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## Topic Overview

- Overview of Serial Dynamic Programming
- Serial Monadic DP Formulations
- Nonserial Monadic DP Formulations
- Serial Polyadic DP Formulations
- Nonserial Polyadic DP Formulations


## Overview of Serial Dynamic Programming

- Dynamic programming (DP) is used to solve a wide variety of discrete optimization problems such as scheduling, stringediting, packaging, and inventory management.
- Break problems into subproblems and combine their solutions into solutions to larger problems.
- In contrast to divide-and-conquer, there may be relationships across subproblems.


## Dynamic Programming: Example

- Consider the problem of finding a shortest path between a pair of vertices in an acyclic graph.
- An edge connecting node $i$ to node $j$ has cost $c(i, j)$.
- The graph contains $n$ nodes numbered $0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and has an edge from node $i$ to node $j$ only if $i<j$. Node 0 is source and node $n-1$ is the destination.
- Let $f(x)$ be the cost of the shortest path from node 0 to node $x$.

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x=0 \\ \min _{0 \leq j<x}\{f(j)+c(j, x)\} & 1 \leq x \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

## Dynamic Programming: Example



A graph for which the shortest path between nodes 0 and 4 is to be computed.

$$
f(4)=\min \{f(3)+c(3,4), f(2)+c(2,4)\} .
$$

## Dynamic Programming

- The solution to a DP problem is typically expressed as a minimum (or maximum) of possible alternate solutions.
- If $r$ represents the cost of a solution composed of subproblems $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{l}$, then $r$ can be written as

$$
r=g\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{l}\right)\right) .
$$

Here, $g$ is the composition function.

- If the optimal solution to each problem is determined by composing optimal solutions to the subproblems and selecting the minimum (or maximum), the formulation is said to be a DP formulation.


## Dynamic Programming: Example

Composition of solutions into a term
$\square$ Minimization of terms
The computation and composition of subproblem solutions to solve problem $f\left(x_{8}\right)$.

## Dynamic Programming

- The recursive DP equation is also called the functional equation or optimization equation.
- In the equation for the shortest path problem the composition function is $f(j)+c(j, x)$. This contains a single recursive term $(f(j))$. Such a formulation is called monadic.
- If the RHS has multiple recursive terms, the DP formulation is called polyadic.


## Dynamic Programming

- The dependencies between subproblems can be expressed as a graph.
- If the graph can be levelized (i.e., solutions to problems at a level depend only on solutions to problems at the previous level), the formulation is called serial, else it is called non-serial.
- Based on these two criteria, we can classify DP formulations into four categories - serial-monadic, serial-polyadic, non-serial-monadic, non-serial-polyadic.
- This classification is useful since it identifies concurrency and dependencies that guide parallel formulations.


## Serial Monadic DP Formulations

- It is difficult to derive canonical parallel formulations for the entire class of formulations.
- For this reason, we select two representative examples, the shortest-path problem for a multistage graph and the 0/1 knapsack problem.
- We derive parallel formulations for these problems and identify common principles guiding design within the class.


## Shortest-Path Problem

- Special class of shortest path problem where the graph is a weighted multistage graph of $r+1$ levels.
- Each level is assumed to have $n$ levels and every node at level $i$ is connected to every node at level $i+1$.
- Levels zero and $r$ contain only one node, the source and destination nodes, respectively.
- The objective of this problem is to find the shortest path from $S$ to $R$.


## Shortest-Path Problem



An example of a serial monadic DP formulation for finding the shortest path in a graph whose nodes can be organized into levels.

## Shortest Path Problem

- The $i^{\text {th }}$ node at level $l$ in the graph is labeled $v_{i}^{l}$ and the cost of an edge connecting $v_{i}^{l}$ to node $v_{j}^{l+1}$ is labeled $c_{i, j}^{l}$.
- The cost of reaching the goal node $R$ from any node $v_{i}^{l}$ is represented by $C_{i}^{l}$.
- If there are $n$ nodes at level $l$, the vector $\left[C_{0}^{l}, C_{1}^{l}, \ldots, C_{n-1}^{l}\right]^{T}$ is referred to as $\mathcal{C}^{l}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{0}=\left[C_{0}^{0}\right]$.
- We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}^{l}=\min \left\{\left(c_{i, j}^{l}+C_{j}^{l+1}\right) \mid j \text { is a node at level } l+1\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Shortest Path Problem

- Since all nodes $v_{j}^{r-1}$ have only one edge connecting them to the goal node $R$ at level $r$, the $\operatorname{cost} C_{j}^{r-1}$ is equal to $c_{j, R}^{r-1}$.
- We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}^{r-1}=\left[c_{0, R}^{r-1}, c_{1, R}^{r-1}, \ldots, c_{n-1, R}^{r-1}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that this problem is serial and monadic.

