

Lecture 20: Tackling Probability Distributions and XOR Lemma

- Until now, we have treated a distribution X over $\{0, 1\}^n$ as the function $X: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X(\omega) := \mathbb{P}[X = \omega]$
- However, for intuition purposes, we want to develop concepts that are unique to distributions that are analogous to the concepts in Fourier analysis of functions

Bias of a Distribution: Intuition

- Let X be a distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$
- Consider the following algorithm for a fixed $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$

- 1 Sample $x \sim X$
- 2 Output $S \cdot x$

- The output distribution is over the sample space $\{0, 1\}$. Let p_0 represent the probability that the output of this algorithm is 0; and, p_1 represent the probability of the output being 1.
- We want to say that the output is “unbiased” (or, “has bias 0”) if $p_0 = p_1 = 1/2$. Similarly, we want to say that the output “has bias 1” if $p_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = 0$. Finally, we want to say that the output “has bias -1 ” if $p_0 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$.
- Interpolating this intuition, we want to say that the bias of the output distribution of the algorithm above is $p_0 - p_1$

Definition

Let X be a distribution over the sample space $\{0, 1\}^n$. For any $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we define the *bias of X with respect to (the linear test) S* as

$$\text{Bias}_X(S) := N\hat{X}(S)$$

Collision Probability

- Let X and Y be two probability distributions over $\{0, 1\}^n$
- $\text{Col}(X, Y)$ refers to the probability that two samples drawn according to X and Y turn out to be identical. We know that

$$\text{Col}(X, Y) = N \langle X, Y \rangle = N \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{X}(S) \cdot \hat{Y}(S)$$

- Equivalently, we have

$$\text{Col}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \text{Bias}_X(S) \cdot \text{Bias}_Y(S)$$

Bias of XOR of two Distributions

- Recall that we had defined the distribution $(X \oplus Y)$ as a distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$ that is identical to the function $N(X * Y)$.
- We had also proven that

$$\widehat{(X * Y)}(S) = \widehat{X}(S) \cdot \widehat{Y}(S)$$

- So, we can conclude that

$$\text{Bias}_{X \oplus Y}(S) = \text{Bias}_X(S) \cdot \text{Bias}_Y(S)$$

Statistical Distance of Two Distributions

- For two function $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us define $L_1(f - g)$ as follows

$$L_1(f - g) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

- We can upper-bound $L_1(f - g)$ using \hat{f} and \hat{g} as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(f - g) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} |f(x) - g(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{N} \cdot \left(\sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} (f(x) - g(x))^2 \right)^{1/2}, \text{ by Cauchy-Schw} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} (f(x) - g(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (f - g)(x)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\widehat{f - g})(S)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \text{ by Parseval's} \\ &= \left(\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\widehat{f}(S) - \widehat{g}(S))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &=: \ell_2(\widehat{f} - \widehat{g}) \end{aligned}$$

- We can obtain a similar result for statistical distance, which is the analogue of $L_1(\cdot)$ for functions

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) := \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |X(x) - Y(x)|$$

- So, we have

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) = NL_1(X - Y) \leq N\ell_2(\hat{X} - \hat{Y}) = \ell_2(\text{Bias}_X - \text{Bias}_Y)$$

That is,

$$2\text{SD}(X, Y) \leq \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} (\text{Bias}_X(S) - \text{Bias}_Y(S))^2$$

Summary

Functions	Probability
$\widehat{X}(S)$	$\text{Bias}_X(S) := N\widehat{X}(S)$
$\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \widehat{X}(S)\widehat{Y}(S)$	$\text{Col}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \text{Bias}_X(S)\text{Bias}_Y(S)$
$(\widehat{X * Y})(S) = \widehat{X}(S)\widehat{Y}(S)$	$\text{Bias}_{X \oplus Y}(S) = \text{Bias}_X(S)\text{Bias}_Y(S)$
$L_1(X - Y) \leq l_2(\widehat{X} - \widehat{Y})$	$2\text{SD}(X, Y) \leq l_2(\text{Bias}_X - \text{Bias}_Y)$

- Let \mathbb{X} be a distribution over $\{0, 1\}$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = 0] = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = 1] = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$
- Note that $n = 1$ and $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}}(0) = 1$ and $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}}(1) = \varepsilon$
- Let $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{X}^{(1)} \oplus \mathbb{X}^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{X}^{(n)}$
- Note that

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}}(0) = \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(1)}}(0) \cdot \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(2)}}(0) \cdots \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n)}}(0) = 1$$

- Note that

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}}(1) = \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(1)}}(1) \cdot \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(2)}}(1) \cdots \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n)}}(1) = \varepsilon^n$$

- From the biases, we can conclude that $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{S}_n = 0] = \frac{1+\varepsilon^n}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{S}_n = 1] = \frac{1-\varepsilon^n}{2}$

- Further, we can conclude that \mathbb{S}_n is very close to the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}$, namely $\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}$. Note that $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}(0) = 1$ and $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}(1) = 0$. So, the statistical distance between \mathbb{S}_n and $\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}$ is upper-bounded as follows.

$$2\text{SD}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}) \leq \ell_2(\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n} - \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_{\{0,1\}}}) = \ell_2((1, \varepsilon^n) - (1, 0)) = \varepsilon^n$$

That is, \mathbb{S}_n is getting close to the uniform distribution exponentially fast!

- In general, we can consider the sum $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{X}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{X}_n$, where $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$ are independent distributions over $\{0, 1\}$ with bias $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, respectively. Then, we shall have $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1) = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n$.

- It is extremely crucial that the distributions $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$ are independent. Otherwise, we cannot multiply the biases to obtain the bias of the sum \mathbb{S}_n . For example, let $(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n)$ be uniform random variables over $\{0, 1\}^n$ such that their parity is 0 (that is, they have even number of 1s). Each random variable has $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{X}_i}(1) = 0$. However, the random variable \mathbb{S}_n has $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1) = 1$.

A Combinatorial Proof.

- To compute the bias $\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(1)$, we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[S_n = 0] - \mathbb{P}[S_n = 1] \\ &= \sum_{i \text{ is even}} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} - \sum_{i: \text{ odd}} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n-i} \\ &= \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n = \varepsilon^n \end{aligned}$$

- Note that this conclusion followed so easily using Fourier analysis