# Continuous Query Processing of Spatio-temporal Data Streams in PLACE\*

Mohamed F. Mokbel, Xiaopeng Xiong, Moustafa A. Hammad<sup>1</sup>, and Walid G. Aref

Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN E-mail:{mokbel,xxiong,aref}@cs.purdue.edu <sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta E-mail:hammad@cpsc.ucalgary.ca

#### Abstract

The tremendous increase in the use of cellular phones, GPS-like devices, and RFIDs results in highly dynamic environments where objects as well as queries are continuously moving. In this paper, we present a continuous query processor designed specifically for highly dynamic environments (e.g., location-aware environments). We implemented the proposed continuous query processor inside the PLACE server (Pervasive Location-Aware Computing Environments); a scalable location-aware database server developed at Purdue University. The PLACE server extends data streaming management systems to support location-aware environments. These environments are characterized by the wide variety of continuous spatiotemporal queries and the unbounded spatio-temporal streams. The proposed continuous query processor includes: (1) New *incremental* spatio-temporal operators to support a wide variety of continuous spatio-temporal queries, (2) Extended semantics of sliding window queries to deal with spatial sliding windows as well as temporal sliding windows, and (3) A shared-execution framework for scalable execution of a set of concurrent continuous spatio-temporal queries. Experimental evaluation shows promising performance of the continuous query processor of the PLACE server.

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants IIS-0093116, IIS-0209120, and 0010044-CCR.

### 1 Introduction

The rapid increase in spatio-temporal applications calls for new query processing techniques to deal with both the spatial and temporal domains. Examples of these applications include location-aware services [36], traffic monitoring [42], and enhanced 911 service (http://www.fcc.gov/911/enhanced/). Such applications continuously receive data from mobile objects (e.g., moving vehicles in road networks). The streaming nature of incoming spatiotemporal data poses new challenges that require combining and/or modifying the recent advances in both spatio-temporal database systems and data stream management systems.

Recent research efforts for continuous spatio-temporal query processing, e.g., see [21, 28, 31, 32, 33, 38, 44, 48, 56, 57] rely mainly on the ability of storing and indexing spatio-temporal data. Given the dynamic environment of spatio-temporal applications, the main idea is to modify traditional data indices to support frequent updates. Examples of these indices include modified grid structures, e.g., [18, 38], modified B-trees, e.g., [29], modified R-trees, e.g., [31, 33], and time-parameterized R-trees, e.g., [47, 48, 57]. Although these indexing schemes give better support for updates than their counterpart traditional indices, issues of high arrival rates of both objects and queries, infinite source of data, and spatio-temporal streams are not addressed by these approaches. With the notion of spatio-temporal streams, only in-memory algorithms for continuous queries need to be realized.

Numerous research efforts are devoted to stream query processing (e.g., see [2, 1, 9, 16, 26, 41, 66]). The main focus is to provide the ability to process incoming data streams online against a set of outstanding and continuous queries. However, the spatial and temporal properties of both data and queries are not addressed. A spatio-temporal data stream distinguishes itself from a traditional data stream in the following: (1) Queries as well as data have the ability to change their locations continuously. Thus, the arrival of a new data item (e.g., the location) of an object, say p, at some time  $t_2$  ( $t_2 > t_1$ ) may result in expiring the previous location information of p at time  $t_1$  (predicate-based sliding window). This is in contrast to traditional data streams where data is expired only as it becomes old in the system (time-based expiration in sliding window queries). (2) An object may be added to or removed from the answer set of a spatio-temporal query (positive and negative answers). For example, consider a set of moving vehicles that move in and out of a certain range query. Thus the query answer may be represented progressively by a sequence of

*positive* and *negative* updates. This is in contrast to traditional queries where only an addition to the query answer is permitted. (3) Due to the mobility of both objects and queries, any delay in processing spatio-temporal queries may result in an obsolete answer. Consider a query that asks about moving objects that lie in a certain region. If the query answer is delayed, the answer may be outdated where objects are continuously changing their locations. These distinguishing characteristics of spatio-temporal streams require revisiting traditional data stream management systems to have special handling of spatio-temporal streams.

In this paper, we present the PLACE server (Pervasive Location-Aware Computing Environments) [4, 39]; a scalable location-aware database server currently being developed at Purdue University. The PLACE server extends the Nile [26] data stream management system to support continuous query processing of spatio-temporal streams. The PLACE server aims to bridge the areas of spatio-temporal databases and data stream management systems. The main idea is to furnish traditional data stream management systems with the basic functionalities that support processing incoming spatio-temporal streams against a set of outstanding continuous spatio-temporal queries. In particular, the continuous query processor of the PLACE server [40] has the following distinguishing characteristics:

- 1. **Predicate-based window queries**: The PLACE continuous query processor extends the processing of continuous sliding window queries beyond time-based and tuple-count windows to accommodate for the so called *predicate-based* window queries. In *predicate-based* window queries, objects are qualified to be part of the window once they satisfy a certain query predicate. Similarly, objects are expired only when they no longer satisfy a certain predicate. *Predicate-based* windows are a generalization of time-based and tuple-count sliding windows.
- 2. Incremental evaluation. The PLACE continuous query processor employs an *incremental* evaluation paradigm by continuously updating the query answer. We distinguish between two types of updates; namely *positive* and *negative* updates [38]. A positive/negative update indicates that a certain object needs to be added to/removed from the query answer.
- 3. **Spatio-temporal operators.** The PLACE continuous query processor goes beyond the idea of implementing high level algorithms for continuous spatio-temporal queries. Instead, the PLACE server encapsulates the spatio-temporal query algorithms into a set of primitive

spatio-temporal pipelined operators (e.g., INSIDE and kNN operators) that can be part of a larger query plan. Having a set of primitive spatio-temporal operators results in supporting a wide variety of continuous spatio-temporal queries and in having flexible query optimizers where multiple candidate query plans can be produced.

