Lecture 29: Discrete Fourier Analysis on the Boolean Hypercube (Introduction)

# Focus of Study

- ullet Functions with domain  $\left\{0,1\right\}^n$  and range  $\mathbb R$
- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- We shall always use  $N = 2^n$
- Any *n*-bit binary string shall be canonically interpreted as an integer in the range  $\{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$
- For any function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  we shall associate the following unique vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$\big(f(0),f(1),\ldots,f(N-1)\big)$$



### Kronecker Basis

• For  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ , we define the function  $\delta_i \colon \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows

$$\delta_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Note that the functions  $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{N-1}\}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^N$
- Any function f can be expressed as a linear combination of these basis functions as follows

$$f = f(0)\delta_0 + f(1)\delta_1 + \cdots + f(N-1)\delta_{N-1}$$

 Our goal is to study the function f in a new basis, namely, the "Fourier Basis," that shall be introduced next. We emphasize that this basis need not be unique



### Fourier Basis Functions

• For  $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we define the following function

$$\chi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) := (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \cdot x_i}$$

• Several introductory materials on Fourier analysis interpret S as a subset of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . Although, the definition presented here is equivalent to this interpretation, I personally prefer this notation because it generalized to other domains.

## An Example

- Suppose n = 3 and we are working with functions  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- Note that there are 8 different Fourier basis functions

$$\chi_{000}(x) = (-1)^{0} = 1$$

$$\chi_{100}(x) = (-1)^{x_{1}}$$

$$\chi_{010}(x) = (-1)^{x_{2}}$$

$$\chi_{110}(x) = (-1)^{x_{1}+x_{2}}$$

$$\chi_{001}(x) = (-1)^{x_{3}}$$

$$\chi_{101}(x) = (-1)^{x_{1}+x_{3}}$$

$$\chi_{011}(x) = (-1)^{x_{2}+x_{3}}$$

$$\chi_{111}(x) = (-1)^{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}}$$

#### Lemma

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_R(x) = \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } R = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Proof:

• Suppose R = 0, then we have

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_R(x) = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} 1 = N$$

• Suppose  $R \neq 0$ . Let  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$  be the set of indices  $\{i: R_i = 1\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_R(x) &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{R_1 x_1 + \dots + R_n x_n} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{R_{i_1} x_{i_1} + \dots + R_{i_r} x_{i_r}} \\ &= \sum_{x_{-i_1} \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} (-1)^{R_{i_2} x_{i_2} + \dots + R_{i_r} x_{i_r}} \sum_{x_{i_1} \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{x_{i_1}} \\ &= \sum_{x_{-i_1} \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} (-1)^{R_{i_2} x_{i_2} + \dots + R_{i_r} x_{i_r}} \left( (-1)^0 + (-1)^1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{x_{-i_1} \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} (-1)^{R_{i_2} x_{i_2} + \dots + R_{i_r} x_{i_r}} \cdot 0 = 0 \end{split}$$

### Inner Product

### Definition (Inner Product)

The inner-product of two functions  $f,g:\{0,1\}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  is defined as follows

$$\langle f,g\rangle := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x)g(x)$$

#### Lemma

$$\langle \chi_{\mathcal{S}}, \chi_{\mathcal{T}} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{S} = T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

0

$$\langle \chi_{S}, \chi_{T} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n}} \chi_{S}(x) \chi_{T}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n}} (-1)^{(S_{1}+T+1)x_{1}+...+(S_{n}+T_{n})x_{n}}$$

• Note that if  $S_i = T_i$  then  $(-1)^{(S_i + T_i)x_i} = 1$ ; otherwise  $(-1)^{(S_i + T_i)x_i} = (-1)^{x_i}$ 

- Define R such that  $R_i = 1$  if  $S_i \neq T_i$ ; otherwise  $R_i = 0$
- Then, the right-hand side expression becomes

$$\langle \chi_{\mathcal{S}}, \chi_{\mathcal{T}} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{R_1 x_1 + \dots + R_n x_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \chi_R(x)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \cdot N, & \text{if } R = 0\\ \frac{1}{N} \cdot 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Note that R = 0 if and only if S = T. This observation completes the proof