CS 580: Algorithm Design and Analysis

Jeremiah Blocki Purdue University Spring 2019

Homework 5. Due on Thursday, March 28th at 11:59 PM (on Gradescope)

Midterm 2. April 3 @ 8PM (EE 170)
Practice Midterm Released Soon
3x5 Index Card (Double Sided)

Midterm 2

- . When?
 - . April 3rd from 8PM to 10PM (2 hours)
- . Where?
 - . EE 170
- · What can I bring?
 - 3x5 inch index card with your notes (double sided)
 - No electronics (phones, computers, calculators etc...)

Midterm 2

- . When?
 - April 3rd from 8PM to 10PM (2 hours)
- . Where?
 - . EE 170
- What material should I study?
 - The midterm will cover recent topics more heavily
 - Network Flow
 - Max-Flow Min-Cut, Augmenting Paths, etc...
 - Ford Fulkerson, Dinic's Algorithm etc...
 - Applications of Network Flow (e.g., Maximum Bipartite Matching)
 - Linear Programming
 - NP-Completeness
 - Polynomial time reductions, P, NP, NP-Hard, NP-Completess, coNP
 - PSPACE (only basic questions)


8.5 Sequencing Problems

Basic genres.

- Packing problems: SET-PACKING, INDEPENDENT SET.
- Covering problems: SET-COVER, VERTEX-COVER.
- Constraint satisfaction problems: SAT, 3-SAT.
- Sequencing problems: HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE, TSP.
- Partitioning problems: 3D-MATCHING, 3-COLOR.
- Numerical problems: SUBSET-SUM, KNAPSACK.

Hamiltonian Cycle


HAM-CYCLE: given an undirected graph G = (V, E), does there exist a simple cycle Γ that contains every node in V.



YES: vertices and faces of a dodecahedron.

Hamiltonian Cycle

HAM-CYCLE: given an undirected graph G = (V, E), does there exist a simple cycle Γ that contains every node in V.




NO: bipartite graph with odd number of nodes.

Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

DIR-HAM-CYCLE: given a digraph G = (V, E), does there exists a simple directed cycle Γ that contains every node in V?

Claim. DIR-HAM-CYCLE ≤ P HAM-CYCLE.

Pf. Given a directed graph G = (V, E), construct an undirected graph G' with 3n nodes.




Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

Claim. G has a Hamiltonian cycle iff G' does.

Pf. \Rightarrow

- Suppose G has a directed Hamiltonian cycle Γ (e.g., (u,w,v).
- Then G' has an undirected Hamiltonian cycle (same order).
 - For each node v in directed path cycle replace v with vin, v, vout



Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

Claim. G has a Hamiltonian cycle iff G' does.


Pf. \Rightarrow

- Suppose G has a directed Hamiltonian cycle Γ .
- Then G' has an undirected Hamiltonian cycle (same order).
 - For each node v in directed path cycle replace v with v_{in} , v, v_{out}

Pf. ⇐

- Suppose G' has an undirected Hamiltonian cycle Γ' .
- $lacksymbol{\Gamma}$ must visit nodes in G' using one of following two orders:

• Blue nodes in Γ' make up directed Hamiltonian cycle Γ in G, or reverse of one. •




Claim. $3-SAT \leq_P DIR-HAM-CYCLE$.

Pf. Given an instance Φ of 3-SAT, we construct an instance of DIR-HAM-CYCLE that has a Hamiltonian cycle iff Φ is satisfiable.

Construction. First, create graph that has 2ⁿ Hamiltonian cycles which correspond in a natural way to 2ⁿ possible truth assignments.


Construction. Given 3-SAT instance Φ with n variables x_i and k clauses.

- Construct G to have 2ⁿ Hamiltonian cycles.
- Intuition: traverse path i from left to right \Leftrightarrow set variable $x_i = 1$.




Construction. Given 3-SAT instance Φ with n variables x_i and k clauses.

Construct G to have 2ⁿ Hamiltonian cycles.



