Lecture 23: RSA Encryption

Recall: RSA Assumption

- We pick two primes uniformly and independently at random $p, q \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} P_n$
- We define $N = p \cdot q$
- We shall work over the group (\mathbb{Z}_N^*, \times) , where \mathbb{Z}_N^* is the set of all natural numbers < N that are relatively prime to N, and \times is integer multiplication $\mod N$
- We pick $y \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- Let $\varphi(N)$ represent the size of the set \mathbb{Z}_N^* , which is (p-1)(q-1)
- We pick any $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^*$, that is, e is a natural number $< \varphi(N)$ and is relatively prime to $\varphi(N)$
- We give (n, N, e, y) to the adversary \mathcal{A} as ask her to find the e-th root of y, i.e., find x such that $x^e = y$

RSA Assumption. For any computationally bounded adversary, the above-mentioned problem is hard to solve

Recall: Properties

- The function $x^e \colon \mathbb{Z}_N^* \to \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ is a bijection for all e such that $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$
- Given (n, N, e, y), where $y \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, it is difficult for any computationally bounded adversary to compute the e-th root of y, i.e., the element $y^{1/e}$
- But given d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \mod \varphi(N)$, it is easy to compute $y^{1/e}$, because $y^d = y^{1/e}$

Now, think about how we can design a key-agreement scheme using these properties. Once the key agreement protocol is ready, we can create a public-key encryption scheme with a one-time pad.

Key-Agreement

First, Alice and Bob establish a key that is hidden from the adversary

Alice
$$p, q \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} P_n$$

$$N = p \cdot q$$

$$r \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^* \overset{\text{pk}}{\longleftarrow} (n, N, e) \quad \text{Pick any } e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^*$$

$$y = r^e \overset{y}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{r} = y^d$$

Note that $r=\widetilde{r}$ and is hidden from an adversary based on the RSA assumption

Public-key Encryption after the Key-Agreement Protocol

Using this key, Alice sends the encryption of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ using the one-time pad encryption scheme.

Alice Bob
$$c = m \cdot r \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{m} = c \cdot \operatorname{inv}(\widetilde{r})$$

Since we always have $r = \tilde{r}$, this encryption scheme always decrypts correctly. Note that $\operatorname{inv}(\tilde{r})$ can be computed only by knowing $\varphi(N)$.

Putting the two together: RSA Encryption (First Attempt) I

Alice
$$p, q \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} P_n$$

$$p = p \cdot q$$

$$r \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^* \longleftarrow pk = (n, N, e)$$

$$p = r^e$$

$$c = m \cdot r \longrightarrow r = y^d$$

$$\widetilde{m} = c \cdot \text{inv}(\widetilde{r})$$

Putting the two together: RSA Encryption (First Attempt) II

We emphasize that this encryption scheme work only for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$. In particular, this works for all messages m that have a binary representation of length less than n-bits because p and q are n-bit primes.

HOWEVER, THIS SCHEME IS INSECURE

• Let us start with a simpler problem.

Suppose I pick an integer x and give $y = x^3$ to you. Can you efficiently find the x?

- Running for for loop with $i \in \{0, ..., y\}$ and testing whether $i^3 = y$ or not is an inefficient solution
- However, binary search on the domain $\{0, \dots, y\}$ is an efficient algorithm
- Then why does the RSA assumption that says "computing the e-th root is difficult if $\varphi(N)$ is unknown" hold? Answer: Because we are working over \mathbb{Z}_N^* and not $\mathbb{Z}!$ "Wrapping around" due to the modulus operation while cubing kills the binary search approach.
- However, if x is such that $x^e < N$ then the modulus operation does not take effect. So, if $x < N^{1/e}$ then we can find the e-th root of y!

- Now, let us try to attack the "first attempt" algorithm
- Recall that we have $c = m \cdot r$ and $y = r^e$. So, we have $c^e = m^e \cdot r^e$. Now, note that $c^e \cdot \text{inv}(y) = m^e \cdot r^e \cdot y^{-1} = m^e$.
- So, the adversary can compute c^e · inv(y) to obtain m^e. If m < N^{1/e}, then the adversary can use binary search to recover m.
- There is another problem! If Alice is encrypting and sending multiple messages $\{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$, then the eavesdropper can recover $\{m_1^e, m_2^e, \dots\}$. So, she can find which of these $\{m_1^e, m_2^e, \dots\}$ are identical. In turn, she can find out the messages in $\{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$ that are identical (because $x^e: \mathbb{Z}_N^* \to \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ is a bijection).
- How do we fix these attacks?

RSA Encryption

- Our idea is to pad the message m with some randomness s. The new message s||m, with high probability, satisfies $(s||m)^e > N$ (that is, it wraps around)
- How does it satisfy the second attack mentioned above (Think: Birthday bound)
- Let us write down the new encryption scheme for $m \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$

$Enc_{n,N,e}(m)$:

- Pick $r \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- **2** Pick $s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n/2}$
- **3** Compute $y = r^e$, and $c = (s||m) \cdot r$
- \bigcirc Return (y, c)

Final Optimized RSA Encryption

- Note that masking with r is not helping at all! Let us call s || m as the payload. An adversary can obtain the "e-th power of the payload" by computing $c^e \cdot y^{-1}$
- So, we can use the following optimized encryption algorithm instead

 $Enc_{n,N,e}(m)$:

- Pick $s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n/2}$
- 2 Return $c = (s||m)^e$

Looking Ahead: Implementing RSA

Let us summarize all the algorithms that we need to implement the RSA algorithm

- Generating n-bit primes to sample p and q
- ② Generating e such that e is relatively prime to $\varphi(N)$, where N=pq
- **3** Finding the trapdoor d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \mod \varphi(N)$