

# Proof of Time Hierarchy Theorem

**Definition 1.** Let  $f(n) \geq n$  be a proper complexity function, and define  $H_f$  to be the following time-bounded version of the HALTING language  $H$ :

$$H_f = \{M; x : M \text{ accepts input } x \text{ after at most } f(|x|) \text{ steps} \}$$

where  $M$  ranges over all descriptions of multi-string TMs.

**Lemma 1.**  $H_f \in TIME((f(n))^3)$ .

## Proof of Lemma

We give a 4-string TM  $U_f$  which decides  $H_f$  in time  $(f(n))^3$ :

1. First  $U_f$  uses  $M_f$  on  $x$  to initialize its 4th string by  $\#^{f(|x|)}$  — “clock”.

$U_f$  copies the description of  $M$  on its 3rd string and second string is initialized to initial state  $s$  and first string is initialized to  $\triangleright x$ .

Total time is  $O(f(|x|) + n) = O(f(n))$ .

2.  $U_f$  simulates  $M$  on  $x$  step by step. It also advances the clock by one.

Each step of  $M$  takes  $O(\ell_M k_M^2 f(|x|))$ , where  $k_M$  is the number of strings of  $M$  and  $\ell_M$  is the length of the description of each state and symbol of  $M$ .

$k_M$  and  $\ell_M$  are bounded above by  $\log n$ .

Thus time to simulate a step of  $M$  is  $O((f(n))^2)$ .

3.  $U_f$  accepts/rejects its input based on the clock. Total time is  $O((f(n))^3)$ .

The constant can be removed by modifying  $U_f$  to treat several symbols as one.

**Lemma 2.**  $H_f \notin TIME(f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor))$ .

**Proof:** By contradiction. Assume that there is a TM  $M_{H_f}$  that decides  $H_f$  in time  $f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ .

Construct the following machine  $D_f$ :

$D_f(M)$  : if  $M_{H_f}(M, M) = yes$  then *no* else *yes*

$D_f$  on input  $M$  runs in  $f(\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{2} \rfloor) = f(n)$ .

What about  $D_f(D_f)$  ?

If  $D_f(D_f) = yes$  then  $M_{H_f}(D_f, D_f) = no$ , i.e.,  $(D_f; D_f) \notin H_f$ .

Thus  $D_f$  fails to accept its own description in  $f(n)$  steps — implies  $D_f(D_f) = no$ .

Similarly,  $D_f(D_f) = no$  implies  $D_f(D_f) = yes$ .

Contradiction in both cases.

The Time Hierarchy Theorem follows from the two previous lemmas.

# Relations between Important Complexity Classes

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(n)$  be a proper function. Then*

*(a)  $SPACE(f(n)) \subseteq NSPACE(f(n))$  and  $TIME(f(n)) \subseteq NTIME(f(n))$ .*

*(b)  $NTIME(f(n)) \subseteq SPACE(f(n))$*

*(c)  $NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq TIME(k^{\log n + f(n)})$*

**Corollary 1.**  $L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE$ .

## Proof of Theorem

(b) Simulate the NTM that runs in  $f(n)$  time using a TM in exponential time.

The computation tree has height  $f(n)$ , i.e., each simulation can be carried in  $f(n)$  space.

But there are exponentially many simulations (a path from root to leaf).

Each simulation can be carried out one-by-one by reusing the same space.

We can keep track of the sequence of choices currently simulated and generate the next in space  $O(f(n))$ .

Note that a sequence of choices is a  $f(n)$ -long sequence of integers between 0 and  $d - 1$  (where  $d$  is the degree of nondeterminism).

The first sequence  $(0^{f(n)})$  can be generated since  $f$  is proper.