Lecture 11: Chernoff Bound: Easy to Use Forms

Concentration Bounds

ロト・モン・

- Recall that $1 \leq X_i \leq 1$ are independent random variables, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $p_i = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $S_{n,p} := X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$, where $p := (p_1 + \cdots + p_n)/n$.
- Chernoff bound states that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \ge n(p+\varepsilon)\right] \le \exp(-n\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p+\varepsilon,p\right))$$

• **Objective of this lecture.** We shall obtain easier to compute, albeit weaker, upper bounds on the probability

• We shall prove the following bound

Theorem

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \ge n(p+\varepsilon)\right] \le \exp(-n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p+\varepsilon,p\right)) \le \exp(-2n\varepsilon^2)$$

- Comment: The upper-bound is easy to compute. However, this bound does not depend on *p* at all.
- To prove this result, it suffices to prove that

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\boldsymbol{p}+\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{p}) \geqslant 2\varepsilon^2$$

(4 同) ト (ヨ) (ヨ)

First Form II

• We shall use the Lagrange form of the Taylor approximation theorem to the following function

$$f(arepsilon) = \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p+arepsilon, p
ight) = \left(p\!+\!arepsilon
ight) \log rac{p+arepsilon}{p} \!+\! \left(1\!-\!p\!-\!arepsilon
ight) \log rac{1-p-arepsilon}{1-p}$$

Observe that f(0) = 0

• Differentiating once, we have

$$f'(\varepsilon) = \log \frac{p + \varepsilon}{p} - \log \frac{1 - p - \varepsilon}{1 - p}$$

Observe that f'(0) = 0

• Differentiating twice, we have

$$f''(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{p+\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{1-p-\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{(p+\varepsilon)(1-p-\varepsilon)}$$

Concentration Bounds

First Form III

 By applying the Lagrange form of the Taylor's remainder theorem, we get the following result. For every ε, there exists θ ∈ [0, 1] such that

$$f(\varepsilon) = f(0) + f'(0) \cdot \varepsilon + f''(\theta \varepsilon) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} = f''(\theta \varepsilon) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$$

Note that $f(\theta \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(p+\theta \varepsilon)(1-p-\theta \varepsilon)}$. We can apply the AM-GM inequality to conclude that

$$(p+ hetaarepsilon)(1-p- hetaarepsilon)\leqslant \left(rac{(p+ hetaarepsilon)+(1-p- hetaarepsilon)}{2}
ight)^2=rac{1}{4}$$

Therefore, we get that $f(\theta \varepsilon) \ge 4$. Substituting this bound, we get

$$f(\varepsilon) = f''(\theta \varepsilon) \cdot (\varepsilon^2/2) \ge 4 \cdot (\varepsilon^2/2) = 2\varepsilon^2$$

This completes the proof.

Concentration Bounds

Second Form I

In the previous bound, we consider the probability of S_{n,p} exceeding the expected value np by an additive amount nε. Now, we want to explore the case when the offset is multiplicative. That is, we want to consider the probability of S_{n,p} exceeding the expected value np by a multiplicative amount λ(np). We shall prove the following result

Theorem

For $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \ge np(1+\lambda)\right] \le \exp\left(-n\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p(1+\lambda),p\right)\right)$$
$$\le \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(1+\lambda/3)}np\right)$$

• Comment: Note that this bound depends on *p*.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Second Form II

• Let us expand and write the expression $\exp(-nD_{\mathrm{KL}}(p(1+\lambda),p))$ below

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^{p(1+\lambda)} \left(\frac{1-p}{1-p(1+\lambda)}\right)^{1-p(1+\lambda)} \end{pmatrix}^{n} \\ = \left(\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^{p(1+\lambda)} \left(1+\frac{\lambda p}{1-p(1+\lambda)}\right)^{1-p(1+\lambda)} \right)^{n} \\ \leqslant \left(\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^{p(1+\lambda)} \exp(\lambda p)\right)^{n} = \left(\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^{(1+\lambda)} \exp(\lambda)\right)^{np}$$

The last inequality is from the fact that $1 + x \leq exp(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Second Form III

• So, to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that

$$\left(rac{1}{1+\lambda}
ight)^{(1+\lambda)}\exp(\lambda)\leqslant\exp\left(-rac{\lambda^2}{2(1+\lambda/3)}
ight)$$

• Equivalently, (by taking log both sides) it suffices to prove that

$$rac{\lambda^2}{2(1+\lambda/3)} \leqslant (1+\lambda)\log(1+\lambda) - \lambda$$

That is, we need to prove that the following function is positive for positive λ

$$f(\lambda) \coloneqq (1+\lambda)\log(1+\lambda) - \lambda - rac{\lambda^2}{2(1+\lambda/3)}$$

Proving this result is left as an exercise!

