Lecture 25

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury Formula for rank-k updates

Nonlinear equations
- Jacobians
- Newton's method
- Matrix functions
- Newton-Krylov method
- Homotopy methods

Rank-k update to a matrix or a linear system:

$$A \to A + UV^T \quad (\text{rank } k)$$

$$A^{-1} \to A^{-1} + UV^{-1} \quad (\text{rank } k)$$

$A: n \times n$, $U: n \times m$, $V: n \times m$.

How does A^{-1} change?

→ A^{-1} means solve a system. A^{-1} means, not actually compute.

→ We have linear system that we want to solve. But we want $(A + UV^T)^{-1}$.

Goal:

$$(A + UV^T)^{-1} = \boxed{}\ ?$$

Goal: Solve the rank k update in $O(n^2 k)$ time.

$$\left(A + UV^T\right)x = b$$

$$x = \underbrace{A^{-1}b}_{\substack{\text{solve} \\ O(n^3)}} - \underbrace{A^{-1}U}_{\substack{\text{solve} \\ O(n^2 k)}}\underbrace{V^T x}_{\substack{\text{matrix} \\ \text{vector} \\ O(n^2)}}$$

$$x = y - z(y \cdot v)$$

$$y(v^T x) = y - z(y \cdot v)$$

$$x = y - \frac{z(y \cdot v)}{1 + (v^T z)}$$

Alg: 1. Solve $Ay = b$

2. Solve $Az = u$

3. Compute $\gamma = \frac{v^T y}{1 + v^T z}$

4. Output $x = y - \gamma z$.

How to get x^{-1}?

$$\left(A + UV^T\right)X = I$$

$$AX + UV^T X = I$$

$$X = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\underbrace{V^T X}_{\gamma}$$

$$\gamma^T = V^T X^T A^{-T} = V^T A^{-1}$$

$$(\gamma^T + V^T A^{-1}U)\gamma^T = V^T A^{-1}$$

$$\gamma^T = \frac{V^T A^{-1}}{1 + V^T A^{-1} U}$$

$$A^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\frac{V^T A^{-1}}{1 + V^T A^{-1} U}$$

Rank k general.

$$A + UV^T$$

$$(A + UV^T)X = I$$

$$AX + UV^T X = I$$

$$X = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\underbrace{V^T X}_{\gamma}$$

$$\gamma^T = V^T X^T A^{-T} = V^T A^{-1}U\underbrace{\gamma^T}_{\text{solve}}$$

$$(I + V^T A^{-1}U)\gamma^T = V^T A^{-1}$$

$$G^{-1} = (I + V^T A^{-1}U)^{-1}$$

$$\gamma^T = G^{-1}V^T A^{-1}$$

$$X = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\underbrace{G^{-1}V^T A^{-1}}_{\text{solve}}$$

$$\gamma = \text{solve}$$

$$G = \text{solve}$$

$$G = \text{matrix}$$

$$(A + UV^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} + A^{-1}U(I + V^T A^{-1}U)^{-1}V^T A^{-1}$$

Nonlinear Equations

$$F(x) = 0 \qquad F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$x: \mathbb{R}^n$$

Find a zero or root of the equation. $F(x) = 0$.

Why called root? "Big equation" $F(x) = 0$, $F(x) = -1$

$n=1$ is the particular case

Relation from Nonlinear Equations to Optimization

$$F(x) = 0$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Minimize $\|F(x)\|_2^2$

Cannot get to zero

$$\Downarrow$$

$$g(x) = 0$$

Minimize $g(x)$

How to change algo for finding roots to find minima?

Use Newton's Method

↳ Newton's method

↳ Newton Method for Nonlinear Eqns.

$$F(x) \to J(x) \text{ of function}$$

$$J(x): m \times n$$

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$$

What is called the gradient?

$$f(x) \to g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$J(x) \to g(x)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}.$$

*Rows of the Jacobian are gradients of the functions in F.

$$f(x) = x - \cos x = 0$$

• true root

$\|f(x)\|_2$

• three roots

• if find one of roots.

Newton's Method

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \underbrace{J(x_k)^{-1}F(x_k)}_{\Delta x}$$

$$J(x_k)\Delta x = -F(x_k)$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k \Delta x_k$$

How to pick α?

↳ Opt. $\min_\alpha f(x_k + \alpha \Delta x)$ to find "best" step.

↳ Armijo cp.:

Merit Function

Helps guide us to better points.

$$\|F(x)\|_2^2 \to \text{use example.}$$

Merit function is bounded.

* Solution is optimum. Merit has to prove that that is true.

What if $J(x)$ singular?

Almost all. Just to impose that $\det \neq 0$.

$$\det \neq 0 \Rightarrow \|F(x)\|_2^2 \leq \|F(x)\|$$

What if no Jacobian?

→ Cannot Minimize Approx Jac. Jacobian.

→ Higher-Order Method!

Let call J to get ρ in

$$J\rho = F.$$

If higher method failure to compute $A \Delta x = b$ Could only access matrix vector product of A.

Given the city list of $A - J$ of $F(x)$.

Then a higher method uses this info to solve.

$$A \Delta x = b.$$

Then a quasi-Newton

$$J \cdot y \quad (\text{matrix})$$

$$\approx \frac{F(x_k + \epsilon y) - F(x_k)}{\epsilon}$$

Use to $\approx FE$. to get a matrix.

Use idea from Lanczos + apply to non-linear Eqns!

Idea found in the most "non" method.

Jacobian Free Newton Krylov

→ more than step.

→ most Krylov.

Homotopy method

• Idea: Use Jacobian.

Take $F(x)$, create a new function

$$H(x, \lambda) = C(\lambda)x + (1 - \lambda)F(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda = 0$ so true root.

Idea: Trace the path.

If $\lambda = 0$, then $H(x, \lambda) = C(x) = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow x = 0$

If $\lambda = 0$ what are the roots of $H(x, \lambda)$?

Goal!

But, we can get multiple roots.

3 solutions

$\lambda = 0$ $\lambda = 1$

$R(\lambda) = 0$

What to do?

Taken follows the curve, not λ.

We parametrize $x(s), \lambda(s)$ s.t. $H(x(s), \lambda(s)) = 0$.

for "most" F_λ (on hull), this is continuous.

Find a path $x(s), \lambda(s)$

If $\lambda(s^*) = 1$, then we are done!

Else we use an ODE to follow the path.

$$\frac{d\lambda}{ds} = \boxed{\text{sin hull}} \leftarrow \text{for long time}$$

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = \boxed{\text{sin hull}}$$

$x(0) = \xi$, $\lambda(0) = 0$ — use ODE Solver.

Theorem. If ξ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$, then WHP!

Thus WHP!

If $F(x)$ is twice cont. diff. then for all ξ but a set of measure 0, the Jacobian is well-defined.

The path changes/diverge in finite time.