4. **Scalability.** We use a *shared-execution* paradigm as a means of achieving scalability in terms of the number of outstanding continuous spatio-temporal queries.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 highlights the challenges in realizing the continuous query processor of the PLACE server along with the related work of each challenge. In Section 3, we present an overview of the data model and SQL language used by the PLACE server. Section 4 presents *predicate-based* window queries. The *incremental* evaluation of the PLACE server is discussed in Section 5. The scalability in terms of the number of outstanding spatio-temporal queries is addressed in Section 6. The GUI interfaces for the PLACE server and PLACE clients are presented in Section 7. Section 8 presents experimental results that evaluate the performance of the PLACE server. Finally, Section 9 concludes the paper.

### 2 Challenges and Related Work

In this section, we highlight some challenges in realizing the continuous query processor of the PLACE location-aware server. With each challenge, we summarize the related work in the areas of spatio-temporal databases and data streams. Then, we highlight briefly how the PLACE continuous query processor deals with these challenges.

# 2.1 Challenge I: Massive Size of Incoming Spatio-temporal Data Streams

**Spatio-temporal databases.** Existing continuous query processors for spatio-temporal databases assume explicitly that all incoming data can be stored in secondary storage. A wide variety of spatio-temporal access methods (e.g., see [37] for a survey) has been introduced to deal with massive sizes of spatio-temporal data. However, with the streaming input, only in-memory algorithms are feasible. There is limited work that exploits the spatial and/or temporal properties of data streams. The

spatial properties of data streams are addressed recently in [15, 27] to solve geometric problems, e.g., computing the convex hull [27]. In [53], spatio-temporal histograms are used as synopses for approximate query processing on spatio-temporal data streams. Up to the authors' knowledge, there is no existing work that addresses continuous query processing for spatio-temporal streams.

**Data stream management systems.** A common challenge for all data stream management systems is the infinite size of the incoming data streams. With the inability to store all the incoming data into memory, data stream management systems tend to store only data that is of interest to any outstanding continuous query. Once a stored data becomes out of interest of all outstanding queries, it is expired from the memory leaving its space to a more important incoming tuple. One approach of expiring in-memory data is to use *punctuation* [61]. A *punctuated* tuple indicates the expiration of a certain set of stored tuples. To decide whether a certain incoming tuple is important or not, every continuous query is associated with a *historical* window that limits the important tuples to the most recent ones. A *historical* window could be time-based (e.g., the last 1 hour) or tuple-based (e.g., the last 100 tuples). Such queries are termed *sliding-window* queries (e.g., see [2, 5, 9, 19, 24, 30, 34, 52]). In *sliding-window* queries, tuples are dropped (expired) from the system in a first-in-first-expire policy. In case of large window sizes, *load shedding* techniques, e.g., [59] are utilized to drop some tuples from memory.

The PLACE approach. Trying to deploy the idea of *sliding-window* queries from traditional data streams would result in limiting the functionality of the PLACE server. A wide variety of continuous spatio-temporal queries are considered as NOW queries [14] (i.e., no historical information is needed). Thus, in the PLACE server, we generalize the time-based and tuple-based sliding window queries to *predicate-based* window queries. A tuple is considered important if it satisfies at least one query predicate from all outstanding continuous queries. Once a tuple no longer satisfies any query predicate, it is expired from the server. This implies that tuple expiration is predicate-based rather than first-in-first-expire as in the commonly used time-based or tuple-count data streams. Expiration in *predicate-based* window queries is different from *punctuated* streams [61] in that tuples in *predicate-based* windows are expired one at a time, rather than expiring a set of tuples using a certain *punctuated* tuple.

### 2.2 Challenge II: Continuous Evaluation of Continuous Queries

Spatio-temporal databases. Most of the existing techniques in spatio-temporal databases abstract the continuous query into a series of snapshot queries executed at regular interval times. Mainly, three different approaches are investigated: (1) The validity of the results [67, 68]. With each query answer, the server returns a *valid time* [68] or a *valid region* [67] of the answer. Once the valid time is expired or the client goes out of the valid region, the client resubmits the continuous query for reevaluation. (2) Caching the results. The main idea is to cache the previous result either in the client side [51] or in the server side [32]. Previously cached results are used to prune the search for the new results of *k*-nearest-neighbor queries [51] and range queries [32]. (3) Precomputing the result [32, 56]. If the trajectory of query movement is known apriori, then by using computational geometry for stationary objects [56] or velocity information for moving objects [32], we can identify which objects will be nearest-neighbors [56] to or within a range [32] from the query trajectory. If the trajectory information changes, then the query needs to be reevaluated. Up to the authors' knowledge, our earlier work, SINA [38], is the only work that addresses incremental evaluation of continuous queries in spatio-temporal databases.

**Data stream management systems.** Due to the newly introduced *sliding-window* queries, several algorithms are proposed for each query operator, e.g., window join [19, 23, 24, 30, 52] and window aggregates [3, 17]. All window algorithms for traditional data streaming provide progressive updates of the query answer.

The PLACE approach. A distinguished characteristic of spatio-temporal streams is that we need to have the ability to *remove* some parts of the query answer (e.g., an object moves out of the range query). This feature is not available in traditional data streams where the query answer is append-only. In the PLACE server, we apply a progressive evaluation paradigm by extending the ideas of SINA [38] to be applicable to spatio-temporal streams rather than being tied to disk storage.

### 2.3 Challenge III: Wide Variety of Continuous Query Types

**Spatio-temporal databases.** A major challenge for spatio-temporal query processors is the wide variety of spatio-temporal query types (e.g., see [36] for a thorough classification of spatio-

temporal queries). Thus, it becomes a difficult task to provide a database system with the ability to support all kinds of spatio-temporal queries. The DOMINO database system [62] is the first attempt to build a database of moving objects on top of existing DBMSs [63]. One main focus of DOMINO is to support new kinds of spatio-temporal attributes and query language for moving objects. However, the query processing issues are not addressed. Other than DOMINO, most of the existing query processing techniques focus on solving special cases of continuous spatio-temporal queries, e.g., [51, 56, 67, 68] focus on moving queries on stationary objects while [8, 18, 21, 44] focus on stationary range queries on moving objects. Other work focuses on aggregate queries (e.g., see [21, 53, 55]), k-NN queries (e.g., see [28, 51]) and reverse nearest-neighbor queries [6].

**Data stream management systems.** Existing data stream management systems (e.g., Borealis [1], Telegraph [9], Nile [26], and STREAM [41]) provide new algorithms for (almost) all traditional query operators. However, there is no special handling and/or optimization for spatio-temporal queries.