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Third Form I

- We have always been looking at the probability that the sum $\mathbb{S}_{n,p}$ significantly exceeds the expected value of the sum. We shall now consider the probability that the sum is $\mathbb{S}_{n,p}$ is significantly lower than the expected value of the sum.
- We can apply the Chernoff bound of the r.v. $1 X_i$ and get the following result

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \leq n(p-\varepsilon)\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[n - S_{n,p} \geq n(1-p+\varepsilon)\right]$$
$$\leq \exp(-n\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1-p+\varepsilon,1-p\right)\right)$$

By using the first form of our bounds that we studied today, we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \leqslant n(p-\varepsilon)\right] \leqslant \exp(-n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1-p+\varepsilon,1-p\right)) \leqslant \exp(-2n\varepsilon^2$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Third Form II

• We are, however, interested in obtaining a bound where the deviation is multiplicative. That is,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p}\leqslant np(1-\lambda)\right]\leqslant??$$

where $1 > \lambda > 0$.

• We shall prove the following bound

Theorem

For $1 > \lambda > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \leqslant np(1-\lambda)\right] \leqslant \exp(-n\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1-p(1-\lambda),1-p\right))$$
$$\leqslant \exp(-\lambda^2 np/2)$$

• We shall proceed just like the proof of the "second form." It suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1-p(1-\lambda),1-p
ight)\geqslant\lambda^2p/2$$

• Let us expand and write $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1-p(1-\lambda),1-p
ight)$ as follows

$$(1-p(1-\lambda))\lograc{1-p(1-\lambda)}{1-p}+p(1-\lambda)\log(1-\lambda)$$

Third Form IV

Note that

$$(1 - p(1 - \lambda)) \log \frac{1 - p(1 - \lambda)}{1 - p}$$
$$= -(1 - p(1 - \lambda)) \log \frac{1 - p}{1 - p(1 - \lambda)}$$
$$= -(1 - p(1 - \lambda)) \log \left(1 - \frac{\lambda p}{1 - p(1 - \lambda)}\right)$$
$$\geq -(1 - p(1 - \lambda)) \cdot \left(-\frac{\lambda p}{1 - p(1 - \lambda)}\right) = \lambda p$$

The last inequality is from the fact that $1 - x \le \exp(-x)$ for all $x \ge 0$. (Comment: Since there is a negative sign in front, the inequality is in the opposite direction when substituted)

(日) (部) (目) (日)

• Substituting this result, we get that

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(1- p(1-\lambda), 1-p
ight) \geqslant \lambda p + p(1-\lambda)\log(1-\lambda)$$

So, it suffices to prove that

$$\lambda p + p(1 - \lambda) \log(1 - \lambda) \geqslant \lambda^2 p/2$$

Or, equivalently, we need to prove that

$$\lambda + (1 - \lambda) \log(1 - \lambda) \geqslant \lambda^2/2$$

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Third Form VI

• This proof is done using the following observations using the Taylor expansion of $\log(1 - \lambda)$

$$\log(1 - \lambda) = \sum_{i \ge 1} -\frac{\lambda^i}{i}$$
$$(1 - \lambda) \log(1 - \lambda) = -\lambda + \sum_{i \ge 2} \left(\frac{1}{i - 1} - \frac{1}{i}\right) \lambda^i$$
$$= -\lambda + \sum_{i \ge 2} \frac{1}{i(i - 1)} \lambda^i$$
$$\lambda + (1 - \lambda) \log(1 - \lambda) = \sum_{i \ge 2} \frac{1}{i(i - 1)} \lambda^i$$
$$\geqslant \lambda^2/2$$

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Conclusion

To conclude, let us summarize the results that we derived today.

Theorem

The random variables $\mathbb{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_n$ are independent and $0 \leq \mathbb{X}_i \leq 1$. Let $\mathbb{S}_{n,p} := \mathbb{X}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{X}_n$. Furthermore, we define $p := (\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{X}_1] + \cdots + \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{X}_n])/n$. Then, the following results hold **1** For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \ge n(p+\varepsilon)\right] \le \exp(-2n\varepsilon^2), \text{ and}$$
$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \le n(p-\varepsilon)\right] \le \exp(-2n\varepsilon^2)$$

2 For $\lambda \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \geqslant np(1+\lambda)
ight] \leqslant \exp(-\lambda^2 np/2(1+\lambda/3))$$

3 For $1 > \lambda \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbb{S}_{n,p} \leqslant np(1-\lambda)\right] \leqslant \exp(-\lambda^2 np/2)$$

Concentration Bounds