Lecture 20: Example Problem Concentration of the Hypergeometric Distribution

Azuma's Inequality

#### Experiment.

- There are R red balls and B blue balls in an urn at time t = 0
- At any time, we sample a random ball from the urn (and we do not replace the ball back into the urn)
- We are interested in understanding the behavior of the random variable  $S_n$  that counts the total number of red balls at the end of time t = n (that is, n balls are sampled without replacement from the urn)
- We assume that R + B ≥ n, i.e., the bin never runs out of balls in our experiment

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶

### Formalization of the Problem I

- The variables  $(X_1, ..., X_n)$  represent the balls we sample at time 1, ..., n, respectively
- We are interest in understanding the concentration of the random variable

$$\mathbb{S}_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{X}_i = R\}}$$

Note that the probability of  $X_i = R$  depends on the sum  $S_{i-1}$ 

• Let us first calculate the expected value of this random value. Prove by mathematical induction that the following result is true for  $n \ge 0$ .

#### Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{S}_n\right] = n \frac{R}{R+B}$$

Azuma's Inequality

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

э

In this lecture, all results will be mentioned. No proofs shall be provided. Students are encouraged to prove these results on their own.

• Now, we shall prove a concentration bound around this expected value

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let

$$\{\emptyset, \Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n$$

represent the natural ball-exposure filtration for this problem.

• This statement, in short, states that  $\Omega = \{R, B\}^n$  and, for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}_i(x) = \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_i\} \times \{R, B\}^{n-i}$$

That is,  $\mathcal{F}_i(x)$  is the set of all  $y \in \Omega$  such that  $x_1 = y_1, \ldots, x_i = y_i$ 

▲御▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶

## The Filtration and the Martingale II

• Now, we need to define the random functions  $\mathbb{F}_0, \ldots, \mathbb{F}_n$  that are  $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\mathbb{F}_i(x) := \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{S}_n | \mathcal{F}_i\right](x)$$

Let us parse this statement. Recall that  $\mathcal{F}_i(x)$  denotes the set of all  $y \in \Omega$  that agree at the first *i* entries with *x*, i.e., the subset  $\{x_1x_2...x_i\} \times \{R, B\}^{n-i}$ . Now,  $\mathbb{F}_i(x)$  represents the conditional expectation of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  restricted to *x* in the subset  $\mathcal{F}_i(x)$ .

- Observe that F<sub>0</sub> = E [S<sub>n</sub>], i.e., the expected value of S<sub>n</sub> in this experiment. We have already computed this quantity previously, i.e., we have F<sub>0</sub> = n <sup>R</sup>/<sub>R+B</sub>.
- Observe that  $\mathbb{F}_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_i$ -measurable, for  $0 \leq i \leq n$
- Now, we need to prove that the martingale property holds. That is, we need to prove (the functional identity)  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{F}_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i\right] = (\mathbb{F}_i|\mathcal{F}_i)$ , for all  $0 \leq i < n$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# The Filtration and the Martingale III

Note that (F<sub>0</sub>,...,F<sub>n</sub>) is Doob's martingale for the function S<sub>n</sub>. So, it is a martingale. Nevertheless, let us prove that (F<sub>0</sub>,...,F<sub>n</sub>) is a martingale with respect to the ball-exposure filtration (F<sub>0</sub>,...,F<sub>n</sub>) using elementary techniques. Towards this, we need to compute the following quantity

 $(\mathbb{F}_i|\mathcal{F}_i)(x) = ?$ 

Prove the following result.

#### Lemma

Let  $0 \le i \le n$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}_i(x)$  represent the number of red balls in the first i samples of  $x \in \{R, B\}^n$ . Then, we have

$$(\mathbb{F}_i|\mathcal{F}_i)(x) = \mathbb{S}_i(x) + (n-i)\frac{R - \mathbb{S}_i(x)}{R + B - i}$$

▲母▼▲目▼▲目▼ 目 めへぐ

## The Filtration and the Martingale IV

Intuitively, we have seen  $\mathbb{S}_i(x)$  until time t = i. In the future, we expect to see  $(n - i)\frac{R - \mathbb{S}_i(x)}{R + B - i}$  red balls (there are  $R - \mathbb{S}_i(x)$ red balls left in the urn among R + B - i balls). At time time t = i + 1, the probability that we see a red ball is  $p = \frac{R - \mathbb{S}_i(x)}{R + B - i}$ . So, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{F}_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i\right](x) = p\left(\mathbb{S}_i(x) + 1 + (n-i-1)\frac{R - \mathbb{S}_i(x) - 1}{R + B - i - 1}\right)$$
$$(1-p)\left(\mathbb{S}_i(x) + (n-i-1)\frac{R - \mathbb{S}_i(x)}{R + B - i - 1}\right)$$

We need to prove that the RHS is equal to  $\mathbb{S}_i(x) + (n-i)\frac{R-\mathbb{S}_i(x)}{R+B-i}$ . This step is left as an exercise. (Think: You have already proved this result earlier!)

▲ (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶ < (□) ▶

#### The Filtration and the Martingale V

• Let us calculate the value of  $c_{i+1}$ , for  $0 \leq i < n$ .

$$= \max_{y \in \mathcal{F}_{i}(x)} \mathbb{F}_{i+1}(y) - \min_{y \in \mathcal{F}_{i}(x)} \mathbb{F}_{i+1}(y)$$
  
=  $\left( \mathbb{S}_{i}(x) + 1 + (n - i - 1) \frac{R - \mathbb{S}_{i}(x) - 1}{R + B - i - 1} \right)$   
-  $\left( \mathbb{S}_{i}(x) + (n - i - 1) \frac{R - \mathbb{S}_{i}(x)}{R + B - i - 1} \right)$   
=  $1 - \frac{n - i - 1}{R + B - i - 1}$   
<  $1 =: c_{i+1}$ 

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

### The Filtration and the Martingale VI

• By Azuma's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{F}_n - \mathbb{F}_0 \geqslant E\right] \leqslant \exp\left(-2E^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2\right)$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{F}_n - n\frac{R}{R+B} \ge E\right] \le \exp(-2E^2/n)$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