The PLACE approach. In the PLACE server, we go beyond the idea of having tailored highlevel algorithms for each specific spatio-temporal query. Instead, we furnish existing data stream management systems by a set of primitive spatio-temporal pipeline operators (e.g., the INSIDE and kNN operators). Spatio-temporal operators are combined with traditional streaming operators to provide the ability of having complex query plans that represent a wide variety of continuous spatio-temporal queries. In addition, the PLACE server provides a uniform framework that is applicable to all mutability combinations of objects and queries, e.g., *moving* queries on *stationary* objects, *stationary* queries on *moving* objects, and *moving* queries on *moving* objects.

### 2.4 Challenge IV: Large Number of Concurrent Continuous Queries

**Spatio-temporal databases.** Most of the existing spatio-temporal algorithms focus on evaluating only one spatio-temporal query (e.g., [6, 28, 32, 51, 56, 58, 67, 68]). Optimization techniques for evaluating a set of continuous spatio-temporal queries are addressed recently for centralized [38, 44] and distributed environments [8, 18]. In centralized environments, the Q-index [44] is presented as an R-tree-like index structure to index the *stationary* queries instead of objects. SINA [38] uses a *shared* grid structure to index both objects and queries. Then, evaluating a set of continuous queries is abstracted as a spatial join (using the grid index) between objects and queries. In distributed

environments, the main idea of [8, 18] is to ship part of the query processing down to the moving objects, while the server acts mainly as a mediator among moving objects.

**Data stream management systems.** There is a lot of research in sharing the execution of concurrent continuous queries (e.g., NiagaraCQ [12, 13] and PSoup [10, 11]). The main idea is to have a shared query plan for all continuous queries. Other forms of sharing at the operator level are investigated, for window join [20, 24] and window aggregate operators [3].

The PLACE approach. In the PLACE server, we employ a *shared-execution* paradigm similar to that in NiagaraCQ [13] and SINA [39]. The execution of a set of concurrent continuous spatio-temporal queries is performed as a spatial join between two incoming streams. The first stream represents the streaming objects while the second stream represents the streaming queries<sup>1</sup>.

## 3 The PLACE Server

In this section, we present the data modelling and SQL language used by the PLACE server.

#### 3.1 Data Model

By subscribing with the PLACE server, moving objects are required to send their location updates periodically to the PLACE server. A location update from the client (moving object) to the server has the format (OID, x, y), where OID is the object identifier, (x, y) is the location of the moving object in the two-dimensional space. An update is timestamped upon its arrival at the server side. Once an object P stops moving (e.g., P reaches its destination or P is shut down), either P sends an explicit disappear message to the server or the server will timeout due to not receiving any updates from P for a certain time  $T_{Timeout}$ . In both cases, the server recognizes that object P is no further moving.

Due to the highly dynamic nature of location-aware environments and the infinite size of incoming spatio-temporal streams, we cannot store all incoming data. Thus, the PLACE server employs a three-level storage hierarchy. First, a subset of the incoming data streams is stored in in-memory

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For simplicity, we present the spatial join in the context of a uniform grid structure. However, the uniform grid can be substituted by more sophisticated structures e.g., the FUR-tree [33] or quad-tree-like structures [49]. A detailed experimental study between the usage of grid structures and the usage of R-tree-like structures is given in [38]

buffers. In-memory buffers are associated with the outstanding continuous queries at the server. Each query determines which tuples are needed to be in its buffer and when these tuples are expired, i.e., deleted from the buffer. Second, we keep an in-disk storage that keeps track with only one reading of each moving object and query. Since, we cannot update the disk storage every time we receive an update from moving objects, we sample the input data by choosing every kth reading to flush to disk. Moreover, we cache the readings of moving objects/queries and flush them once to the secondary storage every T time units. Data on the secondary storage are indexed using a simple grid structure [38]. Third, every  $T_{archive}$  time units, we take a snapshot of the in-disk database and flush it to a repository server. The repository server acts as a multi-version structure of the moving objects that supports historical queries. Stationary objects (e.g., gas stations, hospitals, restaurants) are preloaded to the system as relational tables that are infrequently updated.

In this paper, we focus on the memory part of the PLACE server where continuous queries over spatio-temporal streams can be evaluated. For processing and querying spatio-temporal data in the secondary storage, the reader is referred to [38, 64]. Archival storage is used to query historical spatio-temporal data which is not the focus of this paper.

### 3.2 Extended SQL Syntax

As the PLACE server [39] extends both PREDATOR [50] and NILE [26], we extend the SQL language provided by both systems to support spatio-temporal operators. As a proof of concept, we focus on two main operators, namely the INSIDE and kNN operators to support continuous range queries and k-nearest-neighbor queries, respectively. Other operators (e.g., reverse-nearest-neighbor [6], trajectory-based operators [43, 60], and navigation [54]) are subject to future research. A continuous query is registered at the PLACE server using the SQL:

REGISTER QUERY query\_name AS SELECT select\_clause FROM from\_clause WHERE where\_clause INSIDE inside\_clause kNN knn\_clause The REGISTER QUERY statement registers the continuous query at the PLACE server with the *query\_name* as its identifier. The *select\_clause*, *from\_clause*, and *where\_clause* are inherited from the PREDATOR [50] database management statement. The *window\_clause* is inherited from the NILE [26] stream query processor to support continuous sliding window queries [24]. A continuous query is dropped form the system using the SQL DROP QUERY query\_name.

The *inside\_clause* may represent stationary/moving rectangular or circular range queries. Moving queries are tied to *focal* objects. As the *focal* object reports movement update to the server, we update the query region. Notice that we do not need to represent the object and query movement with a motion vector. Instead, the motion is presented in terms of frequent location updates. One *focal* object may issue several queries. A rectangular range query can have one of the following two forms:

- Static range query  $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ , where  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  represent the top left and bottom right corners of the rectangular range query.
- Moving rectangular range query ('M', ID, xdist, ydist), where 'M' is a flag to indicate that the query is moving, ID is the identifier of the query focal point, and xdist and ydist are the length and width of the query rectangle.

