

The Hardy-Littlewood Function

An Exercise in Slowly Convergent Series

Walter Gautschi

wxg@cs.purdue.edu

Purdue University

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration
- A quadrature problem and its solution

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration
- A quadrature problem and its solution
- Gauss quadrature rules

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration
- A quadrature problem and its solution
- Gauss quadrature rules
- Orthogonal polynomials: 3-term recurrence

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration
- A quadrature problem and its solution
- Gauss quadrature rules
- Orthogonal polynomials: 3-term recurrence
- Quadrature approximation of the HL-function

OUTLINE

- The Hardy-Littlewood (HL) function
- Summation by integration
- A quadrature problem and its solution
- Gauss quadrature rules
- Orthogonal polynomials: 3-term recurrence
- Quadrature approximation of the HL-function
- Direct summation with acceleration

The function of Hardy and Littlewood

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k}, \quad x > 0$$

background

summation procedure of Lambert Hardy and Littlewood (1936): there exist infinitely many x with $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$H(x) > C(\log \log x)^{1/2}$$

The function of Hardy and Littlewood

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k}, \quad x > 0$$

background

summation procedure of Lambert Hardy and Littlewood (1936): there exist infinitely many x with $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$H(x) > C(\log \log x)^{1/2}$$

complete monotonicity C. Berg and H. Alzer (work in progress): complete monotonicity for all m of $-[x^m \psi^{(m)}(x)]^{(m)}$ is equivalent to $H(x) \geq -\frac{\pi}{2}$
Ismail and Clark (2003): true if $m = 2, 3, \dots, 16$

Summation by integration (G. and Milovanović, 1985)

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k, \quad a_k = (\mathcal{L}f)(k)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt$$

Summation by integration (G. and Milovanović, 1985)

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k, \quad a_k = (\mathcal{L}f)(k)$$

where


$$(\mathcal{L}f)(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt$$

summation procedure

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{L}f)(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-kt} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-(k-1)t} \cdot e^{-t} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \cdot e^{-t} f(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{f(t)}{t} e^{-t} dt \end{aligned}$$

poles at $\pm 2\mu i\pi$, $\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Progress report #1



The HL-function for $0 \leq x \leq 100$

A quadrature problem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} g(\tau_{\nu}) + R_n(g)$$

determine $\lambda_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu}$ such that $R_n(g) = 0$ if $g \in \mathbb{S}_{2n}$,
where

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n} = \mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{P}_{2n-m-1}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq 2n$$

\mathbb{P}_{2n-m-1} = polynomials of degree $\leq 2n - m - 1$

\mathbb{Q}_m = rational functions with prescribed poles

A quadrature problem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} g(\tau_{\nu}) + R_n(g)$$

determine $\lambda_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu}$ such that $R_n(g) = 0$ if $g \in \mathbb{S}_{2n}$,
where

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n} = \mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{P}_{2n-m-1}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq 2n$$

\mathbb{P}_{2n-m-1} = polynomials of degree $\leq 2n - m - 1$

\mathbb{Q}_m = rational functions with prescribed poles

specifically:

$$\mathbb{Q}_m = \text{span} \left\{ r(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_{\mu} t}, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

$$\zeta_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta_{\mu} \neq 0, \quad 1 + \zeta_{\mu} t \neq 0 \text{ on } \text{supp}(d\lambda)$$

Theorem (G., 2000; Vanherwegen et al., 2000)

Let $\omega_m(t) = \prod_{\mu=1}^m (1 + \zeta_\mu t)$. Assume the existence of a (polynomial) Gauss formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) \frac{d\lambda(t)}{\omega_m(t)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu^G g(\tau_\nu^G), \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}.$$

Then

$$\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^G, \quad \lambda_\nu = \omega_m(\tau_\nu^G) \lambda_\nu^G, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

yields the desired formula.

Theorem (G., 2000; Vanherwegen et al., 2000)

Let $\omega_m(t) = \prod_{\mu=1}^m (1 + \zeta_\mu t)$. Assume the existence of a (polynomial) Gauss formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) \frac{d\lambda(t)}{\omega_m(t)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu^G g(\tau_\nu^G), \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}.$$

Then


$$\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^G, \quad \lambda_\nu = \omega_m(\tau_\nu^G) \lambda_\nu^G, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

yields the desired formula.

