

Theorem 1. *For any Horn existential second-order expression $\exists P\phi$, the problem $\exists P\phi$ -GRAPHS is in P.*

Proof

Let $\exists P\phi = \exists P\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k \eta$

where η is the conjunction of Horn clauses and the arity of P is r .

Is there a relation $P \subseteq V^r$ such that ϕ is satisfied?

Let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

We can substitute all possible values of x_i in ϕ to get:

$$\bigwedge_{v_1, \dots, v_k=1}^n \eta[x_1 \leftarrow v_1, \dots, x_k \leftarrow v_k]$$

The above expression contains exactly hn^k clauses, where h is the number of clauses in η .

The atomic expression in each clause of above can be of only 3 kinds: $G(v_i, v_j)$, $v_i = v_j$, or $P(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r})$.

The above boils down to determining the satisfaction of a conjunction of at most hn^k clauses, each of which is the disjunction of atomic expressions of the form $P(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r})$ and their negations.

Each of these atomic expressions can be true or false.

Hence treat each of them as a boolean variable.

We can solve for the satisfiability of the above formula in polynomial time.

Axiomatizing Number Theory

Can we come up with a set of axioms for number theory that is sound and complete?

Consider the following set of axioms NT :

$$NT1 : \forall x(\sigma(x) \neq 0)$$

$$NT2 : \forall x \forall y(\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$$

$$NT3 : \forall x(x = 0 \vee \exists y \sigma(y) = x)$$

$$NT4 : \forall x(x + 0 = x)$$

$$NT5 : \forall x \forall y(x + \sigma(y) = \sigma(x + y))$$

$$NT6 : \forall x(x \times 0 = 0)$$

$$NT7 : \forall x \forall y(x \times \sigma(y) = (x \times y) + x)$$

$$NT8 : \forall x(x \uparrow 0 = \sigma(0))$$

$$NT9 : \forall x \forall y(x \uparrow \sigma(y) = (x \uparrow y) \times x)$$

$$NT10 : \forall x(x < \sigma(x))$$

$$NT11 : \forall x\forall y(x < y \Rightarrow \sigma(x) \leq y)$$

$$NT12 : \forall x\forall y(\neg(x < y) \Rightarrow y \leq x)$$

$$NT13 : \forall x\forall y\forall z(((x < y) \wedge (y < z)) \Rightarrow x < z)$$

$$NT14 : \forall x\forall y\forall z\forall z'(mod(x, y, z) \wedge mod(x, y, z') \Rightarrow z = z')$$

$(mod(x, y, z)$ stands for $\exists w(x = y \times w + z \wedge z < y)$).