# CS 381 - FALL 2019

## Week 4.1, Monday, Sept 9

Homework 2 Due: September 16<sup>th</sup>, 2019 @ 11:59PM on Gradescope

# 5.5 Integer Multiplication

Slides: Kevin Wayne

Motivation: Complex Multiplication

Complex multiplication. (a + bi) (c + di) = x + yi.



Q. Is it possible to do with fewer multiplications?



#### **Complex Multiplication**

Complex multiplication. (a + bi) (c + di) = x + yi.

Grade-school. 
$$x = ac - bd$$
,  $y = bc + ad$ .  
4 multiplications, 2 additions

Q. Is it possible to do with fewer multiplications? Yes. [Gauss] x = ac - bd, y = (a + b)(c + d) - ac - bd. (y = ac + ad + bc + bd - ac - bd = bc + ad) 3 multiplications, 5 additions (\$305)

Remark. Improvement if no hardware multiply.

4

**Clicker Question** 

$$\begin{array}{c} x = 2^{n/2} \\ y = 2^{n/2} \\ \cdot \\ y_1 + y_0 \end{array}$$

Suppose we have computed  $x_0y_0$  and  $x_1y_1$  how can we compute  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0$  with only one additional multiplication (and O(1) addition/subtraction operations)?

A. Impossible! Two multiplications are necessary B.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + x_1)(y_0 + y_1) - x_0y_0 - x_1y_1$ C.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + y_1)(y_0 + x_1) - x_0y_0 - x_1y_1$ D.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + y_0)(y_1 + x_1) - x_1y_0 - x_0y_1$  **Clicker Question** 

$$\begin{array}{c} x = 2^{n/2} \\ y = 2^{n/2} \\ \cdot \\ y_1 + y_0 \end{array}$$

Suppose we have computed  $x_0y_0$  and  $x_1y_1$  how can we compute  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0$  with only one additional multiplication (and O(1) addition/subtraction operations)?

A. Impossible! Two multiplications are necessary B.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + x_1)(y_0 + y_1) - x_0y_0 - x_1y_1$ C.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + y_1)(y_0 + x_1) - x_0y_0 - x_1y_1$ D.  $x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 = (x_0 + y_0)(y_1 + x_1) - x_1y_0 - x_0y_1$ 

#### **Integer** Addition

Addition. Given two *n*-bit integers x and y, compute x + y. Grade-school.  $\Theta(n)$  bit operations.



Remark. Grade-school addition algorithm is optimal.

Integer Multiplication

Multiplication. Given two *n*-bit integers x and y, compute  $x \times y$ . Grade-school.  $\Theta(n^2)$  bit operations.



Q. Is grade-school multiplication algorithm optimal?

#### Divide-and-Conquer Multiplication: Warmup

#### To multiply two *n*-bit integers *x* and *y*:

- Multiply four  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -bit integers, recursively.
- Add and shift to obtain result.

recursive calls

$$x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0$$
  

$$y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0$$
  

$$xy = (2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0)(2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0)$$
  

$$= 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot (x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0) + x_0 y_0$$
  
1  
2  
3  
4  
Ex.  $x = 10001101$   
 $x_1 \quad x_0$   
 $y = 11100001$   
 $y_1 \quad y_0$   
 $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + \Theta(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ 

add, shift

#### Divide-and-Conquer Multiplication: Warmup

#### To multiply two *n*-bit integers *x* and *y*:

- Multiply four  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -bit integers, recursively.
- Add and shift to obtain result.

$$x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0$$
  

$$y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0$$
  

$$xy = (2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0)(2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0)$$
  

$$= 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot (x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0) + x_0 y_0$$
  

$$x = 10001101$$
  

$$x_1 \quad x_0$$
  

$$y = 11100001$$
  

$$y_1 \quad y_0$$
  

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + \Theta(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

Master's Theorem: a = 4, b=2, c=1  $\left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right) > 1$ ,  $O(n^{\log_b a}) = O(n^2)$ 

