use Dr. Pineau's Reproducibility Checklist. Critical ideas:

- a. **Models and algorithms** require clear descriptions of assumptions, settings and time-complexity analyses.
- b. Datasets must include statistics, splits, and pre-processing procedure.
- c. **Code** must specify requirements and code for training, inference as well as any pre-trained models.
- d. **Experiments** must include the range of hyperparameters, the best configuration, the evaluation statistic and training hardware.

As a consequence, we can realistically evaluate the claims made by the paper's authors.

Extensibility + the Overarching Principle

This is a sample framework intended to establish a <u>baseline</u> approach.



The goal is to extend this on a case-by-case basis; these concepts apply generally.



The goal is to extend this on a case-by-case basis; these concepts apply generally.

To adapt the **approach** to your use-case:

LFMS 2023

a. Find differences from the established standard.

The goal is to extend this on a case-by-case basis; these concepts apply generally.

- To adapt the **approach** to your use-case:
 - a. Find differences from the established standard.
 - b. Identify the parameters required to recreate the experimental setup.

The goal is to extend this on a case-by-case basis; these concepts apply generally.

- To adapt the **approach** to your use-case:
 - a. Find differences from the established standard.
 - b. Identify the parameters required to recreate the experimental setup.
 - c. Set hard / soft requirements based on criticality to replication & user privacy.

Questions for me?



LFMS 2023

ML for Open Source Science

Have an awesome rest of your day!

Slides: https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/jsetpal/slides/lfms.pdf

Homepage: https://jinensetpal.github.io/ Email: jsetpal@cs.purdue.edu {Git, Dags}Hub: @jinensetpal Twitter: @48bitmachine

LFMS 2023