

Department of Computer Science

CS 44800: Introduction To Relational Database Systems

Processing Individual Operations Prof. Chris Clifton 19 October 2021



Department of Computer Science

- Process overview
 - Parse to relational algebra
 - Transform to optimized query plan
 - Evaluate steps in plan on the data
- Cost measures
 - Goal: reduce disk blocks read
 - Alternate view: Number of tuples processed, cost of operation
- Pipelined processing
- Processing individual operations
 - Algorithms
 - Use of indexes

- Query transformation
 - Legal transformations to equivalent queries

ndiana

Center for

Database

Svstems

- "Always good" transformations
- Cost estimation

Query Processing

- Expected number of tuples processed
- Cost of operation
- Cost of plan
- Putting it all together: Query Optimizer







Selections Using Indices

- Index scan search algorithms that use an index
 - selection condition must be on search-key of index.
- A2 (clustering index, equality on key). Retrieve a single record that satisfies the corresponding equality condition

1.45

- $Cost = (h_i + 1) * (t_T + t_S)$
- A3 (clustering index, equality on nonkey) Retrieve multiple records.
 - Records will be on consecutive blocks
 - Let b = number of blocks containing matching records
 - $Cost = h_i * (t_T + t_S) + t_S + t_T * b$



Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition



Selections Involving Comparisons

- Can implement selections of the form $\sigma_{A \leq V}(r)$ or $\sigma_{A \geq V}(r)$ by using
 - a linear file scan,
 - or by using indices in the following ways:
- A5 (clustering index, comparison). (Relation is sorted on A)
 - For $\sigma_{A \ge V}(r)$ use index to find first tuple $\ge v$ and scan relation sequentially from there
 - For $\sigma_{A \le V}(r)$ just scan relation sequentially till first tuple > v; do not use index
- A6 (clustering index, comparison).
 - For σ_{A ≥ V}(r) use index to find first index entry ≥ v and scan index sequentially from there, to find pointers to records.
 - For $\sigma_{A \le V}(t)$ just scan leaf pages of index finding pointers to records, till first entry > v
 - · In either case, retrieve records that are pointed to
 - requires an I/O per record; Linear file scan may be cheaper!

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

1.47

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan



Implementation of Complex Selections

- Conjunction: $\sigma_{\theta 1} \wedge \theta_{2} \wedge \dots \theta_{n}(r)$
- A7 (conjunctive selection using one index).
 - Select a combination of θ_i and algorithms A1 through A7 that results in the least cost for σ_{θi} (*r*).
 - Test other conditions on tuple after fetching it into memory buffer.
- A8 (conjunctive selection using composite index).
 - Use appropriate composite (multiple-key) index if available.
- A9 (conjunctive selection by intersection of identifiers).
 - · Requires indices with record pointers.
 - Use corresponding index for each condition, and take intersection of all the obtained sets of record pointers.
 - Then fetch records from file
 - If some conditions do not have appropriate indices, apply test in memory.

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

1.48



Algorithms for Complex Selections

- **Disjunction**: $\sigma_{\theta 1} \vee_{\theta 2} \vee \ldots \otimes_{\theta n} (r)$.
- A10 (disjunctive selection by union of identifiers).
 - Applicable if *all* conditions have available indices.
 - Otherwise use linear scan.
 - Use corresponding index for each condition, and take union of all the obtained sets of record pointers.
 - Then fetch records from file
- Negation: $\sigma_{-\theta}(r)$
 - Use linear scan on file
 - If very few records satisfy $\neg \theta$, and an index is applicable to θ
 - · Find satisfying records using index and fetch from file

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition	1.49	©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan







External Sort-Merge

Let *M* denote memory size (in pages).

- 1. Create sorted runs. Let *i* be 0 initially.
 - Repeatedly do the following till the end of the relation:
 - (a) Read M blocks of relation into memory
 - (b) Sort the in-memory blocks
 - (c) Write sorted data to run R_{i} ; increment *i*.

Let the final value of *i* be N

2. Merge the runs (next slide).....

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition



External Sort-Merge (Cont.)

 Merge the runs (N-way merge). We assume (for now) that N < M. Use N blocks of memory to buffer input runs, and 1 block to buffer output. Read 	
 a. repeat 1. Select the first record (in sort order) among all buffer pages 	
 Write the record to the output buffer. If the output buffer is full write it to disk. Delete the record from its input buffer page. If the buffer page becomes empty then read the next block (if any) of the run into the buffer. 	
 until all input buffer pages are empty: 	
atabase System Concents - 7th Edition 154 @Silherechatz Korth and Sudareban	
autore of source of the source	





External Merge Sort (Cont.)

