

Lecture 14: Linear Codes: Examples and Properties

- Given a field $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$
- We consider the set of all n -tuples with entries in \mathbb{F}
- That is, we will consider the set \mathbb{F}^n
- The total number of elements in the set is $|\mathbb{F}|^n$

Basic Terminology

- A code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$
- A codeword $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that all $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$
- Block length is n
- Weight of a codeword: $\text{wt}(c) = |\{i: c_i \neq 0\}|$
- (Hamming) Distance $d_H(c, c') = \text{wt}(c - c')$
- Distance of a code: $d(\mathcal{C}) = \min_{\substack{c, c' \in \mathcal{C} \\ c \neq c'}} \text{wt}(c - c')$
- (N, K, d) -code: $|\mathcal{C}| = K$, $|\mathbb{F}|^n = N$ and $d(\mathcal{C}) = d$

- Given fixed \mathbb{F} and n
- We want to maximize $|\mathcal{C}|$ and $d(\mathcal{C})$
 - $|\mathcal{C}|$ determines how much information can be transmitted over the channel, and
 - $d(\mathcal{C})$ determines the robustness of the encoding (because, to force the maximum likelihood decoding algorithm to output an incorrect codeword, the channel needs to introduce at least $\lceil d(\mathcal{C})/2 \rceil$ errors)
- We will see later that these two parameters are conflicting and there is a trade-off of these two parameters

Linear Codes

- Linear Code: If \mathcal{C} is a vector subspace of \mathbb{F}^n
- Suppose (c_1, \dots, c_k) is a basis of the vector subspace \mathcal{C}
- $[n, k, d]_{\mathbb{F}}$ code: A code \mathcal{C} that is a vector subspace of \mathbb{F}^n , of dimension k , and $d(\mathcal{C}) = d$
- The generator matrix G of a code \mathcal{C} is defined to a matrix in $\mathbb{F}^{k \times n}$ as defined below.

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_k \end{pmatrix}$$

- Note that $(\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_k) \cdot G$ generates all codewords in \mathcal{C} , where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$

Distance of a Linear Code

Claim

$$d(\mathcal{C}) = \min_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \text{wt}(c)$$

Proof.

- Let $d(\mathcal{C})$ is realized by the distance between the codewords c and c'
- Note that $c - c'$ is also a codeword (because \mathcal{C} is a vector space)
- Note that $\text{wt}(c - c') = d(\mathcal{C})$
- If there exists \tilde{c} such that $\text{wt}(\tilde{c}) < d(\mathcal{C})$ then $d_H(0, \tilde{c}) < d(\mathcal{C})$ (which is a contradiction)
- Therefore, we have the claim



Example: Repetition code

- The repetition code $\{0^n, 1^n\}$ has generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}^{n\text{-times}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- It is an $[n, 1, n]_2$ code

Equivalent Code

- Let G be the generator matrix of a code \mathcal{C}
- Let G' be the generator matrix obtained by replacing the row $G_{i,*}$ in the matrix G by the row $\alpha G_{i,*}$, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$, then G' generates the same code as G
- Let G' be the generator matrix obtained by replacing the row $G_{i,*}$ in the matrix G by $G_{i,*} + \alpha G_{j,*}$, for $i \neq j$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$, then G' generates the same code as G
- We write $G \equiv G'$

Similar Code

- Suppose G' is a generator matrix obtained by swapping two columns of the generator matrix G
- Then the code generated by G' is similar to the code generated by G
- We write $G \sim G'$
- If G is the generator matrix of an $[n, k, d]_{\mathbb{F}}$ code, then G' also generates an $[n, k, d]_{\mathbb{F}}$ code (the codewords can be bijectively mapped where the mapping swaps the i -th and the j -th coordinate of the codeword)

- Let G be a generator matrix of an $[n, k, d]_{\mathbb{F}}$ code
- Then there exists $P \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times (n-k)}$ such that

$$G \sim [I_{k \times k} | P],$$

where $I_{k \times k}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $k \times k$

- Proof Outline: Row rank = Column rank, swap k independent columns of G into its first k columns, and perform Gaussian Elimination

- Define the matrix H in $\mathbb{F}^{(n-k) \times n}$ as follows

$$H = \left[-P^\top \mid I_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} \right]$$

Claim

The inner product of any row $G_{i,}$ and any row $H_{j,*}$ is always 0.*

Proof.

- Note that $G_{i,*} = (\delta_i, P_{i,*})$
- Note that $H_{j,*} = (-P_{*,j}^\top, \delta_j)$
- Their inner product is $-P_{i,j} + P_{i,j} = 0$



Claim

Every codeword in the code generated by G is orthogonal to every codeword in the code generated by H

Proof.

- A codeword in the code generated by G looks like $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \cdot G_{i,*}$, for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$
- A codeword in the code generated by H looks like $\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \beta_j \cdot H_{j,*}$, for $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-k} \in \mathbb{F}$
- Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i G_{i,*}, \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \beta_j H_{j,*} \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \alpha_i \beta_j \langle G_{i,*}, H_{j,*} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \alpha_i \beta_j \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

- Let \mathcal{C} be the code generated by G
- We denote the code generated by H as \mathcal{C}^\perp (dual of \mathcal{C})
- Show that $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}$
- We represent the $d(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ by d^\perp
- Let $t(H)$ represent the minimum number of columns of H that can be (non-trivially) linearly combined to yield the 0 column

Claim

$$d(\mathcal{C}) = t(H)$$

Proof is left as an exercise

Hadamard Code

- The columns of the generator matrix G has all binary strings of length r
- For example, for $r = 3$, we have

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- This generates a $[2^r, r, 2^{r-1}]_2$ code (Prove this)

Punctured Hadamard Code

- From the generator matrix of the Hadamard Code, we remove all those columns that have a 0 as their top-most entry
- For example, for $r = 3$, we have

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- This generates a $[2^{r-1}, r, 2^{r-2}]_2$ code (Prove this)

Simplex Code

- From the generator matrix of the Hadamard Code, we remove the all-0 column
- For example, for $r = 3$, we have

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- This generates a $[2^r - 1, r, 2^{r-1}]_2$ code (Prove this)

Claim

Let G be the generator matrix of the Simplex Code. We have $t(G) = 3$.

Prove this.

Hamming Code

- Hamming Code is the dual of the Simplex code
- Therefore, it is a $[2^r - 1, 2^r - r - 1, 3]_2$ code (Prove this)
- Write down the generator matrix for $r = 3$ case