Example m even, $\zeta_\mu = \pm \frac{i}{2\mu\pi}$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m/2$

$$\omega_m(t) = \prod_{\mu=1}^{m/2} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{4\mu^2\pi^2} \right) > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}$$

Progress report #2



The HL-function for $900 \leq x \leq 1000$

Construction of Gauss quadrature rules

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_{\nu} g(\tau_{\nu}), \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$$

Construction of Gauss quadrature rules

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_{\nu} g(\tau_{\nu}), \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$$

orthogonal polynomials

$$\pi_k(t) = \pi_k(t; d\sigma) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_k(t) \pi_{\ell}(t) d\sigma(t) = 0 \text{ if } k \neq \ell$$
$$\tau_{\nu} = \text{zeros of } \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$$

Construction of Gauss quadrature rules

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_{\nu} g(\tau_{\nu}), \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$$

orthogonal polynomials

$$\pi_k(t) = \pi_k(t; d\sigma) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_k(t) \pi_{\ell}(t) d\sigma(t) = 0 \text{ if } k \neq \ell$$
$$\tau_{\nu} = \text{zeros of } \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$$

three-term recurrence relation

$$\pi_{k+1}(t) = (t - \alpha_k) \pi_k(t) - \beta_k \pi_{k-1}(t),$$
$$\pi_{-1}(t) = 0, \quad \pi_0(t) = 1$$

where $\alpha_k = \alpha_k(d\sigma)$, $\beta_k = \beta_k(d\sigma)$, and by convention
 $\beta_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\sigma(t)$

Jacobi matrix

$$\mathbf{J}_n(d\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & \sqrt{\beta_1} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \sqrt{\beta_1} & \alpha_1 & \sqrt{\beta_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \sqrt{\beta_{n-1}} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \sqrt{\beta_{n-1}} & \alpha_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobi matrix

$$\mathbf{J}_n(d\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & \sqrt{\beta_1} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \sqrt{\beta_1} & \alpha_1 & \sqrt{\beta_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \sqrt{\beta_{n-1}} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \sqrt{\beta_{n-1}} & \alpha_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$


Theorem (Golub & Welsch, 1969) *The Gauss nodes τ_ν are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{J}_n ,*

$$\mathbf{J}_n(d\sigma)\mathbf{v}_\nu = \tau_\nu\mathbf{v}_\nu, \quad \mathbf{v}_\nu^T\mathbf{v}_\nu = 1,$$

and the Gauss weights σ_ν given by

$$\sigma_\nu = \beta_0\mathbf{v}_{\nu,1}^2, \quad \mathbf{v}_\nu = [\mathbf{v}_{\nu,1}, \dots]^T.$$

Progress report #3



The HL-function for $9,900 \leq x \leq 10,000$

Computation of recurrence coefficients

discretization method

approximation of $d\sigma$ by a discrete N -point measure $d\sigma_N$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) d\sigma(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^N w_k p(t_k) =: \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) d\sigma_N(t)$$

then

$$\alpha_k(d\sigma) \approx \alpha_k(d\sigma_N), \quad \beta_k(d\sigma) \approx \beta_k(d\sigma_N)$$

(discrete) inner product

$$(u, v)_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)v(t) d\sigma_N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N w_k u(t_k)v(t_k)$$

Darboux's formulae

$$(I) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_k = \frac{(t\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}, & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \beta_0 = (\pi_0, \pi_0)_N, \\ \beta_k = \frac{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_{k-1}, \pi_{k-1})_N}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$

Darboux's formulae

$$(I) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_k = \frac{(t\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}, & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \beta_0 = (\pi_0, \pi_0)_N, \\ \beta_k = \frac{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_{k-1}, \pi_{k-1})_N}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$

recurrence relation

$$(II) \quad \pi_{k+1}(t) = (t - \alpha_k)\pi_k(t) - \beta_k\pi_{k-1}(t)$$

Darboux's formulae

$$(I) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_k = \frac{(t\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}, & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \beta_0 = (\pi_0, \pi_0)_N, \\ \beta_k = \frac{(\pi_k, \pi_k)_N}{(\pi_{k-1}, \pi_{k-1})_N}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$


recurrence relation

$$(II) \quad \pi_{k+1}(t) = (t - \alpha_k)\pi_k(t) - \beta_k\pi_{k-1}(t)$$

Stieltjes's procedure

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 = 1 &\xrightarrow{(I)} \alpha_0, \beta_0 \xrightarrow{(II)} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{(I)} \alpha_1, \beta_1 \xrightarrow{(II)} \dots \\ &\xrightarrow{(I)} \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Progress report #4



