

Lecture 18: Introduction to “Security against Computationally Bounded Adversaries”

- Till the previous lecture, the security of our constructions held against adversaries even if they have unbounded computational power
 - For example, suppose a secret s is shared among 5 parties using Shamir's secret sharing algorithm such that any set of 3 parties can reconstruct the secret, and the secret is hidden from the collusion of any 2 parties
 - This security holds even if the parties has unbounded computational power!
 - Security guarantees against adversaries with unbounded computational power is ideal, but most cryptography is impossible in this setting
 - So, we relax the notion of security. We ensure security only against adversaries that are efficient

Efficient Algorithm

- Intuitively, an algorithm is efficient if the running time of the algorithm is upper-bounded by a polynomial in its input length
 - For example, the algorithm $\text{Multiply}(x, y)$ takes as input two n -bit inputs x and y and outputs the binary representation of the product of the two numbers x and y . The length of the input of this algorithm is $|(x, y)| = 2n$. Note that the number x is exponentially larger than the “length of x .” For instance, the number 100 needs only 7 bits for binary representation
 - The algorithm $\text{Prime}(x)$ takes as input an n -bit input x and tests whether it is a prime or not. An efficient algorithm to test primality will have running time polynomial in n
 - $\text{GCD}(x, y)$ is the algorithm that takes n -bit numbers x and y , and outputs the binary representation of their greatest common divisor. An efficient algorithm to compute the GCD of integers will have running time at most a polynomial in n

Example 1

- Let us consider the example of multiplying two n -bit numbers
- Consider the following code

Multiply-v1 (x, y):

- 1 Let $r = 0$
- 2 For $i \in [1, \dots, y] : r += x$
- 3 Return r

- This is a correct algorithm to multiply the two numbers x and y . But its running time is proportional to y , which can be exponential in n . So, this algorithm is not efficient

Example II

- Let us consider another code of multiplying two n -bit numbers
- Consider the following code

Multiply-v2 (x, y):

- 1 Let M be the table that stores the answer $x \times y$ at the matrix entry (x, y)
 - 2 Perform binary search (or direct memory addressing) to find the entry $M(x, y)$ and output this entry
- Binary search takes time linear in n . But the length of the overall code is 2^{2n} . This is also considered inefficient

Example III

- Consider the following code to multiply two n -bit numbers

Multiply-v3 (x, y):

- Let $x_0x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ be the binary representation of x
 - Let $y_0y_1 \dots y_{n-1}$ be the binary representation of y
 - Let $c = 0$ (carry bit)
 - For $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$:
 - $t = x_i + y_i + c$ (addition over integers)
 - If $t \geq 2$ then set $c = 1$, else $c = 0$
 - Let $z_i = (t \% 2)$
 - Let $z_n = c$
 - Return $z_0z_1 \dots z_{n-1}z_n$
- The length of this code is linear in n and its running time is also linear in n
 - This is an efficient algorithm for addition

Another Example I

- Suppose we want to test whether an n -bit input is a prime number or not

Is_Prime (x):

- For $i \in \{2, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor\}$: If i divides x then return false
 - Return true
- This algorithm runs in time proportional to \sqrt{x} , which is exponential in n . This is not an efficient algorithm for primality testing!

Another Example II

- Until (roughly) 15 years ago, we only knew a probabilistic algorithm that was efficient
- It was a very big open problem to design a deterministic efficient algorithm for primality testing
- Finally, Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena (AKS) provided the first deterministic primality testing algorithm

Factoring

- Consider the task of finding a divisor of a $2n$ -bit number x
- When $x = pq$, where p and q are n -bit prime numbers, we believe that there is no efficient algorithm for this task
- Note that this is a believe and not proven!
- Note that, it is easy to find a divisor when x is even. It may also be easy to find divisors of x when x has small prime factors. But when x is the product of two n -bit prime numbers, then we believe that finding a divisor of x is hard.

- Write down efficient algorithms for the following tasks
 - Perform division of x by y (output both the quotient and the remainder)
 - Finding the GCD of x and y , two n -bit integers
 - Multiply two polynomial $p(X)$ and $q(X)$ that are of degree n and have binary coefficients
 - Multiply two $n \times n$ matrices with field entries
 - Find g^x , where g is a generator of a group
- Read about the Fast Fourier Transform

Why do Hard Problems Exist?

- If the complexity class P is equal to the complexity class NP , then there are no hard problems
- For example, suppose given a 3-SAT formula ϕ over n variables we can efficiently determine whether it has a satisfiable solution or not. If this is the case then $P = NP$. And in this case, there will be no hard problems
- So, cryptographers rely on $P \neq NP$ and additional assumptions
...

One-way Functions I

- Let $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a function that can be computed in polynomial time (i.e., polynomial in n)
- Consider any efficient adversary \mathcal{A}
- Define the following experiment
 - 1 Sample $x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^n$
 - 2 Compute $y = f(x)$
 - 3 Give y to the adversary \mathcal{A}
 - 4 Obtain its reply $x' = \mathcal{A}(y)$
 - 5 Let $z = (f(x') == y)$
- We want the probability

$$\mathbb{P} \left[z = \text{true} : x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^n, y = f(x), z = (\mathcal{A}(y) == y) \right] \leq 2^{-cn}$$

One-way Functions II

Explanation of the definition

- So, one-way functions are
 - Easy to evaluate, but
 - Hard to invert
- The variable z takes value $\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$. It is true if and only if the adversary \mathcal{A} produces a pre-image of y
- Note: we insist that the adversary has to produce any pre-image of y . It need not necessarily produce x
 - For example, a function $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is not a one-way function. Because, consider the adversary that outputs $\mathcal{A}(y) = 0^n$. We always have $f(0^n) = f(x)$. Hence, the probability of $z = \text{true}$ is 1!

One-way Functions III

- If $P = NP$ then one-way functions cannot exist! (We can efficiently invert any function. Think: Why?)

One-way Functions IV

- A weak one-way function has

$$\mathbb{P} \left[z = \text{true} : x \xleftarrow{s} \{0, 1\}^n, y = f(x), z = (\mathcal{A}(y) == y) \right] \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$$

- If weak one-way functions exist then one-way functions also exist. That is, given any weak one-way function we can construct a one-way function.

- We shall consider candidate constructions of one-way and weak one-way functions (we believe that these functions are one-way functions)