# Lecture 06: Secret Sharing Schemes (4)

Secret Sharing

A⊒ ▶ < ∃

- State and Prove the security of Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme
  - We will begin by recalling the basics of probability
  - We will define security of a secret sharing scheme
  - We will provide the outline of the security proof for Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme (the full proof will be derived by you in the homework)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Random Variable and Sample Space

- A sample space is a set  $\Omega$
- A random variable C over the sample space  $\Omega$  is a distribution that assigns probability to every element in  $\Omega$

For example

- Let  $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- Let C be a random variable over the sample space  $\Omega$  such that

• 
$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{H}
ight]=1/3$$
, and

• 
$$\mathbb{P}[C = T] = 2/3.$$

- Semantics: We have a coin *C*. We know that the probability that, when tossed, the outcome is Heads is 1/3. And, the probability that, when tosses, the outcome is Tails is 2/3.
- Note: Before tossing the coin, we have probabilities associated with every outcome in the sample space. Once tossed, the outcome is <u>fixed</u>.

▲御→ ▲注→ ▲注→

## Joint Distribution I

- Suppose  $C_1$  is a random variable over the sample space  $\Omega_1$
- Suppose  $C_2$  is a random variable over the sample space  $\Omega_2$
- There might be correlations between these random variables. So, represent it as a joint variable over the sample space  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$

- For example, let  $\Omega_1 = \{H, T\}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{H, T\}$
- Let (  $C_1, C_2$  ) be a joint distribution over  $\Omega_1 imes \Omega_2$ 
  - $\mathbb{P}[C_1 = H, C_2 = H] = 0$
  - $\mathbb{P}[C_1 = H, C_2 = T] = 1/3$
  - $\mathbb{P}[C_1 = T, C_2 = H] = 1/3$

• 
$$\mathbb{P}[C_1 = T, C_2 = T] = 1/3$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Joint Distribution II

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[C_1 = H] = \mathbb{P}[C_1 = H, C_2 = H] + \mathbb{P}[C_1 = H, C_2 = T]$$
  
= 0 + 1/3 = 1/3

In general

- Let (A, B) be a joint distribution over the sample space  $\Omega_A \times \Omega_B$
- Then, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}[A = a] = \sum_{b \in \Omega_B} \mathbb{P}[A = a, B = b]$$

Secret Sharing

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > .

# Joint Distribution III

- Conditional Probability: Suppose we are guaranteed that  $C_2 = T$ . Conditioned on this event, what is the probability that  $C_1 = H$ .
- Conditioned on  $C_2 = T$ , there are two possibilities  $(C_1 = H, C_2 = T)$  and  $(C_1 = T, C_2 = T)$ . The probabilities of these events are 1/3 and 1/3, respectively.
- The probability that  $C_2 = T$  happens is 1/3 + 1/3 = 2/3.
- The probability that  $(C_1 = H, C_2 = T)$  happens is 1/3.
- Putting things together: Starting with the total budget of 2/3, the interesting event happens with probability 1/3.
- What is the fraction of the interesting probability in the total budget? The answer is (1/3) / (2/3) = 1/2.
- This is the probability of  $C_1 = H$  conditioned on  $C_2 = T$ .
- Conclusion:  $\mathbb{P}\left[C_1 = H | C_2 = T\right] = 1/2$

• In general, the following holds

$$\mathbb{P}\left[A = a|B = b\right] = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[A = a, B = b\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[B = b\right]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[A = a, B = b\right]}{\sum_{a \in \Omega_A} \mathbb{P}\left[A = a, B = b\right]}$$

• This is known as the Bayes' Rule

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘ

#### • Chain Rule

Suppose (X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub>) is a joint distribution over the sample space Ω<sub>1</sub> × Ω<sub>2</sub> ×···Ω<sub>n</sub> item Then the following holds

$$\mathbb{P} [X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n] = \mathbb{P} [X_1 = x_1] \times \mathbb{P} [X_2 = x_2 | X_1 = x_1] \times \mathbb{P} [X_3 = x_3 | X_2 = x_2, X_1 = x_1] \times \dots \times \mathbb{P} [X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_1 = x_1]$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ モト ・ モト

The Setting

- We shall work over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , where p is a prime number
- We want to share to *n* parties and support *t* reconstruction, where  $n \leq p 1$
- Let  $\mathbb{P}\left[S=s
  ight]$  be the probability that the secret is s
- Recall, that the secret sharing algorithm samples a random polynomial p[X] or degree  $\leq (t-1)$  such that p[X=0] = s
- The secret shares of parties  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  are defined to be  $p[X = 1], \ldots, p[X = n]$
- For *i* ∈ {1,..., *n*}, the random variable S<sub>i</sub> represents the secret share distribution of the *i*-th party

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Suppose parties  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ , where k < t, are colluding
- Their respective secrets are  $s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_k}$
- We want to say that a <u>secure</u> secret sharing scheme provides no <u>additional information</u> about the secrets
- Mathematically, this is summarized as

Definition (Secure Secret-sharing Scheme)

For all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}[S = s] = \mathbb{P}\left[S = s | S_{i_1} = s_{i_1}, S_{i_2} = s_{i_2}, \dots, S_{i_k} = s_{i_k}
ight]$$

< □ > < □ > < □ >

# Developing Notion of Security III

- A Clarification
  - Suppose we want to share a message  $s \in \{0, 1\}$  among 4 parties such that any two of them can reconstruct it
  - So, we choose p = 5
  - The probability of the secret is as follows

$$\mathbb{P}[S = 0] = 0.9$$
  

$$\mathbb{P}[S = 1] = 0.1$$
  

$$\mathbb{P}[S = 2] = 0$$
  

$$\mathbb{P}[S = 3] = 0$$
  

$$\mathbb{P}[S = 4] = 0$$

• The security of a secret-sharing scheme insists that even after seeing the secret-shares, the conditional distribution of secrets should remain the same

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The outline for the proof of security for Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme

• Remember, this is only a proof outline. You will prove the entire result formally in the homework

#### Developing Notion of Security V

• Consider the following manipulation

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S = s | S_{i_{1}} = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, S_{i_{k}} = s_{i_{k}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[S = s, S_{i_{1}} = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, S_{i_{k}} = s_{i_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[S_{i_{1}} = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, S_{i_{k}} = s_{i_{k}}\right]}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[p[X = 0] = s, p[X = i_{1}] = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, p[X = i_{k}] = s_{i_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[p[X = i_{1}] = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, p[X = i_{k}] = s_{i_{k}}\right]}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[S = s\right] \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \dots \frac{1}{p}}_{\substack{k \text{-times}}} = \mathbb{P}\left[S = s\right]}{\underbrace{\frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \dots \frac{1}{p}}_{\substack{k \text{-times}}} = \mathbb{P}\left[S = s\right]}$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

#### The previous manipulation relied on the following two results

Claim  

$$\mathbb{P}\left[p[X=0] = s, p[X=i_{1}] = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, p[X=i_{k}] = s_{i_{k}}\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[S=s\right] \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k}}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[p[X=i_{1}] = s_{i_{1}}, \dots, p[X=i_{k}] = s_{i_{k}}\right] = \frac{1}{p^{k}}$$

You will prove this result in the homework.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト