

# 11. Equality constrained minimization

- equality constrained minimization
- eliminating equality constraints
- Newton's method with equality constraints
- infeasible start Newton method
- implementation

# Equality constrained minimization

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \end{array}$$

- $f$  convex, twice continuously differentiable
- $A \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$  with  $\text{rank } A = p < n$  (fewer constraints than unknowns)
- we assume  $p^*$  is finite and attained

**optimality conditions:**  $x^*$  is optimal iff there exists a  $\nu^*$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \nabla f(x^*) + A^T \nu^* = 0, & Ax^* = b \\ \text{(stationarity)} & \text{(primal feasibility)} \end{array}$$

# equality constrained quadratic minimization (with $P \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x + r \\ &\text{subject to} && A x = b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(x,v) &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P x + q^T x + r + v^T (Ax - b) \\ 0 = dL/dx &= P x + q + A^T v \end{aligned}$$

optimality condition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ v^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -q \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

equivalent to:  
 $P x^* + A^T v^* + q = 0$   
 $A x^* = b$

- coefficient matrix is called KKT matrix, if non-singular  $\Rightarrow$  unique primal-dual pair  $(x^*, v^*)$   
**Recall that a matrix Q is nonsingular iff  $y = 0$  is the only solution of  $Qy = 0$**
- KKT matrix is nonsingular if and only if

$$Ax = 0, \quad x \neq 0 \quad \implies \quad x^T P x > 0$$

Assume  $Ax=0, x \neq 0, Px=0$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} P & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and thus, the KKT matrix is singular

Assume KKT is singular, there exists  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n, z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} P & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

thus,  $Ax=0$  and  $Px+A^T z=0 \Rightarrow 0 = x^T(Px+A^T z) = x^T Px + (Ax)^T z = x^T Px \Rightarrow Px = 0$  (which contradicts  $P$  pos.semidef. unless  $x=0$ )  
 Then we must have  $z \neq 0$ , but then  $0 = Px+A^T z = A^T z$  (which contradicts  $\text{rank } A = p$ )

# Newton step

Newton step  $\Delta x_{nt}$  of  $f$  at feasible  $x$  is given by solution  $v$  of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla f(x) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

equivalent to:  
 $d^2 f(x) v + A^T w + df(x) = 0$   
 $A v = 0$

## interpretations

- $\Delta x_{nt}$  solves second order approximation (with variable  $v$ ) **assume  $x$  is feasible:  $Ax=b$   
we want  $Av=0$**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \hat{f}(x+v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T v + (1/2)v^T \nabla^2 f(x)v \\ \text{subject to} & A(x+v) = b \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} L(v,w) = df(x)'v + \frac{1}{2} v^T d^2 f(x) v + w^T (Av) \\ 0 = dL/dv = df(x) + d^2 f(x) v + A^T w \end{array}$$

- $\Delta x_{nt}$  equations follow from linearizing optimality conditions

$$\nabla f(x+v) + A^T w \approx \nabla f(x) + \nabla^2 f(x)v + A^T w = 0, \quad A(x+v) = b$$

# Newton decrement

$$\lambda(x) = (\Delta x_{nt}^T \nabla^2 f(x) \Delta x_{nt})^{1/2} = (-\nabla f(x)^T \Delta x_{nt})^{1/2}$$

## properties

$$p^* = \inf_{Ay=b} f(y)$$

- gives an estimate of  $f(x) - p^*$  using quadratic approximation  $\hat{f}$ :

$$f(x) - \inf_{Ay=b} \hat{f}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda(x)^2$$

Let  $H = d^2 f(x)$

$d = df(x)$

$\lambda = \lambda(x)$

$\Delta x = \Delta x_{nt} = v$  in previous slide

$[H \ A'] [\Delta x] = [-d]$

$[A \ 0] [w] \quad [0]$

then:  $A \Delta x = 0$

$f^{\wedge}(x+\Delta x) = f(x) + d' \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x$

