

# Lecture 24: Tackling Probability Distributions and XOR Lemma

- Until now, we have treated a distribution  $X$  over  $\{0, 1\}^n$  as the function  $X: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X(\omega) := \mathbb{P}[X = \omega]$
- However, for intuition purposes, we want to develop concepts that are unique to distributions that are analogous to the concepts in Fourier analysis of functions

# Bias of a Distribution: Intuition

- Let  $X$  be a distribution over  $\{0, 1\}^n$
- Consider the following algorithm for a fixed  $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$

- 1 Sample  $x \sim X$
- 2 Output  $S \cdot x$

- The output distribution is over the sample space  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $p_0$  represent the probability that the output of this algorithm is 0; and,  $p_1$  represent the probability of the output being 1.
- We want to say that the output is “unbiased” (or, “has bias 0”) if  $p_0 = p_1 = 1/2$ . Similarly, we want to say that the output “has bias 1” if  $p_0 = 1$  and  $p_1 = 0$ . Finally, we want to say that the output “has bias  $-1$ ” if  $p_0 = 0$  and  $p_1 = 1$ .
- Interpolating this intuition, we want to say that the bias of the output distribution of the algorithm above is  $p_0 - p_1$

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a distribution over the sample space  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . For any  $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we define the *bias of  $X$  with respect to (the linear test)  $S$*  as

$$\text{Bias}_X(S) := N\hat{X}(S)$$

# Collision Probability

- Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two probability distributions over  $\{0, 1\}^n$
- $\text{Col}(X, Y)$  refers to the probability that two samples drawn according to  $X$  and  $Y$  turn out to be identical. We know that

$$\text{Col}(X, Y) = N \langle X, Y \rangle = N \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{X}(S) \cdot \hat{Y}(S)$$

- Equivalently, we have

$$\text{Col}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \text{Bias}_X(S) \cdot \text{Bias}_Y(S)$$

# Bias of XOR of two Distributions

- Recall that we had defined the distribution  $(X \oplus Y)$  as a distribution over  $\{0, 1\}^n$  that is identical to the function  $N(X * Y)$ .
- We had also proven that

$$\widehat{(X * Y)}(S) = \widehat{X}(S) \cdot \widehat{Y}(S)$$

- So, we can conclude that

$$\text{Bias}_{X \oplus Y}(S) = \text{Bias}_X(S) \cdot \text{Bias}_Y(S)$$

# Statistical Distance of Two Distributions

- For two function  $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let us define  $L_1(f - g)$  as follows

$$L_1(f - g) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

- We can upper-bound  $L_1(f - g)$  using  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(f - g) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} |f(x) - g(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{N} \cdot \left( \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} (f(x) - g(x))^2 \right)^{1/2}, \text{ by Cauchy-Schw} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} (f(x) - g(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (f - g)(x)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\widehat{f - g})(S)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \text{ by Parseval's} \\ &= \left( \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\widehat{f}(S) - \widehat{g}(S))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &=: \ell_2(\widehat{f} - \widehat{g}) \end{aligned}$$



- We can obtain a similar result for statistical distance, which is the analogue of  $L_1(\cdot)$  for functions

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) := \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |X(x) - Y(x)|$$

- So, we have

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) = NL_1(X - Y) \leq N\ell_2(\hat{X} - \hat{Y}) = \ell_2(\text{Bias}_X - \text{Bias}_Y)$$

That is,

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) \leq \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\text{Bias}_X(S) - \text{Bias}_Y(S))^2$$

# Summary

Functions	Probability
$\widehat{X}(S)$	$\text{Bias}_X(S) := N\widehat{X}(S)$
$\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \widehat{X}(S)\widehat{Y}(S)$	$\text{Col}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \text{Bias}_X(S)\text{Bias}_Y(S)$
$(\widehat{X * Y})(S) = \widehat{X}(S)\widehat{Y}(S)$	$\text{Bias}_{X \oplus Y}(S) = \text{Bias}_X(S)\text{Bias}_Y(S)$
$L_1(X - Y) \leq l_2(\widehat{X} - \widehat{Y})$	$2\text{SD}(X, Y) \leq l_2(\text{Bias}_X - \text{Bias}_Y)$

- Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a distribution over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = 0] = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = 1] = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$
- Note that  $n = 1$  and  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}}(0) = 1$  and  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}}(1) = \varepsilon$
- Let  $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{X}^{(1)} \oplus \mathbb{X}^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{X}^{(n)}$
- Note that

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}}(0) = \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(1)}}(0) \cdot \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(2)}}(0) \cdots \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n)}}(0) = 1$$

- Note that

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}}(1) = \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(1)}}(1) \cdot \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(2)}}(1) \cdots \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n)}}(1) = \varepsilon^n$$

- From the biases, we can conclude that  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{S}_n = 0] = \frac{1+\varepsilon^n}{2}$  and  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{S}_n = 1] = \frac{1-\varepsilon^n}{2}$

- Further, we can conclude that  $\mathbb{S}_n$  is very close to the uniform distribution over  $\{0, 1\}$ , namely  $\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}$ . Note that  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}(0) = 1$  and  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}(1) = 0$ . So, the statistical distance between  $\mathbb{S}_n$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}$  is upper-bounded as follows.

$$2\text{SD}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}) \leq \ell_2(\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n} - \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}) = \ell_2((1, \varepsilon^n) - (1, 0)) = \varepsilon^n$$

That is,  $\mathbb{S}_n$  is getting close to the uniform distribution exponentially fast!

- In general, we can consider the sum  $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{X}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{X}_n$ , where  $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$  are independent distributions over  $\{0, 1\}$  with bias  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ , respectively. Then, we shall have  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1) = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n$ .

- It is extremely crucial that the distributions  $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$  are independent. Otherwise, we cannot multiply the biases to obtain the bias of the sum  $\mathbb{S}_n$ . For example, let  $(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n)$  be uniform random variables over  $\{0, 1\}^n$  such that their parity is 0 (that is, they have even number of 1s). Each random variable has  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}_i}(1) = 0$ . However, the random variable  $\mathbb{S}_n$  has  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1) = 1$ .

## A Combinatorial Proof.

- To compute the bias  $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1)$ , we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[S_n = 0] - \mathbb{P}[S_n = 1] \\ &= \sum_{i \text{ is even}} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} - \sum_{i: \text{ odd}} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} \\ &= \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n = \varepsilon^n \end{aligned}$$

- Note that this conclusion followed so easily using Fourier analysis