Construction. Given 3-SAT instance Φ with n variables x_i and k clauses.

For each clause: add a node and 6 edges.



Claim. Φ is satisfiable iff G has a Hamiltonian cycle.

Pf. \Rightarrow

- Suppose 3-SAT instance has satisfying assignment x^* .
- Then, define Hamiltonian cycle in G as follows:
 - if $x_i^* = 1$, traverse row i from left to right
 - if $x_i^* = 0$, traverse row i from right to left
 - for each clause $C_{\rm j}$, there will be at least one row i in which we are going in "correct" direction to splice node $C_{\rm j}$ into tour

Claim. Φ is satisfiable iff G has a Hamiltonian cycle.

Pf. ⇐

- Suppose G has a Hamiltonian cycle Γ .
- . If Γ enters clause node $\textbf{\textit{C}}_{i}$, it must depart on mate edge.
 - thus, nodes immediately before and after C_j are connected by an edge e in G
 - removing C_j from cycle, and replacing it with edge e yields Hamiltonian cycle on G { C_j }
- . Continuing in this way, we are left with Hamiltonian cycle $\Gamma^{\text{'}}$ in

$$G - \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\}.$$

- Set $x^*_i = 1$ iff Γ' traverses row i left to right.
- Since Γ visits each clause node C_j , at least one of the paths is traversed in "correct" direction, and each clause is satisfied. •

Longest Path

SHORTEST-PATH. Given a digraph G = (V, E), does there exists a simple path of length at most k edges?


LONGEST-PATH. Given a digraph G = (V, E), does there exists a simple path of length at least k edges?

Claim. $3-SAT \leq_P LONGEST-PATH$.

Pf 1. Redo proof for DIR-HAM-CYCLE, ignoring back-edge from t to s.

Pf 2. Show HAM-CYCLE $\leq p$ LONGEST-PATH.

TSP. Given a set of n cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



All 13,509 cities in US with a population of at least 500 Reference: http://www.tsp.gatech.edu


TSP. Given a set of n cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



Optimal TSP tour


Reference: http://www.tsp.gatech.edu

TSP. Given a set of n cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



11,849 holes to drill in a programmed logic array Reference: http://www.tsp.gatech.edu

TSP. Given a set of n cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



Optimal TSP tour

Reference: http://www.tsp.gatech.edu

TSP. Given a set of n cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?

HAM-CYCLE: given a graph G = (V, E), does there exists a simple cycle that contains every node in V?

Claim. HAM-CYCLE \leq_P TSP. Pf.

• Given instance G = (V, E) of HAM-CYCLE, create n cities with distance function $d(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (u, v) \in E \\ 2 & \text{if } (u, v) \notin E \end{cases}$

■ TSP instance has tour of length \leq n iff G is Hamiltonian. ■

Remark. TSP instance in reduction satisfies Δ -inequality.

Numerical Problems

Basic genres.

- Packing problems: SET-PACKING, INDEPENDENT SET.
- Covering problems: SET-COVER, VERTEX-COVER.
- Constraint satisfaction problems: SAT, 3-SAT.
- Sequencing problems: HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE, TSP.
- Partitioning problems: 3D-MATCHING, 3-COLOR.
- Numerical problems: SUBSET-SUM, KNAPSACK.

Subset Sum (proof from book)

Construction. Let $X \cup Y \cup Z$ be a instance of 3D-MATCHING with triplet set T. Let n = |X| = |Y| = |Z| and m = |T|.

- Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$, $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$
- For each triplet $t=(x_i, y_j, z_k) \in T$, create an integer w_t with 3n digits that has a 1 in positions i, n+j, and 2n+k.

use base m+1

Claim. 3D-matching iff some subset sums to W = 111,..., 111.

