































1- 8



Example:		Â
T1: Read(A)	T2: Read(A)	
A ← A+100	$A \leftarrow A \times 2$	
Write(A)	Write(A)	
Read(B)	Read(B)	
B ← B+100	$B \leftarrow B \times 2$	
Write(B)	Write(B)	
Constraint: A=B		
4/2/2012	Chris Clifton - CS541	18

Schedule A	<u>\</u>		
Cast		А	В
T1	T2	25	25
Read(A); A \leftarrow A+100			
Write(A);		125	
Read(B); $B \leftarrow B+100;$			
Write(B);			125
	Read(A):A \leftarrow A×2:		
	Write(A):	250	
	$D_{red}(P):P_{red} = P_{red}(P)$	250	
	Reau(B); $B \leftarrow B \times Z$;		250
	Write(B);	250	250
		250	250

Schedule B			
Dave		А	В
T1	T2	25	25
	Read(A);A \leftarrow A×2; Write(A); Read(B);B \leftarrow B×2; Write(B);	50	50
Read(A); A \leftarrow A+100 Write(A); Read(B); B \leftarrow B+100;		150	150
Write(B);		150	150
		150	130

	А	В
T2	25	25
	125	
Read(A);A \leftarrow A×2;		
Write(A);	250	
		125
Read(B);B \leftarrow B×2;		
Write(B);		250
	250	250
	T2 Read(A);A \leftarrow A×2; Write(A); Read(B);B \leftarrow B×2; Write(B);	T2 T2 A 25 125 Read(A);A \leftarrow A \times 2; Write(A); Read(B);B \leftarrow B \times 2; Write(B); 250

Schedule D			
Dare		А	В
T1	T2	25	25
Read(A); A \leftarrow A+100			
Write(A);		125	
	Read(A);A \leftarrow A×2;		
	Write(A);	250	
	Read(B); $B \leftarrow B \times 2$;		
	Write(B);		50
Read(B); $B \leftarrow B+100;$			
Write(B);			150
		250	150

Schedule E	Same as Schedule D but with new T2'		
Unat		А	В
T1	T2'	25	25
Read(A); A \leftarrow A+100			
Write(A);		125	
	Read(A); $A \leftarrow A \times 1$;		
	Write(A);	125	
	Read(B); $B \leftarrow B \times 1$;		
	Write(B);		25
Read(B); $B \leftarrow B+100;$			
Write(B);			125
		125	125
		1	





















































and the second s	Schedule F		
a Design		Α	В
T1	T2	25	25
I1(A);Read(A)			
A←A+100;Write(A);u₁(A)		125	
	I2(A);Read(A)		
	A-Ax2;Write(A);u₂(A)	250	
	l2(B);Read(B)		
	B←Bx2;Write(B);u ₂ (B)		50
l1(B);Read(B)			
B⊷B+100;Write(B);u1(B)			150
		250	150
		L	I]





























Lock actions I-ti(A): lock A in t mode (t is S or X) u-ti(A): unlock t mode (t is S or X) Shorthand: ui(A): unlock whatever modes Ti has locked A

```
<u>Pule #1</u> Well formed transactions

T_i = ... I - S_1(A) ... r_1(A) ... u_1(A) ...

T_i = ... I - X_1(A) ... w_1(A) ... u_1(A) ...
```

• What about transactions that read and write same object?

<u>Option 1:</u> Request exclusive lock $T_i = \dots I - X_1(A) \dots r_1(A) \dots w_1(A) \dots u(A) \dots$



Rule #2Legal scheduler $S = \dots I - Si(A) \dots ui(A) \dots$ $no I - X_j(A)$ $S = \dots I - Xi(A) \dots ui(A) \dots$ $no I - X_j(A)$ no I - Sj(A)









Examples:

- (1) increment lock
- (2) update lock















Note: object A may be locked in different modes at the same time...

$$S_1 = ... I - S_1(A) ... I - S_2(A) ... I - U_3(A) ... I - S_4(A) ...?$$

I - U₄(A) ...?

 To grant a lock in mode t, mode t must be compatible with all currently held locks on object



























Parent locked in	Child can be locked in	D
IS		(F)
IX		
S		(C)
SIX		
X		

Parent locked in	Child can be locked in	P
IS	IS, S	
IX	IS, S, IX, X, SIX	
S	[S, IS] not necessary	(C)
SIX	X, IX, [SIX]	\smile
Х	none	

<u>Rules</u>

- (1) Follow multiple granularity comp function
- (2) Lock root of tree first, any mode
- (3) Node Q can be locked by Ti in S or IS only if parent(Q) locked by Ti in IX or IS
- (4) Node Q can be locked by Ti in X,SIX,IX only if parent(Q) locked by Ti in IX,SIX
- (5) Ti is two-phase
- (6) Ti can unlock node Q only if none of Q's children are locked by Ti

• End 11/4













Modifications to locking rules: (1) Get exclusive lock on A before deleting A

(2) At insert A operation by Ti,

Ti is given exclusive lock on A















Next:

- Tree-based concurrency control
- Validation concurrency control







Rules: tree protocol (exclusive locks) (1) First lock by Ti may be on any item

- (2) After that, item Q can be locked by Ti only if parent(Q) locked by Ti
- (3) Items may be unlocked at any time

(4) After Ti unlocks Q, it cannot relock Q





<u>Key idea</u>

- Make validation atomic
- If T₁, T₂, T₃, ... is validation order, then resulting schedule will be conflict equivalent to $S_s = T_1 T_2 T_3$...





















<u>Summary</u>

Have studied C.C. mechanisms used in practice

- 2 PL
- Multiple granularity
- Tree (index) protocols
- Validation