A circular range query has the same syntax except that we define only the radius instead of (x, y). Similarly, the *knn\_clause* for continuous *k*-nearest-neighbor queries may have one of the following two forms:

- Static kNN query (k, x, y), where k is the number of the neighbors to be maintained, and (x, y) is the center of the query point.
- Moving kNN query ('M', k, ID), where 'M' is a flag to indicate that the query is moving, k is the number of neighbors to be maintained, and ID is the identifier of the query *focal* point.

### 4 Predicate-based Sliding Windows

With the unbounded incoming spatio-temporal streams, it becomes infeasible to store all incoming tuples. However, some input tuples may be buffered in memory for a limited time. The choice of the stored tuples is mainly query dependent, i.e., we store only the tuples that are of interest to any outstanding continuous query. In addition, there should be a mechanism to expire (delete) some of the stored tuples and replace them with other tuples that become more relevant to the outstanding continuous queries. The PLACE server employs a *predicate-based* window policy, where each query is associated with a certain predicate. Only tuples that satisfy at least one query predicate are stored in memory. Based on *predicate-based* window queries, the PLACE server supports three types of tuple expiration, namely, *temporal* expiration (sliding-window queries), *spatial* expiration, and *predicate-based* expiration. In general, expired tuples may result in a query *uncertainty* where a future query or a moving query would ask for these tuples. In PLACE, we deal with different types of query *uncertainty* using a *caching* technique. For more detailed about *uncertainty* and *caching* in PLACE, the reader is referred to [35].

#### 4.1 Temporal Expiration

Temporal expiration is used commonly to support continuous sliding-window queries in data streams. A sliding window query involves a *historical* time window w. Any object that has a timestamp within the current sliding window of any outstanding query Q is buffered in-memory with the associated buffer of Q. Stored tuples follow strictly a first-in-first-expire policy.

An example for a historical sliding window query submitted to the PLACE server is:  $Q_1$ : "Continuously, report the number of cars that passed by region R in the last hour".

SELECT COUNT(ObjectID)
FROM MovingObjects
WHERE type = $Car$
INSIDE $R$
WINDOW 1 hour
Notice that $Q_1$ buffers all incoming tuples during the previous hour. A tuple is e

Notice that  $Q_1$  buffers all incoming tuples during the previous hour. A tuple is expired (i.e., deleted from the query buffer) once it goes out of the sliding time window (i.e., when the car tuple becomes more than one hour old).



Figure 1: Temporal and spatial expiration.

#### 4.2 Spatial Expiration

NOW queries are more common in spatio-temporal data stream applications than historical queries. For example, monitoring applications are concerned with the actual current answer not the accumulated historical one. Such applications cannot be realized using only temporal expiration. Thus, the PLACE server introduces a new type of expiration that depends on the *spatial* location of the moving objects instead of their timestamps. An incoming tuple, say o, is stored in the in-memory buffer associated with a query Q only if o satisfies the *spatial* window (e.g., region) of Q. A stored tuple is expired only when it steps out of the *spatial* window.

An example of a spatial expiration query is:  $Q_2$ : "Continuously, report the number of cars in a certain area." Notice that unlike  $Q_1$ , in  $Q_2$ , we are concerned about the actual current number of cars not the number of cars in the recent history. The SQL of  $Q_2$  is similar to that of  $Q_1$  with only the removal of the window statement.

Figure 1 gives the difference between a temporal-expiration and a spatial-expiration in a range query. Figure 1a gives a snapshot of the database at time  $T_0$ . The vertical **bold** line represents the spatial predicate window in the one-dimensional space (along the y axis). The shaded rectangular area represents the temporal window along the time dimension (the x axis). A query with a temporal window is interested in objects that lie in the shaded area while a query with a spatial window is interested in the actual objects that lie in the spatial region regardless of their timestamps. The answer to both the temporal and spatial window queries at time  $T_0$  is  $(P_1, P_3, P_5)$ . Figure 1b gives a snapshot of the database at time  $T_1$ . Only objects  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  change their locations (the new locations are plotted as white circle while the old location of  $P_3$  is plotted as a gray circle). For the temporal query, although  $P_1$  still satisfies the query spatial predicate,  $P_1$  is discarded from the server. Also, although  $P_3$  becomes out of the query predicate, the old value of  $P_3$  has not yet expired. Thus, the temporal query answer at  $T_1$  would be  $(P_3, P_4, P_5)$ . However, the answer of the spatial window query would be  $(P_1, P_4, P_5)$ .

#### 4.3 Predicate-based Expiration

Predicate-based expiration generalizes both the temporal- and spatial-based expiration methods. Punctuation-based expiration [61] can be considered as a special case of predicate-based when we consider the predicate as the arrival of a certain artificial tuple (the punctuated tuple). An incoming tuple is stored in-memory only when it satisfies a certain query predicate. A predicate could be as simple as a temporal or a spatial predicate. Due to the nature of spatio-temporal streams, other forms of predicates may arise. For example, consider the query  $Q_3$ : "For each moving object, continuously report the elapsed time between each two consecutive readings". Such a query contains a self-join where objects from the stream of moving objects are self-joined based on the object identifier. The query buffer needs to maintain only the latest reading of each moving object. Once the reading of a certain object is reported, the previous reading is expired.

Predicate-based expiration is expressed with the same SQL as the spatial expiration except that there is no limit on the predicate complexity. For any query Q, predicate evaluation is triggered in two cases: (1) Movement of an object P that is candidate to cross any of the query Q boundary, (2) Movement of query Q. To avoid excessive evaluations of the query predicate, the underlying data structure (e.g., a grid structure) is used to limit the evaluation to those tuple that are candidate to produce results.

### 5 Incremental Evaluation

To avoid reevaluating continuous spatio-temporal queries, we employ an *incremental evaluation* paradigm in the PLACE continuous query processor. The main idea is to only report the changes of the answer from the last evaluation time. By employing *incremental evaluation*, the PLACE server achieves the following goals: (1) Fast query evaluation, since we compute only the updates of the answer not the whole answer. (2) In a typical location-aware server, query results are sent to the users via satellite servers [22]. Thus, limiting the amount of transmitted data to the updates



Figure 2: Incremental evaluation of range queries

only rather than the whole query answer saves in network bandwidth. (3) When encapsulating incremental algorithms into physical pipelined query operators, limiting the tuples that go through the whole query pipeline to only the updates reduces the flow in the pipeline. Thus, efficient query processing is achieved.