- Cost analysis:
 - 1 block per run leads to too many seeks during merge
 - Instead use b_b buffer blocks per run
 - → read/write b_b blocks at a time
 - Can merge $\lfloor M/b_b \rfloor$ –1 runs in one pass
 - Total number of merge passes required: $\lceil \log_{|M/bb|-1}(b_{r}/M) \rceil$.
 - Block transfers for initial run creation as well as in each pass is 2b_r
 - for final pass, we don't count write cost
 - we ignore final write cost for all operations since the output of an operation may be sent to the parent operation without being written to disk

1.56

Thus total number of block transfers for external sorting:

 $b_r (2 \lceil \log_{\lfloor M/bb \rfloor - 1} (b_r / M) \rceil + 1) \rceil$

Seeks: next slide

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition



Database System Concepts - 7th Edition







Nested-Loop Join (Cont.)

- In the worst case, if there is enough memory only to hold one block of each relation, the estimated cost is
 - $n_r * b_s + b_r$ block transfers, plus $n_r + b_r$ seeks
- If the smaller relation fits entirely in memory, use that as the inner relation.
 - Reduces cost to $b_r + b_s$ block transfers and 2 seeks
- Assuming worst case memory availability cost estimate is
 - with student as outer relation:
 - 5000 * 400 + 100 = 2,000,100 block transfers,
 - 5000 + 100 = 5100 seeks
 - with takes as the outer relation
 - 10000 * 100 + 400 = 1,000,400 block transfers and 10,400 seeks
- If smaller relation (*student*) fits entirely in memory, the cost estimate will be 500 block transfers.
- Block nested-loops algorithm (next slide) is preferable.

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

1.60

B	lock Nested-Loop Jo	oin
Variant of nested-loop join in block of outer relation.	n which every block of inner rel	ation is paired with every
for each block B_r of r do be for each block B_s of s of for each tuple t_r in E for each tuple t_s Check if (t_r, t_s) if they do, add end end	egin Io begin 3, do begin in B _s do begin satisfy the join condition t _r ∙ t _s to the result.	
end		
		@Cilleanshate Kash and Sudanhan
	Variant of nested-loop join in block of outer relation. for each block B_r of r do be for each block B_s of s d for each tuple t_r in E_s for each tuple t_s if Check if (t_r, t_s) if they do, add end end end	<pre>End Control Contr</pre>



Indexed Nested-Loop Join

- Index lookups can replace file scans if
 - join is an equi-join or natural join and
 - an index is available on the inner relation's join attribute
 - Can construct an index just to compute a join.
- For each tuple t_r in the outer relation r, use the index to look up tuples in s that satisfy the join condition with tuple t_r.
- Worst case: buffer has space for only one page of *r*, and, for each tuple in *r*, we perform an index lookup on *s*.
- Cost of the join: $b_r (t_T + t_S) + n_r * c$
 - Where *c* is the cost of traversing index and fetching all matching *s* tuples for one tuple or *r*

1.63

- c can be estimated as cost of a single selection on s using the join condition.
- If indices are available on join attributes of both r and s, use the relation with fewer tuples as the outer relation.

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition





Merge-Join (Cont.)

- Can be used only for equi-joins and natural joins
- Each block needs to be read only once (assuming all tuples for any given value of the join attributes fit in memory
- Thus the cost of merge join is: $b_r + b_s$ block transfers $+ \lceil b_r / b_b \rceil + \lceil b_s / b_b \rceil$ seeks
 - + the cost of sorting if relations are unsorted.
- hybrid merge-join: If one relation is sorted, and the other has a secondary B⁺-tree index on the join attribute
 - · Merge the sorted relation with the leaf entries of the B+-tree .
 - · Sort the result on the addresses of the unsorted relation's tuples
 - Scan the unsorted relation in physical address order and merge with previous result, to replace addresses by the actual tuples
 - Sequential scan more efficient than random lookup









Hash-Join Algorithm

The hash-join of *r* and *s* is computed as follows.

- 1. Partition the relation *s* using hashing function *h*. When partitioning a relation, one block of memory is reserved as the output buffer for each partition.
- 2. Partition r similarly.
- 3. For each i:
 - (a) Load s_i into memory and build an in-memory hash index on it using the join attribute. This hash index uses a different hash function than the earlier one *h*.
 - (b) Read the tuples in r_i from the disk one by one. For each tuple t_r locate each matching tuple t_s in s_i using the in-memory hash index. Output the concatenation of their attributes.

