

Name:

Purdue E-mail:

*I collaborated with (...). I affirm that I wrote the solutions in my own words and that I understand the solutions I am submitting.*

## Homework 5

Due date: Thursday, November 29th 3:00 PM

### Question 1 (20 points)

Consider a variant of the Fiat-Shamir transform (Construction 12.9 page 454) in which the signature is  $(I, s)$  rather than  $(r, s)$  and verification is changed in the natural way. Show that if the underlying identification scheme is secure, then the resulting signature scheme is secure here as well

### Question 2 (20 points)

Let  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$  be a signature scheme. In this problem we will show how to obtain a signature scheme  $(\text{Gen}', \text{Sign}', \text{Ver}')$  with a *shorter* public key using a collision-resistant hash function  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^\ell$ . We will assume that  $H$  is a random oracle.

- $\text{Gen}'(1^n)$  runs  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$  to obtain  $(pk, sk)$  with  $pk \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and then outputs  $pk' = H(pk)$  and  $sk' = (pk, sk)$ . Explain how the algorithms  $\text{Sign}'$  and  $\text{Ver}'$  work.
- Suppose that  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$  is a  $(t, q_{\text{sign}}, \epsilon)$ -secure signature scheme meaning that any attacker running in time  $t$  and making at most  $q_{\text{sign}}$  queries to the signature oracle wins the signature forgery game with probability at most  $\epsilon$ . Show that  $(\text{Gen}', \text{Sign}', \text{Ver}')$  is  $(t', q_{\text{sign}}, q_{\text{oracle}}, \epsilon')$ -secure with  $t' = t - O(q_{\text{sign}}n)$  and  $\epsilon' = \epsilon - \frac{q_{\text{oracle}}}{2^t}$  meaning that any attacker running in time  $t'$  making at most  $q_{\text{sign}}$  (resp.  $q_{\text{oracle}}$ ) queries to the signing oracle  $\text{Sign}'$  (resp. random oracle  $H(\cdot)$ ) wins the signature forgery game with probability at most  $\epsilon'$ .

### Question 3 (20 points)

Let  $pk = (N, e = 7)$  (resp.  $sk = (N, d)$ ) denote the public (resp. private) key in a plain RSA signature scheme. Define the function  $\text{Int} : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$  as follows

$$\text{Int}(x_1 \| \dots \| x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{n-i} x_i$$

on input string  $x = (x_1 \| \dots \| x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and let  $\mu$  denote an ASCII character to byte mapping in which  $\mu(0) = 0^8, \mu(1) = 0^7 1, \mu(2) = 0^6 10, \dots, \mu(9) = 0^4 1001$ . Given an ASCII message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_n$  we define  $\text{Encode}(m) = \text{Int}(\mu(m_1) \| \dots \| \mu(m_n))$ .

Finally, for an ASCII message  $m$  we can set

$$\text{Sign}_{sk}(m) = \text{Encode}(m)^d \pmod{N}.$$

Suppose Alice signs the message  $m = \text{"Please pay Bob the following amount from my bank account (USD): 50"}$ . Suppose that Bob obtains  $\sigma = \mathbf{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ . Explain how Bob can obtain a signature  $\sigma'$  authorizing the bank to transfer more than \$50. How much money can Bob make? Assume that the Bank denies transfers above 750 million (USD) without in person authorization. You may assume that  $\mathbf{Encode}(m) < N/2^{64}$ .

### Question 4 (20 points)

Consider the following Zero-Knowledge Proof for the the DDH problem. In particular, Bob (prover) and Alice (Verifier) are both given a triple  $(X, Y, Z)$  where  $X, Y, Z \in \langle g \rangle$  are all elements of a cycle group of prime order  $p$ . Bob is also given  $x, y, z = xy$  such that  $X = g^x, Y = g^y$  and  $Z = g^z$  and wishes to prove to Alice in Zero-Knowledge that  $(X, Y, Z)$  is a DDH triple. Consider the following protocol. 1) Bob picks random integer  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  and sends the triple  $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$  to Alice where  $X_1 = g^{r_1+x}, Y_1 = g^{r_2+y}$  and  $Z_1 = g^{(r_1+x)(r_2+y)}$ . 2) Alice sends a challenge bit  $b$  to Bob. 3) Bob reveals  $e = r_1 + bx \pmod p$  and  $f = r_2 + by \pmod p$  to Alice. 4) Alice accepts if and only if  $X_b = g^e, Y_b = g^f$  and  $Z_b = g^{ef}$  where  $X_0 := X_1/X, Y_0 := Y_1/Y$  and  $Z_0 := Z_1/(ZX^fY^e)$ .

- (a) (2 points) Prove that the protocol is complete.
- (b) (3 points) Prove that the protocol is sound in the sense that the probability Alice accepts when  $(X, Y, Z)$  is not a DDH triple is at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- (c) (5 points) Prove that the protocol is zero-knowledge (Your proof should work even if the verifier behaves maliciously).
- (d) (10 points) Using the Fiat-Shamir paradigm develop a non-interactive version of the above Zero-Knowledge proof (NIZK) in the random oracle model. Your protocol should be complete and should have soundness  $2^{-\ell}$  for a security parameter  $\ell$  against any attacker making at most  $2^\ell$  queries to the random oracle. You should also prove that your protocol is ZK by showing that a simulator can produce an identical looking proof without knowledge of  $x, y, z$  (Hint: the simulator should exploit program-ability).

### Question 5 (20 points)

Suppose that Alice has a secret bits  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and that Bob has a secret bits  $b_1, b_2$  and that Alice and Bob want to compute the function  $h(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) = (b_1 \wedge (b_2 \oplus a_1), (b_2 \vee b_1) \oplus a_1)$  using Yao's Garbled Circuit protocol.

- (a) Suppose that Alice selects several random permutations  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{100} : \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\} \rightarrow \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ . Write down a garbled circuit that Alice could send Bob in terms of these permutations. (Note: You do not need to use all 100 permutations in your solution).
- (b) Suppose that Alice is malicious, but Bob behaves honestly during the execution of the protocol. Write down a garbled circuit that Alice can send Bob to extract *both* secret bits  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ .