

CS 381 – FALL 2019

Week 14.3, Friday, Nov 22

Homework 7 Due: November 26th at 11:59PM on Gradescope

Monday Office Hours (November 25th): ~~2:30-3:30PM~~ 5:30-6:30PM

SUBSET SUM

SUBSET SUM:

Instance: n integers x_1, \dots, x_n and a separate integer k

Question: Does there exist a subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = k$

Example 1: (YES Instance)

Instance: $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 5, x_3 = 8, x_4 = 9$ and $k=14$

Witness: $S = \{2,4\} \rightarrow \sum_{i \in S} x_i = 5 + 9 = 14 = k$

Example 2: (NO Instance)

Instance: $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 5, x_3 = 8, x_4 = 9$ and $k=15$

FACT: SUBSET SUM is NP-Complete

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

We give a polynomial reduction f mapping 3SAT Φ instances to SUBSET SUM instances.

Goal: Φ has satisfying assignment if and only if $(y_1, \dots, y_{n'}, k) = f(\Phi)$ is satisfiable (i.e., there exist a subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n'\}$ s.t. $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = k$)

Reduction: Φ has n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses

$m + n + 1$ digits (m "clause" digits & n "variable" digits + padding)

(1) Set $k = \overbrace{3333\dots 311\dots 111110}$

(2) Let $S_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal x_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses $m-1$ and 1 contain x_i ($S_i = \{1, m-1\}$)

$$y_i = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_i} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_i = \overbrace{010\dots 0100\dots 010\dots 000}^{\substack{\swarrow \\ \swarrow \\ \uparrow \\ 10^i}}$$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Reduction: Φ has n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses

$m+n$ digits (**m clause digits** & **n literal digits** + padding)

(1) Set $k = \overbrace{3333\dots 311\dots 1110}$

(2) Let $S_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal x_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses $m-1$ and 1 contain x_i

$$y_i = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_i} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_i = \mathbf{010\dots 0100\dots 010\dots 000}$$

\uparrow
 10^i

(3) Let $S_{i+n} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal \bar{x}_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses m and 2 contain \bar{x}_i ($S_{i+n} = \{2, m\}$)

$$y_{i+n} = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_{i+n}} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_{i+n} = \mathbf{100\dots 1000\dots 010\dots 000}$$

\uparrow
 10^i

(4) For each clause C_i add two numbers $y_{i,1} = y_{i,2} = 10^{n+i} = \mathbf{0\dots 010\dots 00\dots 00}$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Observation 1: No Overflows/Carries (even if we sum every number)

$$y_1 + \dots + y_{2n} + y_{i,1} + \dots + y_{m,1} + y_{i,2} \dots + y_{m,2} = \mathbf{5555\dots5} \mathbf{222\dots2} \mathbf{2220}$$

Only y_i and y_{i+n} contain a 1 at digit i

(1) Set $k = \mathbf{3333\dots3} \mathbf{111\dots1} \mathbf{11110}$

(2) Let $S_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal x_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses $m-1$ and 1 contain x_i

$$y_i = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_i} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_i = \mathbf{010\dots0100\dots010\dots000}$$

\swarrow \swarrow \swarrow
 10^i

(3) Let $S_{i+n} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal \bar{x}_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses m and 2 contain \bar{x}_i

$$y_{i+n} = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_{i+n}} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_{i+n} = \mathbf{100\dots1000\dots010\dots000}$$

\swarrow \swarrow \swarrow
 10^i

(4) For each clause C_i add two numbers $y_{i,1} = y_{i,2} = 10^{n+i} = \mathbf{0\dots010\dots00\dots00}$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Observation 1: No Overflows/Carries (even if we sum every number)

$$y_1 + \dots + y_{2n} + y_{i,1} + \dots + y_{m,1} + y_{i,2} \dots + y_{m,2} = \mathbf{5555\dots5} \mathbf{222\dots2} \mathbf{2220}$$

At most five different y values have a 1 at digit $n+j$; all other y 's have 0 at this digit
 $\rightarrow y_{j,1} = y_{j,2} = 10^{n+j}$ (1 at digit $n+j$)
 \rightarrow Clause C_j has 3 literals

(1) Set $k = \mathbf{3333\dots3} \mathbf{111\dots1} \mathbf{11110}$

(2) Let $S_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal x_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses $m-1$ and 1 contain x_i

$$y_i = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_i} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_i = \mathbf{010\dots0100\dots010\dots000}$$

\uparrow
 10^i

(3) Let $S_{i+n} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the clauses that contain the literal \bar{x}_i for $i \leq n$

Example: Clauses m and 2 contain \bar{x}_i

$$y_{i+n} = 10^i + \sum_{j \in S_{i+n}} 10^{n+j}$$

$$y_{i+n} = \mathbf{100\dots1000\dots010\dots000}$$

\uparrow
 10^i

(4) For each clause C_j add two numbers $y_{i,1} = y_{i,2} = 10^{n+i} = \mathbf{0\dots010\dots00\dots00}$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Observation 1: No Overflows/Carries (even if we sum every number)

$$y_1 + \dots + y_{2n} + y_{1,1} + \dots + y_{m,1} + y_{1,2} \dots + y_{m,2} = \mathbf{5555\dots522\dots2220}$$

Suppose that there is a satisfying assignment e.g., $x_1=1, x_2=0, \dots$

Build subset S as follows

- 1) If x_i is true then include y_i otherwise include y_{i+n}
- 2) Add $3-t_j$ items from the set $\{y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}\}$ where $t_j > 0$ denotes number of true literals in clause C_j (Note that $3-t_j \leq 3$)

$$\sum_{y \in S} y = \mathbf{???????11\dots11110}$$

1×10^i comes from y_i or y_{i+n}
(S includes exactly one of these values)

$$y_{i+n} = \mathbf{?????????00\dots010\dots000}$$

$$y_i = \mathbf{?????????00\dots010\dots000}$$

10^i

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Observation 1: No Overflows/Carries (even if we sum every number)

$$y_1 + \dots + y_{2n} + y_{1,1} + \dots + y_{m,1} + y_{1,2} \dots + y_{m,2} = \mathbf{5555\dots522\dots2220}$$

Suppose that there is a satisfying assignment e.g., $x_1=1, x_2=0, \dots$

Build subset S as follows

- 1) If x_i is true then include y_i otherwise include y_{i+n}
- 2) Add $3-t_j$ items from the set $\{y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}\}$ where $t_j > 0$ denotes number of true literals in clause C_j (Note that $3-t_j \leq 3$)

$$\sum_{y \in S} y = \mathbf{???3???11\dots11110}$$

- $1 \times 10^{n+j}$ appears in three y values from S
- y_i or y_{i+n} for $t_j > 1$ true literals in clause C_j
 - $(3-t_j)$ from $y_{j,1} = y_{j,2} = 10^{n+j}$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Observation 1: No Overflows/Carries (even if we sum every number)

$$y_1 + \dots + y_{2n} + y_{1,1} + \dots + y_{m,1} + y_{1,2} \dots + y_{m,2} = \mathbf{5555\dots522\dots2220}$$

Suppose that there is a satisfying assignment e.g., $x_1=1, x_2=0, \dots$

Build subset S as follows

- 1) If x_i is true then include y_i otherwise include y_{i+n}
- 2) Add $3-t_j$ items from the set $\{y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}\}$ where $t_j > 0$ denotes number of true literals in clause C_j (Note that $3-t_j \leq 3$)

$$\sum_{y \in S} y = \mathbf{333333311\dots11110}$$

Each clause contains $t_j > 0$ true literals

- $1 \times 10^{n+j}$ appears in three y values from S
- y_i or y_{i+n} for $t_j > 1$ true literals in clause C_j
- $(3-t_j)$ from $y_{j,1} = y_{j,2} = 10^{n+j}$

3SAT \leq_p SUBSET SUM

Suppose S is a valid subset sum solution s.t.

$$\sum_{y \in S} y = 333333311\dots11110$$

We define a truth assignment as follows:

Set $x_i = \text{true}$ if y_i is included S and $x_i = \text{false}$ if y_{i+n} is included S

Observe that S must contain exactly one of y_i and y_{i+n} (only numbers with a 1 at digit i) \rightarrow consistent/complete truth assignment

Claim: Each clause C_j has a true literal.

Proof: (sketch) Consider clause $C_j = x_i \vee \bar{x}_j \vee x_k$

\rightarrow only 5 numbers contain a 1 at digit $n+j$ y_i, y_{j+n}, y_k , and $y_{j,1} = y_{j,2} = 10^{n+j}$



S must include at least one of these numbers to ensure that digit $n+j$ is set to 3

\rightarrow at least one of the literals x_i, \bar{x}_j or x_k is true

SUBSET SUM in NP

SUBSET SUM:

Instance: n integers x_1, \dots, x_n and a separate integer k

Question: Does there exist a subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = k$

Witness: S

Certifier: $C((x_1, \dots, x_n, k), S)$

if $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = k$ output **ACCEPT**; otherwise output **REJECT**

Example: (YES Instance)

Instance: $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 5, x_3 = 8, x_4 = 9$ and $k=14$

Witness: $S = \{2, 4\} \rightarrow \sum_{i \in S} x_i = 5 + 9 = 14 = k$

Obs 1: Every YES instance (x_1, \dots, x_n, k) contains a valid witness S

Obs 2: If $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n, k)$ is a NO instance then $C(I, w)=\text{REJECT}$ for all
``witnesses'' w .

MY HOBBY: EMBEDDING NP-COMPLETE PROBLEMS IN RESTAURANT ORDERS

CHOTCHKIES RESTAURANT

~ APPETIZERS ~

MIXED FRUIT	2.15
FRENCH FRIES	2.75
SIDE SALAD	3.35
HOT WINGS	3.55
MOZZARELLA STICKS	4.20
SAMPLER PLATE	5.80

~ SANDWICHES ~

BARBECUE	6.55
----------	------



Randall Munro: <http://xkcd.com/c287.html>

8.9 co-NP and the Asymmetry of NP

Asymmetry of NP

Asymmetry of NP. We only need to have short proofs of yes instances.