To realize the incremental evaluation processing in the PLACE server, we go through three main steps. First, we define the high level concept of incremental updates, by defining two types of updates; *positive* and *negative* updates [38]. Second, we encapsulate the processing of incremental algorithms into pipelined query operators. Third, we modify traditional pipelined query operators (e.g., distinct and join) to deal with the concept of negative tuples [25].

### 5.1 Positive/Negative Updates

Incremental evaluation is achieved through updating the previous query answer. Mainly, we distinguish between two types of updates; *positive* updates and *negative* updates. A positive/negative update indicates that a certain object needs to be added to/removed from the query answer. A query answer is represented in the form (*QID*, *OList*), where *QID* is the query identifier and *OList* is the query answer. The PLACE server continuously updates the query answer with updates of the form (*QID*,  $\pm$ , *OID*) where  $\pm$  indicates the type of the update and *OID* is the object identifier.

Figure 2 gives an example of applying the concept of positive/negative updates on a set of continuous range queries. The snapshot of the database at time  $T_0$  is given in Figure 2a with nine moving objects,  $p_1$  to  $p_9$ , and five continuous range queries,  $Q_1$  to  $Q_5$ . The answer of the queries at time  $T_0$  is represented as  $(Q_1, P_5)$ ,  $(Q_2, P_1)$ ,  $(Q_3, P_6, P_7)$ ,  $(Q_4, P_3, P_4)$ , and  $(Q_5, P_9)$ . At time  $T_1$  (Figure 2b), only the objects  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ , and  $p_4$  and the queries  $Q_1, Q_3$ , and  $Q_5$  change their locations. As a result, the PLACE server reports the following updates:  $(Q_1, -P_5)$ ,  $(Q_3, -P_6)$ ,  $(Q_3, +P_8)$ , and



Figure 3: Shared-execution of continuous queries.

 $(Q_4, -p_4).$ 

#### 5.2 Spatio-temporal Incremental Pipelined Operators

Two alternative approaches can be utilized in implementing spatio-temporal algorithms inside the PLACE server: using SQL *table functions* [45] or by encapsulating the algorithms in physical query operators. Since there is no straightforward method for pushing query predicates into table functions [46], the performances is limited and the approach does not give enough flexibility in optimizing the issued queries. In the PLACE server we encapsulate our algorithms inside physical pipelined query operators that can be part of a query execution plan. By having pipelined query operators, we achieve three goals: (1) Spatio-temporal operators can be combined with other operators (e.g., distinct, aggregate, and join operators) to support incremental evaluation for a wide variety of continuous spatio-temporal queries. (2) Pushing spatio-temporal operators deep in the query execution plan reduces the number of tuples in the query pipeline. This reduction comes from the fact that spatio-temporal operators act as filters to the above operators. (3) Flexibility in the query optimizer where multiple candidate execution plans can be produced.

The main idea of spatio-temporal operators is to keep track of the recently reported answer of each query Q in a query buffer termed Q.Answer. Then, for each newly incoming tuple P, we perform two tests: Test I: Is P part of the previously reported Q.Answer? Test II: Does P qualify to be part of the current answer? Based on the results of the two tests, we distinguish among four cases:

- Case I: *P* is part of *Q*.*Answer* and *P* still qualify to be part of the current answer. As we process only the updates of the previously reported result, *P* will not be processed.
- Case II: P is part of Q.Answer, however, P does not qualify to be part of the answer

anymore. In this case, we report a *negative* update  $P^-$  to the above query operator. The *negative* update indicates that P is *spatially* expired from the answer.

- Case III: *P* is not part of *Q.Answer*, however, *P* qualifies to be part of the current answer. In this case, we report a *positive* update to the above query operator.
- Case IV: *P* is not part of *Q*. *Answer* and *P* still does not qualify to be part of the current answer. In this case, *P* has no effect on *Q*.

### 5.3 Negative Tuples in Traditional Operators

Having the spatio-temporal operators at the bottom or at the middle of the query evaluation pipeline requires that all the above operators be equipped with special handling of negative tuples. Fortunately, recent data stream management systems (e.g., Borealis [1], NILE [26], STREAM [41]) have the ability to process such negative tuples. The NILE query processor [26] handles negative tuples in pipelined operators as follows: *Selection* and *Join* operators handle *negative* tuples in the same way as the regular *positive* tuples. The only difference is that the output will be in the form of a *negative* tuple. Aggregates update their aggregate functions by considering the received *negative* tuple. The *Distinct* operator reports a *negative* tuple at the output only if the corresponding *positive* tuple is in the recently reported result. For more detail about handling the *negative* tuples in various query operators, the reader is referred to [25].

### 6 Scalability

The PLACE continuous query processor exploits a *shared-execution* paradigm [36, 38, 65] as a means for achieving scalability in terms of the number of concurrently executing continuous spatio-temporal queries. The main idea is to group similar queries in a query table. Then, the evaluation of a set of continuous queries is modelled as a spatial join between moving objects and moving queries. Similar ideas of shared-execution have been exploited in the NiagaraCQ [13] for web queries and PSoup [10, 11] for streaming queries.

Figure 3a gives the execution plans of two simple continuous spatio-temporal queries,  $Q_1$ : "Find the objects inside region  $R_1$ ", and  $Q_2$ : "Find the objects inside region  $R_2$ ". With shared-execution,



Figure 4: Server GUI.

we have the execution plan of Figure 3b. Shared-execution for a collection of spatio-temporal range queries can be expressed in the PLACE server by issuing the following continuous query:

SELECT Q.ID, O.ID

FROM QueryTable Q, ObjectTable O

WHERE O.location inside Q.region

# 7 User interface in PLACE

Figures 4 and 5 give snapshots of the server and client graphical user interface (GUI) of PLACE, respectively. The server GUI displays all moving objects on the map<sup>2</sup>. The client GUI simulates a client end-device used by the users. Users can choose the type of query from a list of available query types (stationary/moving queries and stationary/moving k-nearest-neighbor queries). The spatial region of the query can be determined using the map of the area of interest. By pressing the submit button, the client translates the query into SQL language and transmits it to the PLACE server. The result appears both in the list of Figure 5 and as moving objects on the map. A client can see only, on its map, the objects that belong to its issued query.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The map in Figures 4 and 5 is for the Greater Lafayette area, Indiana, USA.



Figure 5: Client GUI.



Figure 6: A) Moving objects (%). B) Query size

# 8 Performance Evaluation

In this section, we present experiments that show the promising performance of the continuous query processor in the PLACE server. We use the *Network-based Generator of Moving Objects* [7] to generate a set of 100K moving objects and 100K moving queries. The output of the generator is a set of moving objects that move on the road network of a given city. We choose some points randomly and consider them as centers of square range queries.

#### 8.1 Size of Incremental Answer

Figure 6 compares between the size of the incremental answer returned by utilizing the incremental approach and the size of the complete answer. The location-aware server buffers the received



Figure 7: A. Query SQL and pipeline. B. Pipelined operator with Select.

updates from moving objects and queries and evaluates the queries every 5 seconds. Figure 6a gives the effect of the number of moving objects that reported a change of location within the last 5 seconds. The size of the complete answer is constant and is orders of magnitude of the size of the worst-case incremental answer. In Figure 6b, the query side length varies from 0.01 to 0.02. The size of the complete answer increases dramatically to up to seven times that of the incremental result. The saving in the answer size affects directly the communication cost from the server to the clients.

#### 8.2 Pipelined Spatio-temporal Operators

In this section, we compare the implementation of spatio-temporal algorithms at the applicationlevel (e.g., table functions) with the encapsulation of the spatio-temporal algorithms inside query operators. In our experiments, we direct the query optimizer to have the spatio-temporal operators at the bottom of the pipeline. However, appropriate query optimization techniques and/or cost models would ensure more optimized query pipelines. Query optimizations along with cost models are in our top list of future research directions.

#### 8.2.1 Pipeline with a Select Operator

Consider the query Q: "Continuously report all trucks that are within MyArea". MyArea can be either a stationary or moving range query. A high-level implementation of this query has only a selection operator that selects only the "trucks". Then, a high-level algorithm implementation would take the selection output and produce incrementally the query result. However, an encapsulation of the INSIDE algorithm into a physical operator allows for more flexible plans.

Figure 7 compares the high-level implementation of the above query with pipelined operators



Figure 8: A) Query SQL and pipeline. B) Pipelined operator with Join.

for both stationary and moving queries. The selectivity of the queries varies from 2% to 64%. The selectivity of the selection operator is 5%. Our measure of comparison is the number of tuples that go through the query evaluation pipeline. When algorithms are implemented at the application level, the performance is not affected by the selectivity. However, when INSIDE is pushed before the *selection*, it acts as a filter for the query evaluation pipeline, thus, limiting the tuples through the pipeline to only the incremental updates. With INSIDE selectivity less than 32%, pushing INSIDE before the selection affects the performance greatly.

#### 8.2.2 Pipeline with a Join Operator

In this section, we consider a more complex query plan that contains a *join* operator. Consider the query Q: "Continuously report moving objects that belong to my favorite set of objects and lie within MyArea". A high-level implementation would probe a streaming database engine to join all moving objects with my favorite set of objects. Then, the output of the join is sent to the algorithm for further processing. However, with the INSIDE operator, we can have a query evaluation plan as that of Figure 8a where the INSIDE operator is pushed below the Join operator.

Figure 8 compares the high-level implementation of the above query with the pipelined INSIDE operator for both stationary and moving queries. The selectivity of the queries varies from 2% to 64%. Unlike the case of *selection* operators, there is a dramatic increase in performance (around ten orders of magnitude) when INSIDE is implemented as a pipelined operator. The main reason in this dramatic gain in performance is the high overhead incurred when evaluating the *join* operation. Thus, the INSIDE operator filters out the input tuples and limits the input to the *join* operator to only the incremental *positive* and *negative* updates.

## 9 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the continuous query processor of the PLACE (Pervasive Location-Aware Computing Environments) server; a database server for location-aware environments currently developed at Purdue University. The PLACE server extends both the PREDATOR database management system and the NILE stream query processor to deal with unbounded spatio-temporal streams. In addition to the temporal tuple expiration defined in sliding-window queries, we maintain other forms of tuple expirations (e.g., spatial expiration). To efficiently handle large number of continuous queries, we employ an incremental evaluation paradigm that contains: (1) Defining the concept of *positive* and *negative* updates, (2) Encapsulating the algorithms for incremental processing into pipelined spatio-temporal operators, and (3) Modifying traditional query operators (e.g., distinct and join) to deal with the *negative* updates that comes from the spatio-temporal operators. Shared-execution is employed by the continuous query processor as a means of achieving scalability in terms of the number of concurrently continuous queries. Experimental results show the promising performance of the PLACE continuous query processor.

## References

- [1] Daniel Abadi, Yanif Ahmad, Hari Balakrishnan, Magdalena Balazinska, Ugur Cetintemel, Mitch Cherniack, Jeong-Hyon Hwang, John Janotti, Wolfgang Lindner, Sam Madden, Alex Rasin, Michael Stonebraker, Nesime Tatbul, Ying Xing, and Stan Zdonik. The Design of the Borealis Stream Processing Engine. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research, CIDR, 2005.
- [2] Arvind Arasu, Brian Babcock, Shivnath Babu, J. Cieslewicz, Mayur Datar, K. Ito, Rajeev Motwani, U. Srivastava, and Jennifer Widom. Stream: The stanford data stream management system, 2004.
- [3] Arvind Arasu and Jennifer Widom. Resource Sharing in Continuous Sliding-Window Aggregates. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2004.

