implementation to define. Note that A1, A2, and so forth may be individuals, like John in IT department or Mary in marketing; but they may also be applications or programs that want to access a database.

In SQL2, the same effect can be accomplished by having the DBA issue a CREATE SCHEMA command, as follows:

```
CREATE SCHEMA EXAMPLE AUTHORIZATION A1;
```

User account A1 can now create tables under the schema called EXAMPLE. To continue our example, suppose that A1 creates the two base relations EMPLOYEE and DEPARTMENT shown in Figure 30.1; A1 is then the owner of these two relations and hence has all the relation privileges on each of them.

Next, suppose that account A1 wants to grant to account A2 the privilege to insert and delete tuples in both of these relations. However, A1 does not want A2 to be able to propagate these privileges to additional accounts. A1 can issue the following command:

```
GRANT INSERT, DELETE ON EMPLOYEE, DEPARTMENT TO A2;
```

Notice that the owner account A1 of a relation automatically has the GRANT OPTION, allowing it to grant privileges on the relation to other accounts. However, account A2 cannot grant INSERT and DELETE privileges on the EMPLOYEE and DEPARTMENT tables because A2 was not given the GRANT OPTION in the preceding command.

Next, suppose that A1 wants to allow account A3 to retrieve information from either of the two tables and also to be able to propagate the SELECT privilege to other accounts. A1 can issue the following command:

```
GRANT SELECT ON EMPLOYEE, DEPARTMENT TO A3 WITH GRANT OPTION;
```

The clause WITH GRANT OPTION means that A3 can now propagate the privilege to other accounts by using GRANT. For example, A3 can grant the SELECT privilege on the EMPLOYEE relation to A4 by issuing the following command:

```
GRANT SELECT ON EMPLOYEE TO A4;
```

Notice that A4 cannot propagate the SELECT privilege to other accounts because the GRANT OPTION was not given to A4.

Now suppose that A1 decides to revoke the SELECT privilege on the EMPLOYEE relation from A3; A1 then can issue this command:

```
REVOKE SELECT ON EMPLOYEE FROM A3;
```

---

**Figure 30.1**
Schemas for the two relations EMPLOYEE and DEPARTMENT.

**EMPLOYEE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>San</th>
<th>Bdate</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Dno</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DEPARTMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dnumber</th>
<th>Dname</th>
<th>Mgr san</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The DBMS must now revoke the SELECT privilege on EMPLOYEE from A3, and it must also automatically revoke the SELECT privilege on EMPLOYEE from A4. This is because A3 granted that privilege to A4, but A3 does not have the privilege any more.

Next, suppose that A1 wants to give back to A3 a limited capability to SELECT from the EMPLOYEE relation and wants to allow A3 to be able to propagate the privilege. The limitation is to retrieve only the Name, Bdate, and Address attributes and only for the tuples with Dno = 5. A1 then can create the following view:

```
CREATE VIEW A3EMPLOYEE AS
    SELECT Name, Bdate, Address
    FROM EMPLOYEE
    WHERE Dno = 5;
```

After the view is created, A1 can grant SELECT on the view A3EMPLOYEE to A3 as follows:

```
GRANT SELECT ON A3EMPLOYEE TO A3 WITH GRANT OPTION;
```

Finally, suppose that A1 wants to allow A4 to update only the Salary attribute of EMPLOYEE; A1 can then issue the following command:

```
GRANT UPDATE ON EMPLOYEE (Salary) TO A4;
```

The UPDATE and INSERT privileges can specify particular attributes that may be updated or inserted in a relation. Other privileges (SELECT, DELETE) are not attribute specific, because this specificity can easily be controlled by creating the appropriate views that include only the desired attributes and granting the corresponding privileges on the views. However, because updating views is not always possible (see Chapter 8), the UPDATE and INSERT privileges are given the option to specify the particular attributes of a base relation that may be updated.

### 30.2.6 Specifying Limits on Propagation of Privileges

Techniques to limit the propagation of privileges have been developed, although they have not yet been implemented in most DBMSs and are not a part of SQL. Limiting horizontal propagation to an integer number \( i \) means that an account \( B \) given the GRANT OPTION can grant the privilege to at most \( i \) other accounts. Vertical propagation is more complicated; it limits the depth of the granting of privileges. Granting a privilege with a vertical propagation of zero is equivalent to granting the privilege with no GRANT OPTION. If account \( A \) grants a privilege to account \( B \) with the vertical propagation set to an integer number \( j > 0 \), this means that the account \( B \) has the GRANT OPTION on that privilege, but \( B \) can grant the privilege to other accounts only with a vertical propagation less than \( j \). In effect, vertical propagation limits the sequence of GRANT OPTIONS that can be given from one account to the next based on a single original grant of the privilege.

We briefly illustrate horizontal and vertical propagation limits—which are not available currently in SQL or other relational systems—with an example. Suppose