

## Relational Algebra

Chapter 4, Part A

## Relational Query Languages

- σ Query languages: Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.
- π Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
  - Strong formal foundation based on logic.
  - Allows for much optimization.
- σ Query Languages!= programming languages!
  - QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
  - QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
  - QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.

## Formal Relational Query Languages

- Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
- a Relational Algebra: More operational, very useful for representing execution plans.
- Relational Calculus: Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (Non-operational, declarative.)
- \* Understanding Algebra & Calculus is key to
- \* understanding SQL, query processing!

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

#### Preliminaries

- ω A query is applied to relation instances, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.
  - Schemas of input relations for a query are fixed (but query will run regardless of instance!)
  - The schema for the *result* of a given query is also fixed! Determined by definition of query language
- w Positional vs. named-field notation:
  - Positional notation easier for formal definitions, named-field notation more readable.
  - Both used in SQL

Oatabase Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

# Example Instances

- σ "Sailors" and "Reserves" relations for our examples. S1 ω We'll use positional or
- named field notation, assume that names of fields in query results are `inherited' from names of
- fields in query input relations.

#### R1 sid bid <u>day</u> 22 10/10/96 58 103 11/12/96

sname rating sid age 22 dustin 45.0 55.5 31 lubber 58 rusty 10 35.0

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and I. Gehrke

# Relational Algebra

- <sub>ω</sub> Basic operations:
  - <u>Selection</u> ( $\sigma$ ) Selects a subset of rows from relation.
  - <u>Projection</u> ( $\pi$ ) Deletes unwanted columns from relation.
  - *Cross-product* (**X**) Allows us to combine two relations.
  - Set-difference (—) Tuples in reln. 1, but not in reln. 2.
  - $\underline{Union}$  (  $\bigcup$  ) Tuples in reln. 1 and in reln. 2.
- <sub>ω</sub> Additional operations:
  - Intersection, join, division, renaming: Not essential, but (very!) useful.
- σ Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be composed! (Algebra is "closed".)

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

# Projection

- ω Deletes attributes that are not in projection list.
- ₪ Schema of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the (only) input relation.
- π Projection operator has to eliminate duplicates! (Why??)
  - Note: real systems typically don't do duplicate elimination unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

sname	rating
yuppy	9
lubber	8
guppy	5
rusty	10

 $\pi_{sname,rating}(S2)$ 

age
35.0
55.5

 $\pi_{age}(S2)$ 

### Selection

- ω Selects rows that satisfy selection condition.
- π No duplicates in result! (Why?)
- Schema of result
   Schema of result identical to schema of (only) input relation.
- ₪ Result relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation! (Operator composition.)

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

 $\sigma_{rating>8}$  (S2)

sname	rating
yuppy	9
rusty	10

 $\pi_{sname,rating}(\sigma_{rating>8}(S2))$ 

tabase Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

# Union, Intersection, Set-Difference

- ω All of these operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:
  - Same number of fields.
  - `Corresponding' fields have the same type.
- www. What is the schema of result?

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0

S1-S2

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

<i>JJ</i>					
sid	sname	rating	age		
22	dustin	7	45.0		
31	lubber	8	55.5		
58	rusty	10	35.0		
44	guppy	5	35.0		
28	yuppy	9	35.0		

 $S1 \cup S2$ 

sid	sname	rating	age
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

 $S1 \cap S2$ 

#### Cross-Product

ω Each row of S1 is paired with each row of R1.

- <sup>®</sup> Result schema has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names `inherited' if possible.
  - Conflict: Both S1 and R1 have a field called sid.

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96

\* Renaming operator:  $\rho$  (C(1 $\rightarrow$ sid1,5 $\rightarrow$ sid2), S1×R1)

atabase Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

# Joins

 $\varpi$  Condition Join:  $R \bowtie_{C} S = \sigma_{C}(R \times S)$ 

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96

$$S1 \bowtie_{S1.sid < R1.sid} R1$$

- w Result schema same as that of cross-product.
- $\varpi$  Fewer tuples than cross-product, might be able to compute more efficiently
- ω Sometimes called a theta-join.

# Joins

ω Equi-Join: A special case of condition join where the condition *c* contains only *equalities*.

sid	sname	rating	age	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	103	11/12/96

$$S1 \bowtie_{sid} R1$$

- σ Result schema similar to cross-product, but only one copy of fields for which equality is specified.
- σ Natural Join: Equijoin on all common fields.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

#### Division

Mot supported as a primitive operator, but useful for expressing queries like:

Find sailors who have reserved all boats.