- [4] Walid G. Aref, Susanne E. Hambrusch, and Sunil Prabhakar. Pervasive Location Aware Computing Environments (PLACE). http://www.cs.purdue.edu/place/, 2003.
- [5] Shivnath Babu and Jennifer Widom. Continuous Queries over Data Streams. SIGMOD Record, 30(3), 2001.
- [6] Rimantas Benetis, Christian S. Jensen, Gytis Karciauskas, and Simonas Saltenis. Nearest Neighbor and Reverse Nearest Neighbor Queries for Moving Objects. In Proceedings of the International Database Engineering and Applications Symposium, IDEAS, 2002.
- [7] Thomas Brinkhoff. A Framework for Generating Network-Based Moving Objects. GeoInformatica, 6(2), 2002.
- [8] Ying Cai, Kien A. Hua, and Guohong Cao. Processing Range-Monitoring Queries on Heterogeneous Mobile Objects. In *Mobile Data Management*, MDM, 2004.
- [9] Sirish Chandrasekaran, Owen Cooper, Amol Deshpande, Michael J. Franklin, Joseph M. Hellerstein, Wei Hong, Sailesh Krishnamurthy, Samuel Madden, Vijayshankar Raman, Fred Reiss, and Mehul A. Shah. TelegraphCQ: Continuous Dataflow Processing for an Uncertain World. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research, CIDR, 2003.
- [10] Sirish Chandrasekaran and Michael J. Franklin. Streaming Queries over Streaming Data. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2002.
- [11] Sirish Chandrasekaran and Michael J. Franklin. PSoup: a system for streaming queries over streaming data. VLDB Journal, 12(2):140–156, 2003.
- [12] Jianjun Chen, David J. DeWitt, and Jeffrey F. Naughton. Design and Evaluation of Alternative Selection Placement Strategies in Optimizing Continuous Queries. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2002.
- [13] Jianjun Chen, David J. DeWitt, Feng Tian, and Yuan Wang. NiagaraCQ: A Scalable Continuous Query System for Internet Databases. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 2000.

- [14] James Clifford, Curtis E. Dyreson, Tomás Isakowitz, Christian S. Jensen, and Richard T. Snodgrass. On the Semantics of "Now" in Databases. ACM Transactions on Database Systems , TODS, 22(2), 1997.
- [15] Graham Cormode and S. Muthukrishnan. Radial Histograms for Spatial Streams. Technical Report DIMACS TR: 2003-11, Rutgers University, 2003.
- [16] Chuck Cranor, Theodore Johnson, Oliver Spataschek, and Vladislav Shkapenyuk. Gigascope: a Stream Database for Network Applications. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD*, 2003.
- [17] Mayur Datar, Aristides Gionis, Piotr Indyk, and Rajeev Motwani. Maintaining stream statistics over sliding windows. In Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA, 2002.
- [18] Bugra Gedik and Ling Liu. MobiEyes: Distributed Processing of Continuously Moving Queries on Moving Objects in a Mobile System. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT, 2004.
- [19] Lukasz Golab, Shaveen Garg, and M. Tamer Ozsu. On Indexing Sliding Windows over Online Data Streams. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT, 2004.
- [20] Lukasz Golab and M. Tamer Ozsu. Processing Sliding Window Multi-Joins in Continuous Queries over Data Streams. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2003.
- [21] Marios Hadjieleftheriou, George Kollios, Dimitrios Gunopulos, and Vassilis J. Tsotras. On-Line Discovery of Dense Areas in Spatio-temporal Databases. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advances in Spatial and Temporal Databases, SSTD, 2003.
- [22] Susanne E. Hambrusch, Chuan-Ming Liu, Walid G. Aref, and Sunil Prabhakar. Query Processing in Broadcasted Spatial Index Trees. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advances in Spatial and Temporal Databases, SSTD, 2001.

- [23] Moustafa A. Hammad, Walid G. Aref, and Ahmed K. Elmagarmid. Stream Window Join: Tracking Moving Objects in Sensor-Network Databases. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, SSDBM, 2003.
- [24] Moustafa A. Hammad, Michael J. Franklin, Walid G. Aref, and Ahmed K. Elmagarmid. Scheduling for shared window joins over data streams. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, VLDB, 2003.
- [25] Moustafa A. Hammad, Thanaa M. Ghanem, Walid G. Aref, Ahmed K. Elmagarmid, and Mohamed F. Mokbel. Efficient pipelined execution of sliding-window queries over data streams. Technical Report TR CSD-03-035, Purdue University Department of Computer Sciences, December 2003.
- [26] Moustafa A. Hammad, Mohamed F. Mokbel, Mohamed H. Ali, Walid G. Aref, Ann C. Catlin, Ahmed K. Elmagarmid, Mohamed Eltabakh, Mohamed G. Elfeky, Thanaa M. Ghanem, Robert Gwadera, Ihab F. Ilyas, Mirette Marzouk, and Xiaopeng Xiong. Nile: A Query Processing Engine for Data Streams (Demo). In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE*, 2004.
- [27] John Hershberger and Subhash Suri. Adaptive Sampling for Geometric Problems over Data Streams. In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS, 2004.
- [28] Glenn S. Iwerks, Hanan Samet, and Ken Smith. Continuous K-Nearest Neighbor Queries for Continuously Moving Points with Updates. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2003.
- [29] Christian S. Jensen, Dan Lin, and Beng Chin Ooi. Query and Update Efficient B+-Tree Based Indexing of Moving Objects. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2004.
- [30] Jaewoo Kang, Jeffrey F. Naughton, and Stratis Viglas. Evaluating Window Joins over Unbounded Streams. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2003.

- [31] Dongseop Kwon, Sangjun Lee, and Sukho Lee. Indexing the Current Positions of Moving Objects Using the Lazy Update R-tree. In *Mobile Data Management*, MDM, 2002.
- [32] Iosif Lazaridis, Kriengkrai Porkaew, and Sharad Mehrotra. Dynamic Queries over Mobile Objects. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT, 2002.
- [33] Mong-Li Lee, Wynne Hsu, Christian S. Jensen, and Keng Lik Teo. Supporting Frequent Updates in R-Trees: A Bottom-Up Approach. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2003.
- [34] Samuel Madden, Mehul Shah, Joseph M. Hellerstein, and Vijayshankar Raman. Continuously adaptive continuous queries over streams. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 2002.
- [35] Mohamed F. Mokbel and Walid G. Aref. GPAC: Generic and Progressive Processing of Mobile Queries over Mobile Data. In *Mobile Data Management*, MDM, 2005.
- [36] Mohamed F. Mokbel, Walid G. Aref, Susanne E. Hambrusch, and Sunil Prabhakar. Towards Scalable Location-aware Services: Requirements and Research Issues. In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Advances in Geographic Information Systems, ACM GIS, 2003.
- [37] Mohamed F. Mokbel, Thanaa M. Ghanem, and Walid G. Aref. Spatio-temporal Access Methods. *IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin*, 26(2), 2003.
- [38] Mohamed F. Mokbel, Xiaopeng Xiong, and Walid G. Aref. SINA: Scalable Incremental Processing of Continuous Queries in Spatio-temporal Databases. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 2004.
- [39] Mohamed F. Mokbel, Xiaopeng Xiong, Walid G. Aref, Susanne Hambrusch, Sunil Prabhakar, and Moustafa Hammad. PLACE: A Query Processor for Handling Real-time Spatio-temporal Data Streams (Demo). In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2004.