Ex 1. SAT vs. TAUTOLOGY.

- Can prove a CNF formula is satisfiable by giving such an assignment.
- How could we prove that a formula is **not** satisfiable?

Ex 2. HAM-CYCLE vs. NO-HAM-CYCLE.

- Can prove a graph is Hamiltonian by giving such a Hamiltonian cycle.
- How could we prove that a graph is **not** Hamiltonian?

Remark. SAT is NP-complete and $\text{SAT} \equiv_P \text{TAUTOLOGY}$, but how do we classify TAUTOLOGY?

↑
not even known to be in NP

↑
 Φ is TAUTOLOGY if and only $\neg\Phi$ is a unsatisfiable

NP and co-NP

NP. Decision problems for which there is a poly-time certifier.

Ex. SAT, HAM-CYCLE, COMPOSITES.

Def. Given a decision problem X , its **complement** \bar{X} is the same problem with the $_{\text{yes}}$ and $_{\text{no}}$ answers reverse.

Ex. $\overline{\text{PRIMES}} = \{ 0, 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, \dots \}$

$\text{PRIMES} = \{ 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23, 29, \dots \}$

co-NP. Complements of decision problems in NP.

Ex. TAUTOLOGY, NO-HAM-CYCLE, PRIMES.

NP. YES instances have succinct witness/certificate

co-NP. NO instances have succinct disqualifier

(e.g., an assignment s.t. Φ evaluates to false $\rightarrow \Phi$ is not a TAUTOLOGY)

$$NP = co-NP ?$$

Fundamental question. Does $NP = co-NP$?

- Do *yes* instances have succinct certificates iff *no* instances do?
- Consensus opinion: no.

Theorem. If $NP \neq co-NP$, then $P \neq NP$.

Pf idea.

- P is closed under complementation.
- If $P = NP$, then NP is closed under complementation.
- In other words, $NP = co-NP$.
- This is the contrapositive of the theorem.

Good Characterizations

Good characterization. [Edmonds 1965] $NP \cap co-NP$.

- If problem X is in both NP and $co-NP$, then:
 - for yes instance, there is a succinct certificate
 - for no instance, there is a succinct disqualifier
- Provides conceptual leverage for reasoning about a problem.

Ex. Given a bipartite graph, is there a perfect matching.

- If yes, can exhibit a perfect matching.
- If no, can exhibit a set of nodes S such that $|N(S)| < |S|$.

Good Characterizations

Observation. $P \subseteq NP \cap \text{co-NP}$.

- Proof of max-flow min-cut theorem led to stronger result that max-flow and min-cut are in P.
- Sometimes finding a good characterization seems easier than finding an efficient algorithm.

Fundamental open question. Does $P = NP \cap \text{co-NP}$?

- Mixed opinions.
- Many examples where problem found to have a non-trivial good characterization, but only years later discovered to be in P.
 - linear programming [Khachiyan, 1979]
 - primality testing [Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena, 2002]

Fact. Factoring is in $NP \cap \text{co-NP}$, but not known to be in P.

↑
if poly-time algorithm for factoring,
can break RSA cryptosystem

FACTOR is in $NP \cap co-NP$

FACTORIZE. Given an integer x , find its prime factorization.

FACTOR. Given two integers x and y , does x have a nontrivial factor less than y ?

Theorem. $FACTOR \equiv_p FACTORIZE$.

Theorem. $FACTOR$ is in $NP \cap co-NP$.

Pf.

- **Certificate:** a factor p of x that is less than y .
- **Disqualifier:** the prime factorization of x (where each prime factor is greater than y)
 - Can validate prime factorization of x since $PRIMES$ is in P .

Assume $P \neq NP$. Which of the following problems are not in $NP \cap co-NP$?

A. FACTOR

B. PRIMES

C. 3COLOR

D. BIPARTITE MATCHING (Given a bipartite graph G and integer k is there a matching that contains at least k edges)?

E. All of the problems are in $NP \cap co-NP$

Assume $P \neq NP$. Which of the following problems are not in $NP \cap co-NP$?

Should have assumed $coNP \neq NP$

A. FACTOR

- FACTOR is in $NP \cap co-NP$ (but not known to be in P)
(prime factorization is either a witness/disqualifier)

B. PRIMES

- Primes is in P (contained in $NP \cap co-NP$)

C. 3COLOR

- If 3COLOR is in $co-NP$ then $co-NP = NP$ since 3COLOR is NP-Complete
- More precise problems statement: ``Assume $coNP \neq NP$ ''

D. BIPARTITE MATCHING (Given a bipartite graph G and integer k is there a matching that contains at least k edges)?

- Primes is in P (contained in $NP \cap co-NP$)

E. All of the problems are in $NP \cap co-NP$

- This is actually true if $NP = co-NP$!
- (Full Credit if you picked choice E since we don't know)