- $\varpi$  Let *A* have 2 fields, *x* and *y*; *B* have only field *y*:
  - $-A/B = \{\langle x \rangle | \exists \langle x, y \rangle \in A \ \forall \langle y \rangle \in B \}$
  - i.e., A/B contains all x tuples (sailors) such that for <u>every</u> y tuple (boat) in B, there is an xy tuple in A.
  - *Or*: If the set of *y* values (boats) associated with an *x* value (sailor) in *A* contains all *y* values in *B*, the *x* value is in *A/B*.
- ∞ In general, x and y can be any lists of fields; y is the list of fields in B, and  $x \cup y$  is the list of fields of A.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

-Exa	ımvl	es of Division	A/B			
	Г	J	,			
sno	pno	pno	pno	pno		
s1	p1	p2	p2	p1		
s1	p2	B1	p4	p2		
s1	р3	<i>D</i> 1	B2	p4		
s1	p4		DL	В3		
s2	p1	sno		БЗ		
s2	p2	s1				
s3	p2	s2	sno			
s4	p2	s3	s1	sno		
s4	p4	s4	s4	s1		
1	A	A/B1	A/B2	<i>A/B3</i>		
Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke						

## Expressing A/B Using Basic Operators

- ω Division is not essential op; just a useful shorthand.
  - (Also true of joins, but joins are so common that systems implement joins specially.)
- ™ Idea: For A/B, compute all x values that are not disqualified' by some y value in B.
  - *x* value is *disqualified* if by attaching *y* value from *B*, we obtain an *xy* tuple that is not in *A*.

Disqualified *x* values: 
$$\pi_{\chi}((\pi_{\chi}(A) \times B) - A)$$
  
 $A/B$ :  $\pi_{\chi}(A)$  – all disqualified tuples

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103

π Solution 1: π<sub>sname</sub>((σ<sub>bid=103</sub> Reserves) ⋈ Sailors)

 $\sigma$  Solution 2:  $\rho$  (Templ,  $\sigma_{bid=103}$  Reserves)

 $\rho \; (\textit{Temp2}, \, \textit{Temp1} \bowtie \textit{Sailors})$   $\pi_{\textit{sname}} \; (\textit{Temp2})$ 

σ Solution 3: π<sub>sname</sub>(σ<sub>bid=103</sub>(Re serves ⋈ Sailors))

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat

- ⊚ Information about boat color only available in Boats; so need an extra join:
- $\pi_{sname}((\sigma_{color = 'red}, Boats) \bowtie \mathsf{Re}\, serves \bowtie Sailors)$
- ω A more efficient solution:
- $\pi_{\mathit{sname}}(\pi_{\mathit{sid}}((\pi_{\mathit{bid}}\sigma_{\mathit{color} = `\mathit{red}}, \mathit{Boats}) \bowtie \mathsf{Res}) \bowtie \mathit{Sailors})$
- \* A query optimizer can find this given the first solution!

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Find sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

- σ Can identify all red or green boats, then find sailors who've reserved one of these boats:
  - $\rho~(Tempboats, (\sigma_{color = 'red' \vee color = 'green}, Boats))$
  - $\pi_{sname}(Tempboats \bowtie \mathsf{Re}\,serves \bowtie Sailors)$
- σ Can also define Tempboats using union! (How?)
- $\varpi$  What happens if  $\vee$  is replaced by  $\wedge$  in this query?

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Find sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat

- <sup>®</sup> Previous approach won't work! Must identify sailors who've reserved red boats, sailors who've reserved green boats, then find the intersection (note that *sid* is a key for Sailors):
- $\rho \; (Tempred, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color="red}, Boats) \bowtie \mathsf{Re} \, serves))$
- $\rho \; (Tempgreen, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color="green}", Boats) \bowtie \mathsf{Reserves}))$
- $\pi_{\mathit{sname}}((\mathit{Tempred} \cap \mathit{Tempgreen}) \bowtie \mathit{Sailors})$

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Find the names of sailors who've reserved all boats

uses division; schemas of the input relations to / must be carefully chosen:

$$\rho \; (Tempsids, (\pi_{sid,bid} {\sf Re} serves) \, / \, (\pi_{bid} {\it Boats}))$$

$$\pi_{sname}$$
 (Tempsids  $\bowtie$  Sailors)

<sup>∞</sup> To find sailors who've reserved all 'Interlake' boats:

..... 
$$/\pi_{bid}(\sigma_{bname = Interlake}, Boats)$$

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

20

# Summary

- $_{\mbox{\scriptsize $\varpi$}}$  The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful.
- Relational algebra is more operational; useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
- σ Several ways of expressing a given query; a query optimizer should choose the most efficient version.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

21