Triplet t _i			x ₁	x ₂	x ₃	X ₄	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	y ₄	Z ₁	z_2	z_3	Z_4	W _i
x ₁	y ₂	z_3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	100,001,000,010
\mathbf{x}_2	y ₄	Z_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	10,000,010,100
x ₁	y ₁	Z ₁	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	100,010,001,000
\mathbf{x}_2	y ₂	Z_4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	10,001,000,001
x ₄	y ₃	Z_4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	100,100,001
x ₃	y ₁	Z_2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1,010,000,100
x ₃	y ₁	z_3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1,010,000,010
x ₃	y ₁	Z ₁	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1,010,001,000
X ₄	y ₄	Z_4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100,010,001

111,111,111,111

Partition

SUBSET-SUM. Given natural numbers w_1 , ..., w_n and an integer W, is there a subset that adds up to exactly W?

PARTITION. Given natural numbers v_1 , ..., v_m , can they be partitioned into two subsets that add up to the same value?

1
 1 /₂ Σ_{i} V_{i}

Claim. SUBSET-SUM \leq_{P} PARTITION.

Pf. Let W, w_1 , ..., w_n be an instance of SUBSET-SUM.


• Create instance of PARTITION with m = n+2 elements.


$$- v_1 = w_1, v_2 = w_2, ..., v_n = w_n, v_{n+1} = 2 \sum_i w_i - W, v_{n+2} = \sum_i w_i + W$$

 There exists a subset that sums to W iff there exists a partition since two new elements cannot be in the same partition. ■



MY HOBBY: EMBEDDING NP-COMPLETE PROBLEMS IN RESTAURANT ORDERS






Randall Munro http://xkcd.com/c287.html

8.7 Graph Coloring

Basic genres.

- Packing problems: SET-PACKING, INDEPENDENT SET.
- Covering problems: SET-COVER, VERTEX-COVER.
- Constraint satisfaction problems: SAT, 3-SAT.
- Sequencing problems: HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE, TSP.
- Partitioning problems: 3D-MATCHING, 3-COLOR.
- Numerical problems: SUBSET-SUM, KNAPSACK.

3-COLOR: Given an undirected graph G does there exists a way to color the nodes red, green, and blue so that no adjacent nodes have the same color?



Register Allocation

Register allocation. Assign program variables to machine register so that no more than k registers are used and no two program variables that are needed at the same time are assigned to the same register.

Interference graph. Nodes are program variables names, edge between u and v if there exists an operation where both u and v are "live" at the same time.

Observation. [Chaitin 1982] Can solve register allocation problem iff interference graph is k-colorable.

Fact. 3-COLOR $\leq P$ k-REGISTER-ALLOCATION for any constant $k \geq 3$.

Claim. $3-SAT \leq_P 3-COLOR$.

Pf. Given 3-SAT instance Φ , we construct an instance of 3-COLOR that is 3-colorable iff Φ is satisfiable.

Construction.


- i. For each literal, create a node.
- ii. Create 3 new nodes T, F, B; connect them in a triangle, and connect each literal to B.
- iii. Connect each literal to its negation.
- iv. For each clause, add gadget of 6 nodes and 13 edges.

to be described next

Claim. Graph is 3-colorable iff Φ is satisfiable.

Pf. \Rightarrow Suppose graph is 3-colorable.


- Consider assignment that sets all T literals to true.
- (ii) ensures each literal is T or F.
- (iii) ensures a literal and its negation are opposites.



Claim. Graph is 3-colorable iff Φ is satisfiable.

Pf. \Rightarrow Suppose graph is 3-colorable.


- Consider assignment that sets all T literals to true.
- (ii) ensures each literal is T or F.
- (iii) ensures a literal and its negation are opposites.
- (iv) ensures at least one literal in each clause is T.



Claim. Graph is 3-colorable iff Φ is satisfiable.

Pf. \Rightarrow Suppose graph is 3-colorable.


- Consider assignment that sets all T literals to true.
- (ii) ensures each literal is T or F.
- (iii) ensures a literal and its negation are opposites.
- (iv) ensures at least one literal in each clause is T.



