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We'll study the steepest descent method, also known as the gradient descent method, on a simple quadratic objective with exact line search. The point here is to show that, even in a simple case, this method converges slowly. (In this class, that means linear convergence.) Whether or not this slow convergence affects your problem is a judgement call that you'll have to make for yourself.

## 1 THE PROBLEM

We'll consider the optimization problem

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is symmetric, positive definite.
The solution of this problem is $\mathbf{x}=0$. We'll show that it takes us a while to find this solution using steepest descent!

2 THE METHOD
Steepest descent begins with some prescribed point $\mathbf{x}_{0}$. At each step, it considers a linear approximation of $f(\mathbf{x})$ in the direction of the negative gradient. Formally,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha_{k} \mathbf{g}_{k}
$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{k}=\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ is the gradient evaluated at $\mathbf{x}_{k}$.
The key decision in the method is how to choose $\alpha_{k}$, what is called the step-length. An idealized choice of $\alpha_{k}$ is as the global solution of:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{minimize}} & f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}\right) \\
\text { subject to } & \alpha>0
\end{array}
$$

For reasons that we'll see soon, this is called exact line search. Usually, performing an exact line search is impossible, but for quadratic objectives of the form:

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}-\beta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c}
$$

we can derived a closed form solution for $\alpha$.

## 3 EXACT LINE SEARCH FOR QUADRATICS

Let's do so for the simple objective $f(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}$. First, we need the gradient. For this function $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\boldsymbol{Q} \mathbf{x}$ if $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is symmetric, and $\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{Q}+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{Q}^{T}\right) \mathbf{x}$ if $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is non-symmetric. We'll only consider the symmetric case where $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is also positive definite.

At a point $\mathbf{x}_{k}$, then, our goal is to pick $\alpha_{k}$ to minimize

$$
\ell(\alpha)=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q} \mathbf{x}_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}
$$

The derivative with respect to $\alpha$ is:

$$
\ell^{\prime}(\alpha)=\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}-\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_{k}=\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}-\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{g}_{k}
$$

This material comes from Nocedal \& Wright, page 42; Griva, Sofer \& Nash, page 405; and some old notes from Juan Meza (former location: http://hpcrd.lbl.gov/~meza/ papers/Steepest\%20Descent.pdf) which seem to be in a Wiley paper http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/wics. 117.

This is a strongly convex problem with a unique solution - just about as easy as things get.

The negative gradient search direction is optimal for the model objective, $f(\mathbf{x}) \approx$ $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}+\mathbf{p}\right) \approx f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)+\mathbf{p}^{T} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$, in the sense that $-\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ is the solution of

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad \frac{\mathbf{p}^{T} \mathbf{g}_{k}}{\|\mathbf{p}\|\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|}
$$

The only stationary point is where $\ell^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$, or

$$
\alpha=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}}
$$

Because of the norms, this ratio must be positive (unless $\mathbf{g}_{k}=0$, in which case we are already at a stationary point). Thus, the solution is feasible and hence, optimal.

## 4 DECREASE IN THE FUNCTION

Our goal is to understand the convergence properties of the steepest descent method. Now that we have fully specified the method by deriving the form of $\alpha$ at each step, we can study the decrease in the objective function from $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ to $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)$. Substituting $\alpha_{k}=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} Q \mathbf{g}_{k}}$ into $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)$ we have:

$$
f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-\alpha\|\mathbf{g}\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|^{4} /\left(\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}\right) .
$$

From this form, it seems like we might have algebraic convergence! However, note that

$$
f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q} \mathbf{x}_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{k} .
$$

Thus, we can rewrite the decrease as follows:

$$
f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\left[1-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left(\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)}\right] .
$$

If we can bound $\frac{\left\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left(\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} Q \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{k}\right)}$ by a constant, then we will have proved linear convergence.

## 5 THE KANTOROVICH INEQUALITY

There is indeed such a bound, known as the Kantorovich inequality.
LEMMA 1 Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a symmetric, positive definite matrix. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a vector with $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}=1$. Then

$$
\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right) \leq \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{n}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{n}}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ are the smallest and largest eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$.
Proof First, we transform the problem into eigenvalue space with $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{V}^{T}$. This results

This proof due to P. Henrici, Mathematical Notes, 1961.

$$
\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right)=\left(\mathbf{y}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{y}\right)\left(\mathbf{y}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{y}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{-1}\right) .
$$

Note that $\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2}=1$. The key idea is to write $\lambda_{i}=p_{i} \lambda_{1}+q_{i} \lambda_{n}$ and $\lambda_{i}^{-1}=p_{i} \lambda_{1}^{-1}+q_{i} \lambda_{n}^{-1}$. Thus, $p_{i} \geq 0$ and $q_{i} \geq 0$, and

$$
\lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{-1}=1=\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)^{2}+p_{i} q_{i}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}\right)^{2} /\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

Let $p=\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} p_{i}$ and $q=\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} q_{i}$, then $p+q \leq 1$.

$$
\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1} p+\lambda_{n} q\right)\left(\lambda_{1}^{-1} p+\lambda_{n}^{-1} q\right) \leq(p+q)^{2} \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{n}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{n}} \leq \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{n}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{n}}
$$

after a few more steps of algebra involving geometry mean inequalities.

## 6 TYING UP LOOSE ENDS

We are basically done. We use the Kantorovich inequality to bound the decrease. A bit more algebra yields the identity:

$$
\frac{f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)}{f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\kappa(\boldsymbol{Q})-1}{\kappa(\boldsymbol{Q})+1}\right)^{2} .
$$

