

Lecture 08: Hoeffding's Bound

- One of the “easy to evaluate” forms of the Chernoff bound states the following. Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be independent random variables such that $0 \leq X_i \leq 1$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $S_{n,p} = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, where $np = \mathbb{E}[S_{n,p}]$. The bound we proved was

$$\mathbb{P}[S_{n,p} \geq n(p + \varepsilon)] \leq \exp(-2\varepsilon^2 n)$$

This bound can be equivalently be written as follows.

$$\mathbb{P}[S_{n,p} \geq \mathbb{E}[S_{n,p}] + E] \leq \exp(-2E^2/n),$$

where we substituted $E = n\varepsilon$.

- Let us change the random variables X_i as follows. Define $X_i^* = X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]$. Note that we have $\mathbb{E}[X_i^*] = 0$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $S_n^* = X_1^* + \dots + X_n^*$. We have $\mathbb{E}[S_{n,p}^*] = 0$. Note that $\mathbb{P}[S_n^* \geq E]$ is identical to $\mathbb{P}[S_{n,p} \geq \mathbb{E}[S_{n,p}] + E]$. So, we can claim that

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n^* \geq E] \leq \exp(-2E^2/n)$$

- Hoeffding's bound shall generalize this bound. In the next slide we shall state the formal statement that we shall prove.

Hoeffding's Bound

We formally state the Hoeffding's bound.

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables such that $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ and $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Then, the following bound holds

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq E] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2E^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$$

Comment. When $b_i - a_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get the “first form” of “easy to evaluate” Chernoff bound.

We emphasize that the Chernoff bound is much stronger than the Hoeffding's bound. It is only that the first form of the easy to evaluate Chernoff bound is a special case of the Hoeffding's bound.

Proof of the Hoeffding's Bound I

- We are interested in upper-bounding

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq E]$$

- For any $h > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq E] = \mathbb{P}[\exp(hS_n) \geq \exp(hE)]$$

- By Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\exp(hS_n) \geq \exp(hE)] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(hS_n)]}{\exp(hE)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(hX_i)]}{\exp(hE)}$$

- By independence of X_1, \dots, X_n , we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(hX_i)]}{\exp(hE)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\exp(hX_i)]}{\exp(hE)}$$

Proof of the Hoeffding's Bound II

- Now, our objective is to upper-bound $\mathbb{E} [\exp(h\mathbb{X}_i)]$, where $a_i \leq \mathbb{X}_i \leq b_i$ and $\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{X}_i] = 0$. We have done this type of upper-bound earlier. We showed that the maximum is achieved by a distribution \mathbb{X}_i^* that puts the entire probability mass either at a_i or b_i .

Suppose the probability mass of \mathbb{X}_i^* at a_i is p . Then, the probability mass of \mathbb{X}_i^* at b_i is $(1 - p)$. The expected value of \mathbb{X}_i^* is 0. So, we have $pa_i + (1 - p)b_i = 0$. That is, we have $p = b_i / (b_i - a_i)$. Therefore, we have $(1 - p) = (-a_i) / (b_i - a_i)$.

For this distribution \mathbb{X}_i^* , the expected value of $\exp(h\mathbb{X}_i^*)$ is

$$\frac{b_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(ha_i) + \frac{-a_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(hb_i)$$

So, we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(hX_i)] \leq \frac{b_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(ha_i) - \frac{a_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(hb_i)$$

- Substituting this upper-bound, we obtain

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\exp(hX_i)]}{\exp(hE)} \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(ha_i) - \frac{a_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(hb_i)}{\exp(hE)}$$

Proof of the Hoeffding's Bound IV

- At this juncture, we need a crucial lemma, namely, Hoeffding's lemma.

Lemma (Hoeffding's Lemma)

For $a \leq 0 \leq b$, we have

$$\frac{b}{b-a} \exp(ha) - \frac{a}{b-a} \exp(hb) \leq \exp(h^2(b-a)^2/8)$$

We shall prove this result later in this lecture using Lagrange form of the Taylor's remainder theorem. Currently, let us use this result without proof and go forward.

Proof of the Hoeffding's Bound V

Using Hoeffding's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(ha_i) - \frac{a_i}{b_i - a_i} \exp(hb_i)}{\exp(hE)} &\leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(h^2(b_i - a_i)^2/8)}{\exp(hE)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(h^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2/8)}{\exp(hE)} \end{aligned}$$

- At this point, we have proven that, for any $h > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq E] \leq \frac{\exp(h^2\alpha)}{\exp(hE)},$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2/8$. Our objective, is to pick $h = h^*$ that minimized the RHS. That is, equivalently, minimize $h^2\alpha - hE$.

Clearly, at $h^* = E/2\alpha$ the quantity is minimized.

Proof of the Hoeffding's Bound VI

- For $h = h^*$, we have

$$\exp(h^2\alpha - hE) = \exp(E^2/4\alpha - E^2/2\alpha) = \exp(-E^2/4\alpha)$$

Substituting the value of α , we get

$$\exp(-E^2/4\alpha) = \exp\left(-\frac{2E^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$$

This completes the proof of Hoeffding's bound.

Proof of Hoeffding's Lemma I

- For $a \leq 0 \leq b$ and $h > 0$, we need to prove the following

$$\frac{b}{b-a} \exp(ha) - \frac{a}{b-a} \exp(hb) \leq \exp(h^2(b-a)^2/8)$$

- Let us perform some variable substitutions. Let $x = h(b-a)$ and $p = b/(b-a)$. Therefore, we can rewrite the old expressions using the new variables as follows

$$\begin{aligned}hb &= px \\ha &= -(1-p)x \\-\frac{a}{b-a} &= 1-p\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Hoeffding's Lemma II

- So, we need to prove

$$p \exp(-(1-p)x) + (1-p) \exp(px) \leq \exp(x^2/8)$$

This statement is equivalent to proving

$$\exp(px) (p \exp(-x) + (1-p)) \leq \exp(x^2/8)$$

Taking log both sides, this statement is equivalent to proving

$$f(x) := px + \log(1-p + p \exp(-x)) \leq x^2/8$$

- Now, let us compute the derivatives of $f(x)$

$$f(x) = px + \log(1-p + p \exp(-x))$$

$$f'(x) = p - \frac{p \exp(-x)}{1-p + p \exp(-x)} = p - \frac{p}{(1-p) \exp(x) + p}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{p(1-p) \exp(x)}{((1-p) \exp(x) + p)^2}$$

Proof of Hoeffding's Lemma III

- We apply the Lagrange form of the Taylor's remainder theorem. For every x , there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + f''(\theta x) \frac{x^2}{2}$$

Note that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 0$. Let us upper-bound $f''(\theta x)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f''(\theta x) &= \frac{(1-p)\exp(\theta x) \cdot p}{((1-p)\exp(\theta x) + p)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{((1-p)\exp(\theta x) + p)^2} \left(\frac{(1-p)\exp(\theta x) + p}{2} \right)^2 \quad \text{By AM-GM} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Hoeffding's Lemma IV

- So, we conclude that

$$f(x) \leq 0 + 0 \cdot x + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{x^2}{2} = x^2/8$$

This completes the proof of Hoeffding's Lemma.