

Lecture 30: Discrete Fourier Analysis on the Boolean Hypercube (Introduction)

Recall

- Our objective is to study a function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Every function f is equivalently represented as the vector $(f(0), f(1), \dots, f(N-1)) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $N = 2^n$
- For $S = S_1 S_2 \dots S_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$, define the following function

$$\chi_S(x) := (-1)^{S_1 x_1 + S_2 x_2 + \dots + S_n x_n},$$

where $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$

- We defined an inner-product of functions

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} f(x)g(x)$$

- We showed that $\{\chi_S: S \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

That is,

$$\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S \neq T \\ 1, & \text{if } S = T \end{cases}$$

- Since $\{\chi_S: S \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis, we can express any f as follows

$$f = \hat{f}(0)\chi_0 + \hat{f}(1)\chi_1 + \cdots + \hat{f}(N-1)\chi_{N-1},$$

where $\hat{f}(S) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$

- We interpret $(\hat{f}(0), \hat{f}(1), \dots, \hat{f}(N-1))$ as a function \hat{f}

Fourier Transformation

- Fourier Transformation is a basis change that maps the function f to the function \hat{f}
- We shall represent it as $f \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \hat{f}$, where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier Transformation

- Note that we have the following property. For any $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have $\langle f, \chi_S \rangle = \widehat{f}(S)$. So, we get

$$(f(0)f(1)\cdots f(N-1)) \cdot \frac{1}{N} (\chi_S(0)\chi_S(1)\cdots\chi_S(N-1))^T = \widehat{f}(S)$$

- Define the matrix

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{N} \begin{bmatrix} \chi_0(0) & \chi_1(0) & \cdots & \chi_{N-1}(0) \\ \chi_0(1) & \chi_1(1) & \cdots & \chi_{N-1}(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(N-1) & \chi_1(N-1) & \cdots & \chi_{N-1}(N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

- From the property mentioned above, note that we have the identity

$$f \cdot \mathcal{F} = \widehat{f}$$

Claim

For two function $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\widehat{(f + g)} = \widehat{f} + \widehat{g}$$

Proof.

$$\widehat{(f + g)} = (f + g)\mathcal{F} = f\mathcal{F} + g\mathcal{F} = \widehat{f} + \widehat{g}$$



Claim

For a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\widehat{(cf)} = c\hat{f}$$

Proof.

$$\widehat{(cf)} = (cf)\mathcal{F} = c(f\mathcal{F}) = c\hat{f}$$



Theorem

Let $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have

$$\widehat{\widehat{f}} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot f$$

Proof.

- We shall prove that $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{N} I_{N \times N}$. This result shall directly imply that $\widehat{\widehat{f}} = (f\mathcal{F})\mathcal{F} = f \left(\frac{1}{N} I_{N \times N} \right) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot f$
- Let us compute the element $(\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F})_{i,j}$. This element is the product of the i -th row of \mathcal{F} and the j -th column of \mathcal{F}
- The j -th column of \mathcal{F} is $\left(\frac{1}{N} \chi_j \right)^\top$
- The i -th row of \mathcal{F} is $(\chi_0(i)\chi_1(i) \cdots \chi_{N-1}(i))$
- Note that $\chi_S(x) = \chi_x(S)$, i.e., the matrix \mathcal{F} is symmetric

- So, the i -th row of \mathcal{F} is $\frac{1}{N}\chi_i$
- Therefore, we have $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \chi_i \cdot \chi_j^\top = \frac{1}{N} \langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle$. The orthonormality of the Fourier basis completes the proof

Theorem (Plancherel)

Suppose $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following holds

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{S \in \{0, 1\}^n} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S, \sum_{T \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{g}(T) \chi_T \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{f}(S) \left\langle \chi_S, \sum_{T \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{g}(T) \chi_T \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{f}(S) \sum_{T \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{g}(T) \langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle \\ &= \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S)\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that, if $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ and we have $\langle f, g \rangle = 1 - \varepsilon$, then f and g disagree at εN inputs. Intuitively, if $|\langle f, g \rangle|$ is close to 1 then the functions are highly correlated. On the other hand, if $|\langle f, g \rangle|$ is close to 0 then the functions are independent

Theorem (Parseval's Identity)

Suppose $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^n} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Substitute $f = g$ in Plancherel's theorem.

Corollary

If $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$, then $\sum_{S \in \{0, 1\}^n} \widehat{f}(S)^2 = 1$

Follows from the fact that $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$ and the Parseval's identity