$L(\Delta x, w) = d' \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x + w (A \Delta x)$

$0 = dL/d\Delta x = d + H \Delta x + A'w$

Then  $d = -H \Delta x - A'w$

$H \Delta x = -d - A'w$

Let  $y = x + \Delta x$

$\inf_{Ay=b} f^{\wedge}(y) = f^{\wedge}(x + \Delta x)$

$= f(x) + d' \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x$

$= f(x) - \Delta x' H \Delta x - w' A \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x$  ... since  $d = -H \Delta x - A'w$

$= f(x) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x$

... since  $A \Delta x = 0$

$f(x) - \inf_y f^{\wedge}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2$

Thus  $\lambda = \text{sqrt}(\Delta x' H \Delta x)$

Similarly:

$\inf_{Ay=b} f^{\wedge}(y) = f^{\wedge}(x + \Delta x)$

$= f(x) + d' \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x' H \Delta x$

$= f(x) + d' \Delta x - \frac{1}{2} d' \Delta x - \frac{1}{2} w' A \Delta x$  ... since  $H \Delta x = -d - A'w$

$= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} d' \Delta x$

... since  $A \Delta x = 0$

$f(x) - \inf_y f^{\wedge}(y) = -\frac{1}{2} d' \Delta x = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2$

Thus  $\lambda = \text{sqrt}(-d' \Delta x)$

# Newton's method with equality constraints

**given** starting point  $x \in \text{dom } f$  with  $Ax = b$ , tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**repeat**

1. Compute the Newton step and decrement  $\Delta x_{\text{nt}}, \lambda(x)$ .
2. *Stopping criterion.* **quit** if  $\lambda^2/2 \leq \epsilon$ .
3. *Line search.* Choose step size  $t$  by backtracking line search.
4. *Update.*  $x := x + t\Delta x_{\text{nt}}$ .

$A \Delta x = 0$ , then  $A t \Delta x = 0$  for any  $t > 0$

if  $df(x) \neq 0$   
 $df(x)' \Delta x = -\lambda(x)^2 < 0$  (see slide 10-5)

• a feasible descent method:  $x^{(k)}$  feasible and  $f(x^{(k+1)}) < f(x^{(k)})$

• affine invariant  $\min_{y} f(y) = f(T y)$  s.t.  $A T y = b$  then  $\Delta y = T^{-1} \Delta x$ ,  $y^{(k)} = y + \Delta y = T^{-1} (x + \Delta x) = T^{-1} x^{(k)}$

$$x = T y, \quad \text{let } Hf(y) = d^2 f(y)$$

$$H = Hf(x), \quad d = df(x)$$

$$df(y) = T' df(T y) = T' d$$

$$Hf(y) = T' Hf(T y) \quad T = T' H T$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Hf(y) & T'A' \\ A T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -df(y) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} T' H T & T'A' \\ A T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -T' d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Also } \begin{bmatrix} H & A' \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Thus } \Delta x = T \Delta y$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T' H T \Delta y + T'A' w = -T' d \\ A T \Delta y = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H \Delta x + A' w = -d \\ A \Delta x = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

( $T$  nonsingular)

$$\begin{bmatrix} H T \Delta y + A' w = -d \\ A T \Delta y = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Good if you dont want to find a feasible point to start the Newton method)

## Newton step at infeasible points

2nd interpretation of page 11-6 extends to infeasible  $x$  (i.e.,  $Ax \neq b$ )

linearizing optimality conditions at infeasible  $x$  (with  $x \in \text{dom } f$ ) gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{\text{nt}} \\ w \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Although  $Ax \neq b$ , we want  $A(x+\Delta x) = b$ , thus  $A \Delta x = -(Ax-b)$