#### Karatsuba Multiplication

#### To multiply two *n*-bit integers *x* and *y*:

- Add two  $\frac{1}{2}n$  bit integers.
- Multiply three  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -bit integers, recursively.
- Add, subtract, and shift to obtain result.

$$x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0$$
  

$$y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0$$
  

$$xy = 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot (x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0) + x_0 y_0$$
  

$$= 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot ((x_0 + x_1)(y_0 + y_1) - x_0 y_0 - x_1 y_1) + x_0 y_0$$
  

$$T(n) = 3T \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$
  
Recursive calls  
(1), (2) and (3) Add, Shift, Subtract

Clicker Question: Karatsuba Multiplication

### The running time of Karatsuba is:

- A.  $\Theta(n \log n)$
- $\mathsf{B.}\;\Theta(n^{\log_3 2})$
- $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\Theta(n^2)$
- $\mathsf{D}.\ \Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$
- E. Θ(*n*!)



Clicker Question: Karatsuba Multiplication

### The running time of Karatsuba is:

- A.  $\Theta(n \log n)$
- $\mathsf{B.}\,\,\Theta(n^{\log_3 2})$
- $C. \Theta(n^2)$
- **D.**  $\Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$
- **Ε**. Θ(*n*!)

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$
Recursive calls
(1), (2) and (3)
$$Add, Shift,$$
Subtract

#### Karatsuba Multiplication

#### To multiply two *n*-bit integers *x* and *y*:

- Add two  $\frac{1}{2}n$  bit integers.
- Multiply three  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -bit integers, recursively.
- Add, subtract, and shift to obtain result.

$$x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0$$
  
$$y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0$$

$$xy = 2^{n} \cdot x_{1}y_{1} + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot (x_{0}y_{1} + x_{1}y_{0}) + x_{0}y_{0}$$
  
=  $2^{n} \cdot x_{1}y_{1} + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot ((x_{0} + x_{1})(y_{0} + y_{1}) - x_{0}y_{0} - x_{1}y_{1}) + x_{0}y_{0}$   
1  
2  
3  
1  
3  
1  
3

Theorem. [Karatsuba-Ofman 1962] Can multiply two *n*-bit integers in  $O(n^{1.585})$  bit operations.

$$T(n) \leq \underline{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)} + \underline{T(\lceil n/2 \rceil)} + \underline{T(1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{add, subtract, shift}} \Rightarrow T(n)$$

$$Master's \text{ Theorem: } a = 3, b=2, c=1 \quad \left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right) > 1 \Rightarrow T(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a})$$

$$\lceil \log_2 3 < 1.585 \rceil$$

#### **Toom-3** Generalization

Split into 3 parts  $a = 2^{2n/3} \cdot a_2 + 2\frac{a_1}{3} \cdot a_1 + a_0$  $b = 2^{2n/3} \cdot b_2 + 2\frac{a_1}{3} \cdot b_1 + b_0$ 

**Requires**: 5 multiplications of n/3 bit numbers and O(1) additions, shifts

$$T(n) = 5 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) \in O\left(n_1^{\log_3 5}\right)$$
$$1.465 \approx \log_3 5 < \log_2 3 \approx 1.585$$

Toom-Cook Generalization (split into k parts): merge w/ (2k-1) mults

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2^{\frac{n(k-1)}{k}} \cdot a_{k-1} + \dots + 2^{\frac{n}{k}} \cdot a_1 + a_0 \\ b &= 2^{\frac{n(k-1)}{k}} \cdot a_k + \dots + 2^{\frac{n}{k}} \cdot a_1 + a_0 \\ T_k(n) &= (2k-1) \cdot T_k\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) + O(n) \Rightarrow T_k(n) \in O(n^{\log_k(2k-1)}) \\ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k \text{ s.† } T_k(n) \in O(n^{1+\varepsilon}) \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} (\log_k(2k-1)) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Toom-3** Generalization

