

• maps characters into *tokens* – the basic unit of syntax

x = x + y;

becomes

<id, x> = <id, x> + <id, y> ;

- character string value for a *token* is a *lexeme*
- typical tokens: *number*, *id*, +, -, *, /, do, end
- eliminates white space (tabs, blanks, comments)
- a key issue is speed
 - \Rightarrow use specialized recognizer (as opposed to lex)

Copyright ©2010 by Antony L. Hosking. *Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or fee. Request permission to publish from hosking@cs.purdue.edu.*

A scanner must recognize the units of syntax Some parts are easy:

keywords and operators

specified as literal patterns: do, end

comments

```
opening and closing delimiters: /* ··· */
```

Specifying patterns

A scanner must recognize the units of syntax Other parts are much harder:

identifiers

alphabetic followed by k alphanumerics (-, \$, &, ...)

numbers

integers: 0 or digit from 1-9 followed by digits from 0-9
decimals: integer '. ' digits from 0-9
reals: (integer or decimal) 'E' (+ or -) digits from 0-9
complex: '(' real ', ' real ')'

We need a powerful notation to specify these patterns

Operation	Definition
union of L and M	$L \cup M = \{s \mid s \in L \text{ or } s \in M\}$
written $L \cup M$	
concatenation of L and M	$LM = \{st \mid s \in L \text{ and } t \in M\}$
written LM	
Kleene closure of L	$L^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$
written L^*	
positive closure of L	$L^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^i$
written L^+	$\iota = 1$

Regular expressions

Patterns are often specified as *regular languages*

Notations used to describe a regular language (or a regular set) include both *regular expressions* and *regular grammars*

Regular expressions (*over an alphabet* Σ):

- 1. ϵ is a RE denoting the set $\{\epsilon\}$
- 2. if $a \in \Sigma$, then *a* is a RE denoting $\{a\}$
- 3. if *r* and *s* are REs, denoting L(r) and L(s), then:

(r) is a RE denoting L(r)

 $(r) \mid (s)$ is a RE denoting $L(r) \bigcup L(s)$

(r)(s) is a RE denoting L(r)L(s)

 $(r)^*$ is a RE denoting $L(r)^*$

If we adopt a *precedence* for operators, the extra parentheses can go away. We assume *closure*, then *concatenation*, then *alternation* as the order of precedence.

Examples

identifier

```
\textit{letter} \rightarrow (a \mid b \mid c \mid \dots \mid z \mid A \mid B \mid C \mid \dots \mid Z)
```

```
digit \to (0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9)
```

```
\textit{id} \rightarrow \textit{letter} ~(~\textit{letter} ~|~\textit{digit}~)^*
```

numbers

```
integer \rightarrow (+ | - | \epsilon) (0 | (1 | 2 | 3 | ... | 9) digit^*)decimal \rightarrow integer . (digit)^*real \rightarrow (integer | decimal) \in (+ | -) digit^*complex \rightarrow '(' real , real ')'
```

Numbers can get much more complicated

Most programming language tokens can be described with REs

We can use REs to build scanners automatically

Algebraic properties of REs

Axiom	Description
r s=s r	is commutative
r (s t) = (r s) t	is associative
$\overline{(rs)t} = r(st)$	concatenation is associative
r(s t) = rs rt	concatenation distributes over
(s t)r = sr tr	
$\epsilon r = r$	ϵ is the identity for concatenation
$r\epsilon = r$	
$r^* = (r \mathbf{\varepsilon})^*$	relation between * and ϵ
$r^{**} = r^*$	* is idempotent

Examples

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

- 1. a|b denotes $\{a,b\}$
- 2. (a|b)(a|b) denotes {aa, ab, ba, bb} i.e., (a|b)(a|b) = aa|ab|ba|bb
- 3. a^* denotes { ϵ , a, aa, aaa, \ldots }
- 4. $(a|b)^*$ denotes the set of all strings of *a*'s and *b*'s (including ε) i.e., $(a|b)^* = (a^*b^*)^*$
- 5. $a|a^*b$ denotes $\{a, b, ab, aab, aaab, aaaab, \ldots\}$

Recognizers

From a regular expression we can construct a

deterministic finite automaton (DFA)

Recognizer for *identifier*:



identifier

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{letter} \rightarrow (a \mid b \mid c \mid ... \mid z \mid A \mid B \mid C \mid ... \mid Z) \\ \textit{digit} \rightarrow (0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9) \\ \textit{id} \rightarrow \textit{letter} (\textit{letter} \mid \textit{digit})^* \end{array}$

Code for the recognizer

```
char \leftarrow next_char();
state \leftarrow 0; /* code for state 0 */
done \leftarrow false;
token_value \leftarrow "" /* empty string */
while( not done ) {
   class \leftarrow char_class[char];
   state \leftarrow next_state[class,state];
   switch(state) {
      case 1: /* building an id */
          token_value \leftarrow token_value + char;
          char \leftarrow next_char();
         break:
      case 2: /* accept state */
          token_type = identifier;
          done = true;
         break;
      case 3: /* error */
          token_type = error;
          done = true;
          break;
return token_type;
```

Two tables control the recognizer

ahar alaga.		a	-z	A -	-Z	0-9	other
	value	letter		letter		digit	other
	class	0	1	2	3		
$next_state:$	letter	1	1				
	digit	3	1				
	other	3	2				

To change languages, we can just change tables

Scanner generators automatically construct code from RE-like descriptions

- construct a DFA
- use state minimization techniques
- emit code for the scanner (table driven or direct code)

A key issue in automation is an interface to the parser

lex is a scanner generator supplied with UNIX

- emits C code for scanner
- provides macro definitions for each token (used in the parser)

Grammars for regular languages

Can we place a restriction on the *form* of a grammar to ensure that it describes a regular language?