The HL-function for $99,900 \leq x \leq 100,000$

Back to Hardy-Littlewood

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x), \quad a_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k}$$

general term as a Laplace transform

$$\frac{1}{s} e^{x/s} = (\mathcal{L}_{(t)} I_0(2\sqrt{xt})) (s), \quad I_0 = \text{modified Bessel}$$

$$\frac{1}{s} \sin \frac{x}{s} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{2i} (e^{ix/s} - e^{-ix/s})$$

$$\implies a_k(x) = (\mathcal{L}f)(k),$$

$$f(t) = f(t; x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} [I_0(2\sqrt{ixt}) - I_0(2\sqrt{-ixt})]$$

The function f
power series

$$f(t; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{u^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!^2}, \quad u = xt$$
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t; x)}{t} = x$$

The function f

power series

$$f(t; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{u^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!^2}, \quad u = xt$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t; x)}{t} = x$$

integral representation

$$f(t; x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{\sqrt{2u} \cos \theta} \sin(\sqrt{2u} \cos \theta) d\theta$$

composite trapezoidal rule

HL-function (cont')

$$H(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{f(t;x)}{t} e^{-t} dt$$

quadrature approximation

$$H(x) \approx \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\tau_{\nu}}{1-e^{-\tau_{\nu}}} \frac{f(\tau_{\nu};x)}{\tau_{\nu}}$$

HL-function (cont')

$$H(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{f(t;x)}{t} e^{-t} dt$$

quadrature approximation

$$H(x) \approx \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\tau_{\nu}}{1-e^{-\tau_{\nu}}} \frac{f(\tau_{\nu};x)}{\tau_{\nu}}$$

polynomial/rational Gauss with

$$Q_m : \quad m = 2 \lfloor (n + 1)/2 \rfloor$$

HL-function (cont')

$$H(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{f(t;x)}{t} e^{-t} dt$$

quadrature approximation

$$H(x) \approx \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\tau_{\nu}}{1-e^{-\tau_{\nu}}} \frac{f(\tau_{\nu};x)}{\tau_{\nu}}$$


polynomial/rational Gauss with

$$Q_m : \quad m = 2 \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$$

performance with error tolerance $\frac{1}{2}10^{-6}$

x	10	25	50	75	100
n	20	35	55	75	95
# of digits lost	1	4	10	15	20

Progress report #5 (smoking gun?)



The HL-function for $999,900 \leq x \leq 1,000,000$

Direct summation with acceleration

Assume $x \gg 1$ and let $n = \lfloor x \rfloor$

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sin \frac{x}{k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\sin \frac{x}{k} - \frac{x}{k} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{x}{k} \right)^3 \right) \\ &\quad + x \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \right) - \frac{x^3}{6} \left(\frac{\pi^4}{90} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Euler-Maclaurin summation

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \simeq \frac{B_0}{n+1} - \frac{B_1}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{B_2}{(n+1)^3} \\ + \frac{B_4}{(n+1)^5} + \dots + \frac{B_{10}}{(n+1)^{11}}$$


$$\frac{\pi^4}{90} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^4} \simeq \frac{B_0}{3(n+1)^3} - \frac{B_1}{(n+1)^4} + \frac{2B_2}{(n+1)^5} + \frac{5B_4}{(n+1)^7} \\ + \frac{28B_6}{3(n+1)^9} + \frac{3B_8}{(n+1)^{11}} + \frac{22B_{10}}{(n+1)^{13}}$$

where

$$B_0 = 1, \quad B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \dots, \quad B_{10} = \frac{5}{66}$$


are the Bernoulli numbers

Progress report #6



The HL-function for $9,999,900 \leq x \leq 10,000,000$

Progress report #7



The HL-function for $99,999,900 \leq x \leq 100,000,000$

Kommt Zeit, kommt Rat . . .