





People have always wanted to encrypt communication such that only intended recipients have access to the content.

The shift cypher substitutes a character with the character with the character located k positions over in the alphabet.



Here a letter of the plain text message P was replaced with the letter 11 positions after the letter in the alphabet. Once the end of the alphabet is reached, one proceeds circularly and starts at A again.



Breaking such a code is trivial since all you need to guess is a number between 0 and 26 (i.e. the number of letters in the alphabet) and one can proceed by trial and error.



A more powerful cypher is to define a key as a permutation of the letters of the alphabet. Each letter is replaced with the corresponding letter as defined by the permutation.



There are 26! permutations of the alphabet, so a brute force approach of trying all permutations doesn't work.



However, one can break substitution cyphers based on the known frequency of letters in text in a given language. A letter is always substituted by the same letter, thus the frequency is preserved in the cypher text.



Based on this graph, one should replace the most frequent letter in the cypher text with E, second most frequent with T and so on.



There are additional properties of the English language that come in handy when trying to break a substitution cypher.

## Substitution Ciphers: Cryptanalysis

- The number of different ciphertext characters or combinations are counted to determine the frequency of usage.
- The cipher text is examined for patterns, repeated series, and common combinations.
- Replace ciphertext characters with possible plaintext equivalents using known language characteristics.







Marginal improvement, substitution cyphers just aren't safe.



So far we have talked about shift cyphers and substitution cyphers.







The key "luck" is repeated as many times needed to cover the entire plaintext P. This way a letter is replaced by different letters, which doesn't preserve letter frequency.













Here the key length m is 4. There are three appearances of the substring "the" in the plaintext. Two of them map to the same part of the key and are thus transformed to the same substring "buk". The Kasisky test finds the two substrings, the distance between them is 8, and thus the length of the key is 8 or 4 (2 is too short).







Modern cryptography is based on the difficulty of factoring numbers n obtained by multiplying two large primes p and q, i.e. n = pq.