Provable fact:

For any RE *r*, \exists a grammar *g* such that L(r) = L(g)

Grammars that generate regular sets are called *regular grammars*:

They have productions in one of 2 forms:

1.
$$A \rightarrow aA$$

2. $A \rightarrow a$

where *A* is any non-terminal and *a* is any terminal symbol

These are also called *type 3* grammars (Chomsky)

Example: the set of strings containing an even number of zeros and an even number of ones



The RE is $(00 | 11)^*((01 | 10)(00 | 11)^*(01 | 10)(00 | 11)^*)^*$

What about the RE $(a | b)^*abb$?



State s_0 has multiple transitions on a! \Rightarrow nondeterministic finite automaton

	а	b
<i>s</i> 0	${s_0, s_1}$	$\{s_0\}$
<i>s</i> ₁	—	$\{s_2\}$
<i>s</i> ₂	—	$\{s_3\}$

Finite automata

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) consists of:

- **1.** a set of *states* $S = \{s_0, ..., s_n\}$
- 2. a set of input symbols Σ (the alphabet)
- 3. a transition function *move* mapping state-symbol pairs to sets of states
- 4. a distinguished start state s_0
- 5. a set of distinguished accepting or final states F

A *Deterministic Finite Automaton* (DFA) is a special case of an NFA:

- 1. no state has a $\epsilon\text{-transition},$ and
- 2. for each state *s* and input symbol *a*, there is at most one edge labelled *a* leaving *s*

A DFA accepts x iff. \exists a unique path through the transition graph from s_0 to a final state such that the edges spell x.

- 1. DFAs are clearly a subset of NFAs
- 2. Any NFA can be converted into a DFA, by simulating sets of simultaneous states:
 - each DFA state corresponds to a set of NFA states
 - possible exponential blowup

NFA to DFA using the subset construction: example 1



18

Constructing a DFA from a regular expression



 $\begin{tabular}{ll} RE \rightarrow NFA w/\epsilon moves \\ build NFA for each term \\ connect them with ϵ moves \end{tabular}$

NFA w/ε moves to DFA construct the simulation the "subset" construction

 $DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA$ merge compatible states

$$\mathsf{DFA} \to \mathsf{RE}$$

construct $R_{ij}^k = R_{ik}^{k-1} (R_{kk}^{k-1})^* R_{kj}^{k-1} \bigcup R_{ij}^{k-1}$

RE to NFA



RE to NFA: example



21

NFA to DFA: the subset construction

Input:	NFA N		
Output:	A DFA D with states Dstates and transitions Dtrans such that $L(D) = L(N)$		
Method:	Let s be a state in N and T be a set of states, and using the following operations:		
Operatio	n Definition		
ε-closure(s) set of NFA states reachable from NFA state s on ε -transitions alone		
ε- <i>closure</i> (T) set of NFA states reachable from some NFA state s in T on ϵ -transitions alone		
move(T,a)set of NFA states to which there is a transition on input symbol a from some NFA state s in T			
add state T	$= \varepsilon$ -closure(s ₀) unmarked to Dstates		
while ∃ unr	narked state T in Dstates		
mark T			
for eac	ch input symbol a		
U	$= \varepsilon$ -closure(move(T,a))		
if	$U \notin D$ states then add U to Dstates unmarked		
Dt	rans[T,a] = U		
endfor			
endwhile			

 ε -*closure*(s_0) is the start state of *D* A state of *D* is final if it contains at least one final state in *N*

NFA to DFA using subset construction: example 2







Not all languages are regular

One cannot construct DFAs to recognize these languages:

•
$$L = \{p^k q^k\}$$

• $L = \{wcw^r \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$

Note: neither of these is a regular expression! (DFAs cannot count!)

But, this is a little subtle. One can construct DFAs for:

- alternating 0's and 1's $(\epsilon \mid 1)(01)^*(\epsilon \mid 0)$
- sets of pairs of 0's and 1's $(01 \mid 10)^+$

Language features that can cause problems:

reserved words PL/I had no reserved words if then then then = else; else else = then; significant blanks FORTRAN and Algol68 ignore blanks do 10 i = 1,25do 10 i = 1.25string constants special characters in strings newline, tab, quote, comment delimiter finite closures some languages limit identifier lengths adds states to count length

FORTRAN 66 \rightarrow 6 characters

These can be swept under the rug in the language design

How bad can it get?

1		INTEGERFUNCTIONA
2		PARAMETER(A=6,B=2)
3		IMPLICIT CHARACTER*(A-B)(A-B)
4		INTEGER FORMAT(10), IF(10), DO9E1
5	100	FORMAT(4H) = (3)
6	200	FORMAT(4) = (3)
7		D09E1=1
8		D09E1=1,2
9		IF(X)=1
10		IF(X)H=1
11		IF(X)300,200
12	300	CONTINUE
13		END
	С	this is a comment
	\$	FILE(1)
14		END

Example due to Dr. F.K. Zadeck of IBM Corporation

White space:

• ' ', '\t', '\n', '\r', '\f'

Tokens:

- Operators, keywords (straightforward; I've done them for you)
- Identifiers (straightforward)
- Integers (straightforward)
- Strings (tricky for escapes)