

Second-Order Logic

Definition 1. Syntax: *An expression of existential second-order logic over a vocabulary $\Sigma = (\Phi, \Pi, r)$ is of the form $\exists P\phi$, where ϕ is a first-order expression over the vocabulary $\Sigma' = (\Phi, \Pi \cup \{P\}, r)$.*

Semantics: *A model M appropriate for Σ satisfies $\exists P\phi$ if there is a relation $P^M \subseteq (U^M)^{r(P)}$ such that M augmented with P^M to comprise a model appropriate for Σ' , satisfies ϕ .*

Examples

1. A second-order expression in number theory:

$$\phi = \exists P \forall x ((P(x) \vee P(x+1)) \wedge \neg (P(x) \wedge P(x+1))).$$

$N \models \phi$. (Take P^N to be set of even numbers.)

2. A second-order expression in graph theory:

$$\exists P \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \Rightarrow G(x, y)).$$

Valid since any graph has a subgraph.

3. UNREACHABILITY in Graph Theory:

$$\phi(x, y) = \exists P (\forall u \forall v \forall w ((P(u, u)) \wedge (G(u, v) \Rightarrow P(u, v)) \wedge ((P(u, v) \wedge P(v, w)) \Rightarrow P(u, w)) \wedge \neg P(x, y)))$$

HAMILTONIAN PATH

Existential second-order logic can also capture properties that are in NP.

Example: The following sentence describes graphs with a Hamiltonian path.

$\phi = \exists P\psi$ where

$\psi = \forall x\forall y((P(x, y) \vee P(y, x) \vee x = y)) \wedge$

$\forall x\forall y\forall z((\neg P(x, x)) \wedge ((P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z)) \Rightarrow P(x, z))) \wedge$

$\forall x\forall y((P(x, y) \wedge \forall z(\neg P(x, z) \vee \neg P(z, y))) \Rightarrow G(x, y)).$

ϕ -GRAPHS same as HAMILTONIAN PATH.

Existential Second-Order Logic is in NP

Theorem 1. *For any existential second-order expression $\exists P\phi$, the problem $\exists P\phi$ -GRAPHS is in NP.*

Proof. Given any graph $G = (V, E)$ with n nodes.

The NTM can “guess” a relation (if it exists) $P^M \subseteq V^{r(P)}$ such that G augmented with P^M satisfies ϕ .

Takes polynomial time since there are at most $n^{r(P)}$ elements to guess.

The machine can then verify whether M satisfies ϕ deterministically in polynomial time. \square

Horn Existential Second-Order Logic is in P

Definition 2. *An expression in existential second-order logic is said to be an Horn expression if:*

(1) it is in prenex form with only universal first-order quantifiers and

(2) its matrix is the conjunction of clauses each of which contains at most one unnegated atomic formula that involves P (the second-order relation symbol).

Theorem 2. *For any Horn existential second-order expression $\exists P\phi$, the problem $\exists P\phi$ -GRAPHS is in P.*