## Scanner



- maps characters into tokens - the basic unit of syntax
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$;
becomes
$<i d, \mathrm{x}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{x}\rangle+\langle\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{y}\rangle$;
- character string value for a token is a lexeme
- typical tokens: number, id, +, -, *, /, do, end
- eliminates white space (tabs, blanks, comments)
- a key issue is speed
$\Rightarrow$ use specialized recognizer (as opposed to lex)
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## Specifying patterns

A scanner must recognize the units of syntax Some parts are easy:
white space

keywords and operators
specified as literal patterns: do, end
comments
opening and closing delimiters: /* ... */

## Specifying patterns

A scanner must recognize the units of syntax Other parts are much harder:
identifiers alphabetic followed by $k$ alphanumerics (., \$, \&, ...)
numbers
integers: 0 or digit from 1-9 followed by digits from 0-9
decimals: integer '. ' digits from 0-9
reals: (integer or decimal) ' $E$ ' (+ or -) digits from 0-9 complex: '(' real ', ' real ')'

We need a powerful notation to specify these patterns

## Operations on languages

| Operation | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| union of $L$ and $M$ <br> written $L \cup M$ | $L \cup M=\{s \mid s \in L$ or $s \in M\}$ |
| concatenation of $L$ and $M$ <br> written $L M$ | $L M=\{s t \mid s \in L$ and $t \in M\}$ |
| Kleene closure of $L$ <br> written $L^{*}$ | $L^{*}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^{i}$ |
| positive closure of $L$ <br> written $L^{+}$ | $L^{+}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{i}$ |

## Regular expressions

Patterns are often specified as regular languages
Notations used to describe a regular language (or a regular set) include both regular expressions and regular grammars

Regular expressions (over an alphabet $\Sigma$ ):

1. $\varepsilon$ is a RE denoting the set $\{\varepsilon\}$
2. if $a \in \Sigma$, then $a$ is a RE denoting $\{a\}$
3. if $r$ and $s$ are REs, denoting $L(r)$ and $L(s)$, then:
$(r)$ is a RE denoting $L(r)$
$(r) \mid(s)$ is a RE denoting $L(r) \cup L(s)$
$(r)(s)$ is a RE denoting $L(r) L(s)$
$(r)^{*}$ is a RE denoting $L(r)^{*}$
If we adopt a precedence for operators, the extra parentheses can go away. We assume closure, then concatenation, then alternation as the order of precedence.

## Examples

identifier

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { letter } \rightarrow(a|b| c|\ldots| z|A| B|C| \ldots \mid Z) \\
& \text { digit } \rightarrow(0|1| 2|3| 4|5| 6|7| 8 \mid 9) \\
& \text { id } \rightarrow \text { letter ( letter } \mid \text { digit })^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

numbers
integer $\rightarrow(+|-| \varepsilon)\left(0 \mid(1|2| 3|\ldots| 9)\right.$ digit $\left.^{*}\right)$
decimal $\rightarrow$ integer . ( digit )*
real $\rightarrow($ integer $\mid$ decimal $) \mathrm{E}(+\mid-)$ digit $^{*}$
complex $\rightarrow$ ' (' real, real ')'

Numbers can get much more complicated

Most programming language tokens can be described with REs
We can use REs to build scanners automatically

## Algebraic properties of REs

| Axiom | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $r\|s=s\| r$ | $\mid$ is commutative |
| $r\|(s \mid t)=(r \mid s)\| t$ | $\mid$ is associative |
| $(r s) t=r(s t)$ | concatenation is associative |
| $r(s \mid t)=r s \mid r t$ | concatenation distributes over $\mid$ |
| $(s \mid t) r=s r \mid t r$ |  |
| $\varepsilon r=r$ | $\varepsilon$ is the identity for concatenation |
| $r \varepsilon=r$ |  |
| $r^{*}=(r \mid \varepsilon)^{*}$ | relation between * and $\varepsilon$ |
| $r^{* *}=r^{*}$ | * is idempotent |

## Examples

Let $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$

1. $a \mid b$ denotes $\{a, b\}$
2. $(a \mid b)(a \mid b)$ denotes $\{a a, a b, b a, b b\}$
i.e., $(a \mid b)(a \mid b)=a a|a b| b a \mid b b$
3. $a^{*}$ denotes $\{\varepsilon, a, a a, a a a, \ldots\}$
4. $(a \mid b)^{*}$ denotes the set of all strings of $a$ 's and $b$ 's (including $\varepsilon$ )
i.e., $(a \mid b)^{*}=\left(a^{*} b^{*}\right)^{*}$
5. $a \mid a^{*} b$ denotes $\{a, b, a b, a a b, a a a b, a a a a b, \ldots\}$