Relation *s* is called the **build input** and r is called the **probe input**.

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition



Hash-Join algorithm (Cont.)

- The value n and the hash function h is chosen such that each s_i should fit in memory.
 - Typically n is chosen as $[b_s/M] * f$ where f is a "fudge factor", typically around 1.2
 - The probe relation partitions *s_i* need not fit in memory
- **Recursive partitioning** required if number of partitions *n* is greater than number of pages *M* of memory.
 - instead of partitioning n ways, use M-1 partitions for s
 - Further partition the M-1 partitions using a different hash function
 - Use same partitioning method on r
 - Rarely required: e.g., with block size of 4 KB, recursive partitioning not needed for relations of < 1GB with memory size of 2MB, or relations of < 36 GB with memory of 12 MB

```
Database System Concepts - 7th Edition
```







Cost of Hash-Join

- If recursive partitioning is not required: cost of hash join is $3(b_r + b_s) + 4 * n_h$ block transfers + $2(\lceil b_r/b_h \rceil + \lceil b_s/b_h \rceil)$ seeks
- If recursive partitioning required:
 - number of passes required for partitioning build relation s to less than M blocks per partition is \[log_\(M/bb) -1(b_s/M) \]

1.73

- best to choose the smaller relation as the build relation.
- Total cost estimate is:
 - $\begin{array}{l} 2(b_r + b_s) \left\lceil \log_{\lfloor M/bb \rfloor 1}(b_s/M) \right\rceil + b_r + b_s \text{ block transfers } + \\ 2(\left\lceil b_r/b_b \right\rceil + \left\lceil b_s/b_b \right\rceil) \left\lceil \log_{\lfloor M/bb \rfloor 1}(b_s/M) \right\rceil \text{ seeks} \end{array}$
- If the entire build input can be kept in main memory no partitioning is required
 - Cost estimate goes down to $b_r + b_s$.

Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

Complex Joins Join with a conjunctive condition: $r \bowtie_{\theta_{1 \land \theta_{2 \land \dots \land \theta_{n}}} s$ Either use nested loops/block nested loops, or Compute the result of one of the simpler joins r ⋈ _{θi} s final result comprises those tuples in the intermediate result that satisfy the remaining conditions $\theta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta_{i-1} \wedge \theta_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta_n$ Join with a disjunctive condition $r \bowtie_{\theta_1 \lor \theta_2 \lor \ldots \lor \theta_n} s$ Either use nested loops/block nested loops, or • Compute as the union of the records in individual joins $r \bowtie_{\theta_i} s$: $(r \bowtie_{\theta_1} s) \cup (r \bowtie_{\theta_2} s) \cup \ldots \cup (r \bowtie_{\theta_n} s)$ Database System Concepts - 7th Edition 1.77 ©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan







Other Operations : Set Operations

- Set operations (∪, ∩ and —): can either use variant of merge-join after sorting, or variant of hash-join.
 - E.g., Set operations using hashing:
 - 1. Partition both relations using the same hash function
 - 2. Process each partition *i* as follows.
 - 1. Using a different hashing function, build an in-memory hash index on r_{i} .
 - 2. Process s_i as follows
 - *r* ∪ s:
 - 1. Add tuples in s_i to the hash index if they are not already in it.

1.81

2. At end of s_i add the tuples in the hash index to the result.

```
Database System Concepts - 7th Edition
```

<section-header>
Other Operations : Set Operations
E.g., Set operations using hashing:

as before partition r and s,
as before, process each partition i as follows
build a hash index on r_i
Process s_i as follows
r ∩ S:

output tuples in s_i to the result if they are already there in the hash index
r - S:
for each tuple in s_i if it is there in the hash index, delete it from the index.
At end of s_i add remaining tuples in the hash index to the result.



Database System Concepts - 7th Edition

Other Operations : Outer Join

- Outer join can be computed either as
 - A join followed by addition of null-padded non-participating tuples.
 - by modifying the join algorithms.
- Modifying merge join to compute r ⋈ s
 - In $r \bowtie s$, non participating tuples are those in $r \prod_{R} (r \bowtie s)$
 - Modify merge-join to compute $r \bowtie s$:
 - During merging, for every tuple t_r from *r* that do not match any tuple in *s*, output t_r padded with nulls.

1.84

• Right outer-join and full outer-join can be computed similarly.