### primal-dual interpretation

- write optimality condition as  $r(y) = 0$ , where

$\min f(x)$   
 $\text{s.t. } Ax=b$

$L(x,v) = f(x) + v(Ax-b)$   
 $dL/dx = df(x) + A^T v = 0$

$$y = (x, \nu), \quad r(y) = (\nabla f(x) + A^T \nu, Ax - b)$$

- linearizing  $r(y) = 0$  gives  $r(y + \Delta y) \approx r(y) + Dr(y)\Delta y = 0$ : (1st order Taylor)

Since  $Dr(y) \Delta y = -r(y)$  we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{\text{nt}} \\ \Delta \nu_{\text{nt}} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) + A^T \nu \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix}$$

same as (1) with  $w = \nu + \Delta \nu_{\text{nt}}$

$y=(x,\nu) \Rightarrow \Delta y=(\Delta x,\Delta \nu)$

$$r(y)_1 = df(x)+A^T v$$

$$r(y)_2 = Ax-b$$

$$Dr(y)_{\{11\}} = d(r(y)_1)/dx = d( df(x)+A^T v )/dx = d^2 f(x)$$

$$Dr(y)_{\{12\}} = d(r(y)_1)/dv = d( df(x)+A^T v )/dv = A^T$$

$$Dr(y)_{\{21\}} = d(r(y)_2)/dx = d( Ax-b )/dx = A$$

$$Dr(y)_{\{22\}} = d(r(y)_2)/dv = d( Ax-b )/dv = 0$$

# Infeasible start Newton method

Since we want  $r(y) = 0$ , it is natural to try to decrease the norm of  $r(y)$

---

**given** starting point  $x \in \text{dom } f$ ,  $\nu$ , tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

**repeat**

1. Compute primal and dual Newton steps  $\Delta x_{\text{nt}}$ ,  $\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}}$ .

2. *Backtracking line search* on  $\|r\|_2$ .

$t := 1$ .

**while**  $\|r(x + t\Delta x_{\text{nt}}, \nu + t\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}})\|_2 > (1 - \alpha t)\|r(x, \nu)\|_2$ ,  $t := \beta t$ .

3. *Update*.  $x := x + t\Delta x_{\text{nt}}$ ,  $\nu := \nu + t\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}}$ .

**until**  $Ax = b$  and  $\|r(x, \nu)\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

---

- not a descent method:  $f(x^{(k+1)}) > f(x^{(k)})$  is possible
- directional derivative of  $\|r(y)\|_2$  in direction  $\Delta y = (\Delta x_{\text{nt}}, \Delta \nu_{\text{nt}})$  is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \|r(y + t\Delta y)\|_2 \right|_{t=0} = -\|r(y)\|_2$$

Thus, the norm of  $r$  decreases in the Newton direction



# Solving KKT systems

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix}$$

## solution methods

- LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization
- elimination (if  $H$  nonsingular)

$$\begin{aligned} H v + A^T w &= -g & \Rightarrow & v = -H^{-1} (g + A^T w) \\ A v &= -h & \Rightarrow & -A H^{-1} g - A H^{-1} A^T w = -h \\ & & & w = (A H^{-1} A^T)^{-1} (h - A H^{-1} g) \end{aligned}$$

$$A H^{-1} A^T w = h - A H^{-1} g, \quad H v = -(g + A^T w)$$

- elimination with singular  $H$ : write as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Originally:} \quad & H v + A^T w = -g, \quad A v = -h \\ \text{Now:} \quad & (H + A^T Q A) v + A^T w = -g - A^T Q h, \quad A v = -h \\ \text{Equivalent if:} \quad & A^T Q A v = -A^T Q h \quad \dots \text{true since } A v = -h \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H + A^T Q A & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g + A^T Q h \\ h \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $Q \succeq 0$  for which  $H + A^T Q A \succ 0$ , and apply elimination

Recall:  $Ax=0, x \neq 0 \Rightarrow x^T P x > 0$

Therefore  $x^T (P + A^T Q A) x = x^T P x + |Q^{1/2} Ax|_2^2 > 0$