- [40] Mohamed F. Mokbel, Xiaopeng Xiong, Moustafa A. Hammad, and Walid G. Aref. Continuous Query Processing of Spatio-temporal Data Streams in PLACE. In Proceedings of the second workshop on Spatio-Temporal Database Management, STDBM, 2004.
- [41] Rajeev Motwani, Jennifer Widom, Arvind Arasu, Brian Babcock, Shivnath Babu, Mayur Datar, Gurmeet Singh Manku, Chris Olston, Justin Rosenstein, and Rohit Varma. Query Processing, Approximation, and Resource Management in a Data Stream Management System. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research, CIDR, 2003.
- [42] Tamer Nadeem, Sasan Dashtinezhad, Chunyuan Liao, and Liviu Iftode. TrafficView: A Scalable Traffic Monitoring System. In *Mobile Data Management*, MDM, 2004.
- [43] Dieter Pfoser, Christian S. Jensen, and Yannis Theodoridis. Novel Approaches in Query Processing for Moving Object Trajectories. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2000.
- [44] Sunil Prabhakar, Yuni Xia, Dmitri V. Kalashnikov, Walid G. Aref, and Susanne E. Hambrusch. Query Indexing and Velocity Constrained Indexing: Scalable Techniques for Continuous Queries on Moving Objects. *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, 51(10), 2002.
- [45] Berthold Reinwald and Hamid Pirahesh. SQL Open Heterogeneous Data Access. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 1998.
- [46] Berthold Reinwald, Hamid Pirahesh, Ganapathy Krishnamoorthy, George Lapis, Brian T. Tran, and Swati Vora. Heterogeneous query processing through sql table functions. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 1999.
- [47] Simonas Saltenis and Christian S. Jensen. Indexing of Moving Objects for Location-Based Services. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2002.
- [48] Simonas Saltenis, Christian S. Jensen, Scott T. Leutenegger, and Mario A. Lopez. Indexing the Positions of Continuously Moving Objects. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 2000.

- [49] Hanan Samet. The Quadtree and Related Hierarchical Data Structures. ACM Computing Surveys, 16(2), 1984.
- [50] P. Seshadri. Predator: A Resource for Database Research. SIGMOD Record, 27(1):16–20, 1998.
- [51] Zhexuan Song and Nick Roussopoulos. K-Nearest Neighbor Search for Moving Query Point. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advances in Spatial and Temporal Databases, SSTD, 2001.
- [52] Utkarsh Srivastava and Jennifer Widom. Memory-Limited Execution of Windowed Stream Joins. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2004.
- [53] Jimeng Sun, Dimitris Papadias, Yufei Tao, and Bin Liu. Querying about the Past, the Present and the Future in Spatio-Temporal Databases. In *Proceedings of the International Conference* on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2004.
- [54] G. Swedberg. Ericsson's Mobile Location Solution. Ericsson Review, 1999.
- [55] Yufei Tao, George Kollios, Jeffrey Considine, Feifei Li, and Dimitris Papadias. Spatio-Temporal Aggregation Using Sketches. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2004.
- [56] Yufei Tao, Dimitris Papadias, and Qiongmao Shen. Continuous Nearest Neighbor Search. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2002.
- [57] Yufei Tao, Dimitris Papadias, and Jimeng Sun. The TPR\*-Tree: An Optimized Spatiotemporal Access Method for Predictive Queries. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2003.
- [58] Yufei Tao, Jimeng Sun, and Dimitris Papadias. Analysis of Predictive Spatio-Temporal Queries. ACM Transactions on Database Systems, TODS, 28(4), 2003.
- [59] Nesime Tatbul, Ugur Cetintemel, Stanley B. Zdonik, Mitch Cherniack, and Michael Stonebraker. Load Shedding in a Data Stream Manager. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, VLDB, 2003.

- [60] Yannis Theodoridis. Ten Benchmark Database Queries for Location-based Services. The Computer Journal, 46(6):713–725, 2003.
- [61] Peter A. Tucker, David Maier, Tim Sheard, and Leonidas Fegaras. Exploiting Punctuation Semantics in Continuous Data Streams. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, *TKDE*, 15(3):555–568, 2003.
- [62] Ouri Wolfson, A. Prasad Sistla, Bo Xu, Jutai Zhou, and Sam Chamberlain. DOMINO: Databases for MovINg Objects tracking (Demo). In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 1999.
- [63] Ouri Wolfson, Bo Xu, Sam Chamberlain, and Liqin Jiang. Moving Objects Databases: Issues and Solutions. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, SSDBM, 1998.
- [64] Xiaopeng Xiong, Mohamed F. Mokbel, and Walid G. Aref. SEA-CNN: Scalable Processing of Continuous K-Nearest Neighbor Queries in Spatio-temporal Databases. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE, 2005.
- [65] Xiaopeng Xiong, Mohamed F. Mokbel, Walid G. Aref, Susanne Hambrusch, and Sunil Prabhakar. Scalable Spatio-temporal Continuous Query Processing for Location-aware Services. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, SSDBM, 2004.
- [66] Yong Yao and Johannes Gehrke. The Cougar Approach to In-Network Query Processing in Sensor Networks. SIGMOD Record, 31(3), 2002.
- [67] Jun Zhang, Manli Zhu, Dimitris Papadias, Yufei Tao, and Dik Lun Lee. Location-based Spatial Queries. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD, 2003.
- [68] Baihua Zheng and Dik Lun Lee. Semantic Caching in Location-Dependent Query Processing. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advances in Spatial and Temporal Databases, SSTD, 2001.