## Shortest Path Problem

The cost of reaching the goal node $R$ from any node at level $l$ $(0<l<r-1)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}^{l} & =\min \left\{\left(c_{0,0}^{l}+C_{0}^{l+1}\right),\left(c_{0,1}^{l}+C_{1}^{l+1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{0, n-1}^{l}+C_{n-1}^{l+1}\right)\right\}, \\
C_{1}^{l} & =\min \left\{\left(c_{1,0}^{l}+C_{0}^{l+1}\right),\left(c_{1,1}^{l}+C_{1}^{l+1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{1, n-1}^{l}+C_{n-1}^{l+1}\right)\right\}, \\
& \vdots \\
C_{n-1}^{l} & =\min \left\{\left(c_{n-1,0}^{l}+C_{0}^{l+1}\right),\left(c_{n-1,1}^{l}+C_{1}^{l+1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n-1, n-1}^{l}+C_{n-1}^{l+1}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Shortest Path Problem

- We can express the solution to the problem as a modified sequence of matrix-vector products.
- Replacing the addition operation by minimization and the multiplication operation by addition, the preceding set of equations becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}^{l}=M_{l, l+1} \times \mathcal{C}^{l+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{l}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{l+1}$ are $n \times 1$ vectors representing the cost of reaching the goal node from each node at levels $l$ and $l+1$.

## Shortest Path Problem

- Matrix $M_{l, l+1}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix in which entry $(i, j)$ stores the cost of the edge connecting node $i$ at level $l$ to node $j$ at level $l+1$.

$$
M_{l, l+1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
c_{0,0}^{l} & c_{0,1}^{l} & \ldots & c_{0, n-1}^{l} \\
c_{1,0}^{l} & c_{1,1}^{l} & \ldots & c_{1, n-1}^{l} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
c_{n-1,0}^{l} & c_{n-1,1}^{l} & \ldots & c_{n-1, n-1}^{l}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- The shortest path problem has been formulated as a sequence of $r$ matrix-vector products.


## Parallel Shortest Path

- We can parallelize this algorithm using the parallel algorithms for the matrix-vector product.
- $\Theta(n)$ processing elements can compute each vector $\mathcal{C}^{l}$ in time $\Theta(n)$ and solve the entire problem in time $\Theta(r n)$.
- In many instances of this problem, the matrix $M$ may be sparse. For such problems, it is highly desirable to use sparse matrix techniques.


## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- We are given a knapsack of capacity $c$ and a set of $n$ objects numbered $1,2, \ldots, n$. Each object $i$ has weight $w_{i}$ and profit $p_{i}$.
- Let $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$ be a solution vector in which $v_{i}=0$ if object $i$ is not in the knapsack, and $v_{i}=1$ if it is in the knapsack.
- The goal is to find a subset of objects to put into the knapsack so that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} v_{i} \leq c
$$

(that is, the objects fit into the knapsack) and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} v_{i}
$$

is maximized (that is, the profit is maximized).

## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- The naive method is to consider all $2^{n}$ possible subsets of the $n$ objects and choose the one that fits into the knapsack and maximizes the profit.
- Let $F[i, x]$ be the maximum profit for a knapsack of capacity $x$ using only objects $\{1,2, \ldots, i\}$. The DP formulation is:

$$
F[i, x]= \begin{cases}0 & x \geq 0, i=0 \\ -\infty & x<0, i=0 \\ \max \left\{F[i-1, x],\left(F\left[i-1, x-w_{i}\right]+p_{i}\right)\right\} & 1 \leq i \leq n\end{cases}
$$

## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- Construct a table $F$ of size $n \times c$ in row-major order.
- Filling an entry in a row requires two entries from the previous row: one from the same column and one from the column offset by the weight of the object corresponding to the row.
- Computing each entry takes constant time; the sequential run time of this algorithm is $\Theta(n c)$.
- The formulation is serial-monadic.


## 0/1 Knapsack Problem



Computing entries of table $F$ for the $0 / 1$ knapsack problem. The computation of entry $F[i, j]$ requires communication with processing elements containing entries $F[i-1, j]$ and $F\left[i-1, j-w_{i}\right]$.

## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- Using $c$ processors in a PRAM, we can derive a simple parallel algorithm that runs in $O(n)$ time by partitioning the columns across processors.
- In a distributed memory machine, in the $j^{\text {th }}$ iteration, for computing $F[j, r]$ at processing element $P_{r-1}, F[j-1, r]$ is available locally but $F\left[j-1, r-w_{j}\right]$ must fetched.
- The communication operation is a circular shift and the time is given by $\left(t_{s}+t_{w}\right) \log c$. The total time is therefore $t_{c}+\left(t_{s}+t_{w}\right) \log c$.
- Across all $n$ iterations (rows), the parallel time is $O(n \log c)$. Note that this is not cost optimal.


## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- Using $p$-processing elements, each processing element computes $c / p$ elements of the table in each iteration.
- The corresponding shift operation takes time $\left(2 t_{s}+t_{w} c / p\right)$, since the data block may be partitioned across two processors, but the total volume of data is $c / p$.
- The corresponding parallel time is $n\left(t_{c} c / p+2 t_{s}+t_{w} c / p\right)$, or $O(n c / p)$ (which is cost-optimal).
- Note that there is an upper bound on the efficiency of this formulation.


## Nonserial Monadic DP Formulations: Longest-Common-Subsequence

- Given a sequence $A=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$, a subsequence of $A$ can be formed by deleting some entries from $A$.
- Given two sequences $A=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ and $B=$ $\left\langle b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\rangle$, find the longest sequence that is a subsequence of both $A$ and $B$.
- If $A=\langle c, a, d, b, r, z\rangle$ and $B=\langle a, s, b, z\rangle$, the longest common subsequence of $A$ and $B$ is $\langle a, b, z\rangle$.


## Longest-Common-Subsequence Problem

- Let $F[i, j]$ denote the length of the longest common subsequence of the first $i$ elements of $A$ and the first $j$ elements of $B$. The objective of the LCS problem is to find $F[n, m]$.
- We can write:

$$
F[i, j]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=0 \text { or } j=0 \\ F[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text { if } i, j>0 \text { and } x_{i}=y_{j} \\ \max \{F[i, j-1], F[i-1, j]\} & \text { if } i, j>0 \text { and } x_{i} \neq y_{j}\end{cases}
$$

## Longest-Common-Subsequence Problem

- The algorithm computes the two-dimensional $F$ table in a rowor column-major fashion. The complexity is $\Theta(n m)$.
- Treating nodes along a diagonal as belonging to one level, each node depends on two subproblems at the preceding level and one subproblem two levels prior.
- This DP formulation is nonserial monadic.


## Longest-Common-Subsequence Problem


(a)

(b)
(a) Computing entries of table $F$ for the longest-common-subsequence problem. Computation proceeds along the dotted diagonal lines. (b) Mapping elements of the table to processing elements.

## Longest-Common-Subsequence: Example

Consider the LCS of two amino-acid sequences H E A G A W G H E E and P A W H E A E. For the interested reader, the names of the corresponding amino-acids are A: Alanine, E: Glutamic acid, G: Glycine, H: Histidine, P: Proline, and W: Tryptophan.


The $F$ table for computing the LCS of the sequences. The LCS is A W H E E.

## Parallel Longest-Common-Subsequence

- Table entries are computed in a diagonal sweep from the topleft to the bottom-right corner.
- Using $n$ processors in a PRAM, each entry in a diagonal can be computed in constant time.
- For two sequences of length $n$, there are $2 n-1$ diagonals.
- The parallel run time is $\Theta(n)$ and the algorithm is cost-optimal.


## Parallel Longest-Common-Subsequence

- Consider a (logical) linear array of processors. Processing element $P_{i}$ is responsible for the $(i+1)$ th column of the table.
- To compute $F[i, j]$, processing element $P_{j-1}$ may need either $F[i-1, j-1]$ or $F[i, j-1]$ from the processing element to its left. This communication takes time $t_{s}+t_{w}$.
- The computation takes constant time $\left(t_{c}\right)$.
- We have:

$$
T_{P}=(2 n-1)\left(t_{s}+t_{w}+t_{c}\right) .
$$

- Note that this formulation is cost-optimal, however, its efficiency is upper-bounded by 0.5 !
- Can you think of how to fix this?


## Serial Polyadic DP Formulation: Floyd's All-Pairs Shortest Path

- Given weighted graph $G(V, E)$, Floyd's algorithm determines the cost $d_{i, j}$ of the shortest path between each pair of nodes in $V$.
- Let $d_{i, j}^{k}$ be the minimum cost of a path from node $i$ to node $j$, using only nodes $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$.
- We have:

$$
d_{i, j}^{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i, j} & k=0  \tag{4}\\
\min \left\{d_{i, j}^{k-1},\left(d_{i, k}^{k-1}+d_{k, j}^{k-1}\right)\right\} & 0 \leq k \leq n-1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

- Each iteration requires time $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ and the overall run time of the sequential algorithm is $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$.