## Recognizers

From a regular expression we can construct a deterministic finite automaton (DFA)
Recognizer for identifier:

identifier

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { letter } \rightarrow(a|b| c|\ldots| z|A| B|C| \ldots \mid Z) \\
& \text { digit } \rightarrow(0|1| 2|3| 4|5| 6|7| 8 \mid 9) \\
& \text { id } \rightarrow \text { letter ( letter } \mid \text { digit })^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Code for the recognizer

```
char \leftarrow next_char();
state }\leftarrow0; /* code for state 0 */
done }\leftarrow\mathrm{ false;
token_value \leftarrow "" /* empty string */
while( not done ) {
    class \leftarrow char_class[char];
    state }\leftarrow\mathrm{ next_state[class,state];
    switch(state) {
        case 1: /* building an id */
            token_value \leftarrow token_value + char;
            char \leftarrow next_char();
            break;
            case 2: /* accept state */
                token_type = identifier;
                done = true;
                break;
            case 3: /* error */
            token type = error;
            done = true;
            break;
    }
}
return token_type;
```


## Tables for the recognizer

Two tables control the recognizer

char_class: |  | $a-z$ | $A-Z$ | $0-9$ | other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| value | letter | letter | digit | other |

next_state: | class | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| letter | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| digit | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| other | 3 | 2 | - | - |

To change languages, we can just change tables

## Automatic construction

Scanner generators automatically construct code from RE-like descriptions

- construct a DFA
- use state minimization techniques
- emit code for the scanner (table driven or direct code )

A key issue in automation is an interface to the parser
lex is a scanner generator supplied with UNIX

- emits C code for scanner
- provides macro definitions for each token (used in the parser)


## Grammars for regular languages

Can we place a restriction on the form of a grammar to ensure that it describes a regular language?

Provable fact:

For any RE $r, \exists$ a grammar $g$ such that $L(r)=L(g)$
Grammars that generate regular sets are called regular grammars:

They have productions in one of 2 forms:

1. $A \rightarrow a A$
2. $A \rightarrow a$
where $A$ is any non-terminal and $a$ is any terminal symbol

These are also called type 3 grammars (Chomsky)

## More regular languages

Example: the set of strings containing an even number of zeros and an even number of ones


The RE is $(00 \mid 11)^{*}\left((01 \mid 10)(00 \mid 11)^{*}(01 \mid 10)(00 \mid 11)^{*}\right)^{*}$

## More regular expressions

What about the RE $(a \mid b)^{*} a b b$ ?


State $s_{0}$ has multiple transitions on $a$ !
$\Rightarrow$ nondeterministic finite automaton

|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{0}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ |
| $s_{1}$ | - | $\left\{s_{2}\right\}$ |
| $s_{2}$ | - | $\left\{s_{3}\right\}$ |

## Finite automata

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) consists of:

1. a set of states $S=\left\{s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$
2. a set of input symbols $\Sigma$ (the alphabet)
3. a transition function move mapping state-symbol pairs to sets of states
4. a distinguished start state $s_{0}$
5. a set of distinguished accepting or final states $F$

A Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA) is a special case of an NFA:

1. no state has a $\varepsilon$-transition, and
2. for each state $s$ and input symbol $a$, there is at most one edge labelled $a$ leaving $s$

A DFA accepts $x$ iff. $\exists$ a unique path through the transition graph from $s_{0}$ to a final state such that the edges spell $x$.

## DFAs and NFAs are equivalent

1. DFAs are clearly a subset of NFAs
2. Any NFA can be converted into a DFA, by simulating sets of simultaneous states:

- each DFA state corresponds to a set of NFA states
- possible exponential blowup

NFA to DFA using the subset construction: example 1


|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ |
| $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{2}\right\}$ |
| $\left\{s_{0}, s_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{3}\right\}$ |
| $\left\{s_{0}, s_{3}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ |



## Constructing a DFA from a regular expression



RE $\rightarrow$ NFA w/ $\varepsilon$ moves
build NFA for each term
connect them with $\varepsilon$ moves
NFA w/e moves to DFA
construct the simulation
the "subset" construction
DFA $\rightarrow$ minimized DFA
merge compatible states
DFA $\rightarrow$ RE

$$
\text { construct } R_{i j}^{k}=R_{i k}^{k-1}\left(R_{k k}^{k-1}\right)^{*} R_{k j}^{k-1} \cup R_{i j}^{k-1}
$$

## RE to NFA



## RE to NFA: example



## NFA to DFA: the subset construction

Input: NFA $N$
Output: A DFA D with states Dstates and transitions Dtrans such that $L(D)=L(N)$
Method: Let $s$ be a state in $N$ and $T$ be a set of states, and using the following operations:

| Operation | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| ع-closure(s) | set of NFA states reachable from NFA state $s$ on $\varepsilon$-transitions alone |
| $\varepsilon$-closure $(T)$ | set of NFA states reachable from some NFA state $s$ in $T$ on $\varepsilon$-transitions alone |
| move ( $T, a)$ | set of NFA states to which there is a transition on input symbol $a$ from some NFA state $s$ in $T$ |
| add state $T=\varepsilon$-closure ( $s_{0}$ ) unmarked to Dstates |  |
| while $\exists$ unmarked state $T$ in Dstates |  |
| mark $T$ |  |
| for each input symbol $a$ |  |
| $U=\varepsilon$-closure $(\operatorname{move}(T, a))$ |  |
| if $U \notin$ Dstates then add $U$ to Dstates unmarked |  |
| Dtrans $[T, a]=U$ |  |
| endfor |  |
| endwhile |  |
| $\varepsilon$-closure $\left(s_{0}\right)$ is the start state of $D$ |  |
| A state of $D$ is final if it contains at least one final state in $N$ |  |

NFA to DFA using subset construction: example 2


$$
\begin{array}{lll|l|l} 
& & & a & b \\
\cline { 2 - 2 } & D=\{1,2,4,5,6,7,9\} & A & B & C \\
B=\{1,2,3,4,6,7,8\} & E=\{1,2,4,5,6,7,10\} & B & B & D \\
C=\{1,2,4,5,6,7\} & & C & B & C \\
& & D & B & E \\
& E & B & C
\end{array}
$$



## Limits of regular languages

Not all languages are regular
One cannot construct DFAs to recognize these languages:

- $L=\left\{p^{k} q^{k}\right\}$
- $L=\left\{w c w^{r} \mid w \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$

Note: neither of these is a regular expression!
(DFAs cannot count!)
But, this is a little subtle. One can construct DFAs for:

- alternating 0's and 1's
$(\varepsilon \mid 1)(01)^{*}(\varepsilon \mid 0)$
- sets of pairs of 0's and 1's
$(01 \mid 10)^{+}$


## So what is hard?

Language features that can cause problems:
reserved words
PL/I had no reserved words
if then then then = else; else else = then;
significant blanks
FORTRAN and Algol68 ignore blanks
do 10 i $=1,25$
do 10 i $=1.25$
string constants
special characters in strings
newline, tab, quote, comment delimiter
finite closures
some languages limit identifier lengths
adds states to count length
FORTRAN $66 \rightarrow 6$ characters

These can be swept under the rug in the language design

## How bad can it get?

| 1 |  | INTEGERFUNCTIONA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | PARAMETER ( $\mathrm{A}=6, \mathrm{~B}=2$ ) |
| 3 |  | IMPLICIT CHARACTER* ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ ) ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ ) |
| 4 |  | INTEGER FORMAT (10), IF (10), D09E1 |
| 5 | 100 | FORMAT ( 4 H ) = ${ }^{\text {(3) }}$ |
| 6 | 200 | FORMAT (4 ) = (3) |
| 7 |  | D09E1=1 |
| 8 |  | D09E1=1,2 |
| 9 |  | $\mathrm{IF}(\mathrm{X})=1$ |
| 10 |  | IF (X) H=1 |
| 11 |  | IF (X) 300,200 |
| 12 | 300 | CONTINUE |
| 13 |  | END |
|  | C | this is a comment |
|  |  | FILE(1) |
| 14 |  | END |

Example due to Dr. F.K. Zadeck of IBM Corporation

## Scanning MiniJava

White space:

- ', ', '\t', '\n', '\r', ' $\backslash \mathrm{f}$ '

Tokens:

- Operators, keywords (straightforward; l've done them for you)
- Identifiers (straightforward)
- Integers (straightforward)
- Strings (tricky for escapes)

