









## **Boyce-Codd Normal Form**

A relation schema R is in BCNF with respect to a set F of functional dependencies if for all functional dependencies in  $F^*$  of the form

 $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ 

where  $\alpha \subseteq R$  and  $\beta \subseteq R$ , at least one of the following holds:

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial (i.e.,  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ )
- $\alpha$  is a superkey for *R*

Example schema not in BCNF:

instr\_dept (<u>ID,</u> name, salary, <u>dept\_name</u>, building, budget)

8.7

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshar

because dept\_name building, budget holds on *instr\_dept*, but dept\_name is not a superkey























## **BCNF Decomposition Algorithm**











Database System Concepts - 6th Edition

## **Testing for Dependency Preservation**

To check if a dependency  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is preserved in a decomposition of R into  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_n$  we apply the following test (with attribute closure done with respect to F) • result =  $\alpha$ while (changes to result) do for each R<sub>i</sub> in the decomposition  $t = (result \cap R_i)^+ \cap R_i$ result = result  $\cup$  t • If *result* contains all attributes in  $\beta$ , then the functional dependency  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is preserved. We apply the test on all dependencies in F to check if a decomposition is dependency preserving This procedure takes polynomial time, instead of the exponential time required to compute  $F^{+}$  and  $(F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup ... \cup F_{n})^{+}$ 

8.26

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan















Populating table from query							
	course_title	courses instructor_id instructors	room				
	instructor_id	name	phone				
<ul> <li>CREATE TABLE course_instructors ( course_name varchar(30), instructor_name varchar(30), phone number(10) );</li> <li>INSERT INTO course_instructors SELECT course_name, name, phone FROM courses, instructors WHERE courses.instructor_id = instructors.id;</li> </ul>							
Fall 2016		Chris Clifton - CS34800		34			







	How good is BCNF?						
	There are database schemas in BCNF that do not seem to be sufficiently normalized						
	Consider a relation inst_info (ID, child_name, phone)						
	<ul> <li>where an instructor may have more than one phone and can have multiple children</li> </ul>						
	ID	child_name	phone				
	99999 99999 99999 99999	David David William Willian	512-555-1234 512-555-4321 512-555-1234 512-555-4321				
		inst_info					
Database S	ystem Concepts - 6 <sup>th</sup> Edition	8.38	©Silberschatz, Korth and Suda				



2	How good is BCNF? (Cont.)						
Therefore, it	is better to decompo	ose <i>inst_info</i> into:					
	ID	child_name	]				
inst_child	99999 99999 99999 99999 99999	David David William Willian					
	ID	phone	]				
inst_phone	99999 99999 99999 99999	512-555-1234 512-555-4321 512-555-1234 512-555-4321					
This suggests the need for higher normal forms, such as Fourth Normal Form (4NF), which we shall see later.							
Database System Concepts - 6th Edition		8.40	©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan				



