Split into 3 parts 
$$a = 2^{2n/3} \cdot a_2 + 2\frac{n}{3} \cdot a_1 + a_0$$
$$b = 2^{2n/3} \cdot b_2 + 2\frac{n}{3} \cdot b_1 + b_0$$

**Requires**: 5 multiplications of n/3 bit numbers and O(1) additions, shifts

$$T(n) = 5 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) \in O\left(n^{\log_3 5}\right)$$
  

$$\approx 1.465$$
Schönhage-Strassen algorithm  

$$T(n) \in O(n \log n \log \log n)$$
Only used for really big numbers:  $a > 2^{2^{15}}$   
State of the Art:  $O(n \log n g(n))$  for increasing small  
 $g(n) \ll \log \log n$ 

Closest pair. Given n points in the plane, find a pair with smallest Euclidean distance between them.

Fundamental geometric primitive.

- Graphics, computer vision, geographic information systems, molecular modeling, air traffic control.
- Special case of nearest neighbor, Euclidean MST, Voronoi.

fast closest pair inspired fast algorithms for these problems

Brute force. Check all pairs of points p and q with  $\Theta(n^2)$  comparisons.

1-D version. O(n log n) easy if points are on a line.

Assumption. No two points have same x coordinate.

#### Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.



#### Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants. Obstacle. Impossible to ensure n/4 points in each piece.



Algorithm.

• Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly  $\frac{1}{2}$ n points on each side.



Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly  $\frac{1}{2}$ n points on each side.
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.



Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly  $\frac{1}{2}$ n points on each side.
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.
- Combine: find closest pair with one point in each side.  $\leftarrow$  seems like  $\Theta(n^2)$
- Return best of 3 solutions.



Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance <  $\delta$ .



Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance <  $\delta$ .

. Observation: only need to consider points within  $\delta$  of line L.



Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance <  $\delta$ .

- . Observation: only need to consider points within  $\delta$  of line L.
- Sort points in 2 $\delta$ -strip by their y coordinate.



Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance <  $\delta$ .

- . Observation: only need to consider points within  $\delta$  of line L.
- Sort points in  $2\delta$ -strip by their y coordinate.
- Only check distances of those within 11 positions in sorted list!





Def. Let  $s_i$  be the point in the  $2\delta$ -strip, with the i<sup>th</sup> smallest y-coordinate.

Claim. If  $|i - j| \ge 12$ , then the distance between  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  is at least  $\delta$ . Pf.

- No two points lie in same  $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ -by- $\frac{1}{2}\delta$  box.
- . Two points at least 2 rows apart

have distance  $\geq 2(\frac{1}{2}\delta)$ .

Fact. Still true if we replace 12 with 7.

#### **Closest Pair Algorithm**

```
Closest-Pair(p_1, ..., p_n) {
   Compute separation line L such that half the points
                                                                       O(n \log n)
   are on one side and half on the other side.
   \delta_1 = Closest-Pair(left half)
                                                                       2T(n / 2)
   \delta_2 = Closest-Pair(right half)
   \delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)
   Delete all points further than \delta from separation line L
                                                                       O(n)
                                                                        O(n log n)
   Sort remaining points by y-coordinate.
   Scan points in y-order and compare distance between
                                                                        O(n)
   each point and next 11 neighbors. If any of these
   distances is less than \delta, update \delta.
   return \delta.
}
```

Recurrence of DQ algorithm

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n) \implies T(n) = O(n \log^2 n)$$

#### Use a generalization of case 2 in Master theorem

 $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$  for some constant  $k \ge 0$ .

. f(n) and  $n^{\log_b a}$  grow at similar rates.

Solution:  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$ .

How do we achieve O(n log n)? We will just give an outline, look at the book for details.

### Solution (Idea)

- Use linear time median finding algorithm to determine line L and make the two recursive calls.
- Each recursive call returns its  $\delta$  and the points in its region sorted by y coordinates.
- Merge: Sort y-lists by merging two returned sorted lists in time O(n). Same as mergesort!

Terminate recursion when n<4 or some other small constant and solve the resulting small problem by brute force.

 $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n) \implies T(n) = O(n \log n)$