Claim. Graph is 3-colorable iff Φ is satisfiable.

Pf. \leftarrow Suppose 3-SAT formula Φ is satisfiable.


- Color all true literals T.
- Color node below green node F, and node below that B.
- Color remaining middle row nodes B.
- Color remaining bottom nodes T or F as forced.



Extra Slides

8.10 A Partial Taxonomy of Hard Problems


Polynomial-Time Reductions



4 Color Theorem


Planar 3-Colorability

PLANAR-3-COLOR. Given a planar map, can it be colored using 3 colors so that no adjacent regions have the same color?



Planar 3-Colorability


PLANAR-3-COLOR. Given a planar map, can it be colored using 3 colors so that no adjacent regions have the same color?




Planarity

Def. A graph is planar if it can be embedded in the plane in such a way that no two edges cross.

Applications: VLSI circuit design, computer graphics.



Kuratowski's Theorem. An undirected graph G is non-planar iff it contains a subgraph homeomorphic to K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.




Planarity Testing

Planarity testing. [Hopcroft-Tarjan 1974] O(n).

simple planar graph can have at mos

Remark. Many intractable graph problems can be solved in poly-time if the graph is planar; many tractable graph problems can be solved faster if the graph is planar.


Q. Is this planar graph 3-colorable?



Planar 3-Colorability and Graph 3-Colorability

Claim. PLANAR-3-COLOR $\leq P$ PLANAR-GRAPH-3-COLOR.


Pf sketch. Create a vertex for each region, and an edge between regions that share a nontrivial border.



Claim. W is a planar graph such that:

- In any 3-coloring of W, opposite corners have the same color.
- Any assignment of colors to the corners in which opposite corners have the same color extends to a 3-coloring of W.


Pf. Only 3-colorings of W are shown below (or by permuting colors).




Claim. 3-COLOR $\leq p$ PLANAR-GRAPH-3-COLOR.

Pf. Given instance of 3-COLOR, draw graph in plane, letting edges cross.

- Replace each edge crossing with planar gadget W.
- In any 3-coloring of W, $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$.
- If $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$ then can extend to a 3-coloring of W.






Claim. 3-COLOR $\leq p$ PLANAR-GRAPH-3-COLOR.

Pf. Given instance of 3-COLOR, draw graph in plane, letting edges cross.

- Replace each edge crossing with planar gadget W.
- In any 3-coloring of W, $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$.
- If $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$ then can extend to a 3-coloring of W.



multiple crossings


gadget W

Planar k-Colorability

PLANAR-2-COLOR. Solvable in linear time.

PLANAR-3-COLOR. NP-complete.

PLANAR-4-COLOR. Solvable in O(1) time.



Theorem. [Appel-Haken, 1976] Every planar map is 4-colorable.

- Resolved century-old open problem.
- Used 50 days of computer time to deal with many special cases.
- First major theorem to be proved using computer.

False intuition. If PLANAR-3-COLOR is hard, then so is PLANAR-4-COLOR and PLANAR-5-COLOR.

Polynomial-Time Detour

Graph minor theorem. [Robertson-Seymour 1980s]

Corollary. There exist an $O(n^3)$ algorithm to determine if a graph can be embedded in the torus in such a way that no two edges cross.

Pf of theorem. Tour de force.

Polynomial-Time Detour

Graph minor theorem. [Robertson-Seymour 1980s]

Corollary. There exist an $O(n^3)$ algorithm to determine if a graph can be embedded in the torus in such a way that no two edges cross.

Mind boggling fact 1. The proof is highly non-constructive!

Mind boggling fact 2. The constant of proportionality is enormous!

Unfortunately, for any instance G = (V, E) that one could fit into the known universe, one would easily prefer n^{70} to even *constant* time, if that constant had to be one of Robertson and Seymour's. - David Johnson

Theorem. There exists an explicit O(n) algorithm. Practice. LEDA implementation guarantees $O(n^3)$.