

# Single-Use Oblivious Transfer Combiners

## Abstract

An oblivious transfer (OT) protocol allows a receiver to obtain one of two bits held by a sender without revealing its selection. An *OT combiner* securely implements OT by using oracle access to  $n$  OT candidates of which at most  $t$  may be insecure. It is known that OT combiners exist when  $t < n/2$ . However, known constructions either invoke each candidate multiple times or alternatively require  $t$  to be a very small fraction of  $n$ , even in the semi-honest security model.

In this work we study the goal of maximizing the security level of *single-use* OT combiners in the semi-honest model, namely OT combiners in which each candidate can only be invoked once. This question is motivated by scenarios in which each OT instance is implemented via a separate physical process that may leak information independent of other instances.

Our main result is a statistically secure single-use OT combiner which tolerates  $t = n/2 - \tilde{O}(\log n)$  bad instances. We complement this by a negative result, showing that it is impossible to tolerate  $t = n/2 - O(1)$  bad instances in this setting. More generally, given  $n$  OT instances, we construct single-use OT combiners where an adversary can corrupt the sender and  $t_S$  OT instances, or it can corrupt the receiver and  $t_R$  OT instances, such that  $n - (t_S + t_R) = \tilde{O}(\log n)$ .

Finally, we apply our positive result and (re-prove) the semi-honest completeness of  $(p, q)$ -*Weak-OT* [DKS99] (i.e. an OT which reveals the receiver choice bit to a corrupt sender with probability  $p$  and reveals both sender bits to a corrupt receiver with probability  $q$ ), where  $p + q < 1$ . We significantly reduce the total number of  $(p, q)$ -WOT copies needed to implement one copy of OT.

**Keywords:** Single-use OT-combiners, Secret-sharing schemes, Semi-honest corruption, Adaptive security with erasures, Information-theoretic protocols, Weak Oblivious Transfer

(Joint work with Yuval Ishai, Amit Sahai and Jürg Wullschleger.)

## References

- [DKS99] Ivan Damgård, Joe Kilian, and Louis Salvail. On the (im)possibility of basing oblivious transfer and bit commitment on weakened security assumptions. In Jacques Stern, editor, *EUROCRYPT*, volume 1592 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 56–73. Springer, 1999. [1](#)