## Serial Polyadic DP Formulation: Floyd's All-Pairs Shortest Path

- A PRAM formulation of this algorithm uses $n^{2}$ processors in a logical 2D mesh. Processor $P_{i, j}$ computes the value of $d_{i, j}^{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ in constant time.
- The parallel runtime is $\Theta(n)$ and it is cost-optimal.
- The algorithm can easily be adapted to practical architectures, as discussed in our treatment of Graph Algorithms.


## Nonserial Polyadic DP Formulation: Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- When multiplying a sequence of matrices, the order of multiplication significantly impacts operation count.
- Let $C[i, j]$ be the optimal cost of multiplying the matrices $A_{i}, \ldots, A_{j}$.
- The chain of matrices can be expressed as a product of two smaller chains, $A_{i}, A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ and $A_{k+1}, \ldots, A_{j}$.
- The chain $A_{i}, A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ results in a matrix of dimensions $r_{i-1} \times$ $r_{k}$, and the chain $A_{k+1}, \ldots, A_{j}$ results in a matrix of dimensions $r_{k} \times r_{j}$.
- The cost of multiplying these two matrices is $r_{i-1} r_{k} r_{j}$.


## Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- We have:

$$
C[i, j]= \begin{cases}\min _{i \leq k<j}\left\{C[i, k]+C[k+1, j]+r_{i-1} r_{k} r_{j}\right\} & 1 \leq i<j \leq n  \tag{5}\\ 0 & j=i, 0<i \leq n\end{cases}
$$

## Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem



A nonserial polyadic DP formulation for finding an optimal matrix parenthesization for a chain of four matrices. A square node represents the optimal cost of multiplying a matrix chain. A circle node represents a possible parenthesization.

## Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- The goal of finding $C[1, n]$ is accomplished in a bottom-up fashion.
- Visualize this by thinking of filling in the $C$ table diagonally. Entries in diagonal $l$ corresponds to the cost of multiplying matrix chains of length $l+1$.
- The value of $C[i, j]$ is computed as $\min \{C[i, k]+C[k+1, j]+$ $\left.r_{i-1} r_{k} r_{j}\right\}$, where $k$ can take values from $i$ †o $j-1$.
- Computing $C[i, j]$ requires that we evaluate $(j-i)$ terms and select their minimum.
- The computation of each term takes time $t_{c}$, and the computation of $C[i, j]$ takes time $(j-i) t_{c}$. Each entry in diagonal $l$ can be computed in time $l t_{c}$.


## Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- The algorithm computes $(n-1)$ chains of length two. This takes time $(n-1) t_{c}$; computing $(n-2)$ chains of length three takes time $(n-2) 2 t_{c}$. In the final step, the algorithm computes one chain of length $n$ in time $(n-1) t_{c}$.
- It follows that the serial time is $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$.


## Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem



The diagonal order of computation for the optimal matrix-parenthesization problem.

## Parallel Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- Consider a logical ring of processors. In step $l$, each processor computes a single element belonging to the $l^{t h}$ diagonal.
- On computing the assigned value of the element in table $C$, each processor sends its value to all other processors using an all-to-all broadcast.
- The next value can then be computed locally.
- The total time required to compute the entries along diagonal $l$ is $l t_{c}+t_{s} \log n+t_{w}(n-1)$.
- The corresponding parallel time is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{P} & =\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left(l t_{c}+t_{s} \log n+t_{w}(n-1)\right) \\
& =\frac{(n-1)(n)}{2} t_{c}+t_{s}(n-1) \log n+t_{w}(n-1)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parallel Optimal Matrix-Parenthesization Problem

- When using $p(<n)$ processors, each processor stores $n / p$ nodes.
- The time taken for all-to-all broadcast of $n / p$ words is $t_{s} \log p+$ $t_{w} n(p-1) / p \approx t_{s} \log p+t_{w} n$ and the time to compute $n / p$ entries of the table in the $l^{\text {th }}$ diagonal is $l t_{c} n / p$.
- The parallel run time is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{P} & =\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left(l t_{c} n / p+t_{s} \log p+t_{w} n\right) \\
& =\frac{n^{2}(n-1)}{2 p} t_{c}+t_{s}(n-1) \log p+t_{w} n(n-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $T_{P}=\Theta\left(n^{3} / p\right)+\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- This formulation can be improved to use up to $n(n+1) / 2$ processors using pipelining.


## Discussion of Parallel Dynamic Programming Algorithms

- By representing computation as a graph, we identify three sources of parallelism: parallelism within nodes, parallelism across nodes at a level, and pipelining nodes across multiple levels. The first two are available in serial formulations and the third one in non-serial formulations.
- Data locality is critical for performance. Different DP formulations, by the very nature of the problem instance, have different degrees of locality.

